# Generalized Ohkawa's theorem

### Javier J. Gutiérrez Universitat de Barcelona (joint work with Carles Casacuberta and Jiří Rosický)

### **CSASC 2011**

Krems, Austria, 25-28 September, 2011

$$\langle E \rangle = \{ X \mid E \land X \simeq * \}.$$

- **Ohkawa's theorem**: There is a set of Bousfield classes [Ohkawa, 1989].
- Simpler proof and relationship with the Bousfield lattice [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2001].
- Bousfield classes in the derived category of modules over some non-noetherian rings [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2008].
- Generalization to well-generated tensor triangulated categories [lyengar–Krause, 2011].

$$\langle E \rangle = \{ X \mid E \land X \simeq * \}.$$

- Ohkawa's theorem: There is a set of Bousfield classes [Ohkawa, 1989].
- Simpler proof and relationship with the Bousfield lattice [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2001].
- Bousfield classes in the derived category of modules over some non-noetherian rings [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2008].
- Generalization to well-generated tensor triangulated categories [lyengar–Krause, 2011].

$$\langle E \rangle = \{ X \mid E \land X \simeq * \}.$$

- Ohkawa's theorem: There is a set of Bousfield classes [Ohkawa, 1989].
- Simpler proof and relationship with the Bousfield lattice [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2001].
- Bousfield classes in the derived category of modules over some non-noetherian rings [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2008].
- Generalization to well-generated tensor triangulated categories [lyengar–Krause, 2011].

$$\langle E \rangle = \{ X \mid E \land X \simeq * \}.$$

- Ohkawa's theorem: There is a set of Bousfield classes [Ohkawa, 1989].
- Simpler proof and relationship with the Bousfield lattice [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2001].
- Bousfield classes in the derived category of modules over some non-noetherian rings [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2008].
- Generalization to well-generated tensor triangulated categories [lyengar–Krause, 2011].

$$\langle E \rangle = \{ X \mid E \land X \simeq * \}.$$

- Ohkawa's theorem: There is a set of Bousfield classes [Ohkawa, 1989].
- Simpler proof and relationship with the Bousfield lattice [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2001].
- Bousfield classes in the derived category of modules over some non-noetherian rings [Dwyer–Palmieri, 2008].
- Generalization to well-generated tensor triangulated categories [lyengar-Krause, 2011].

### Theorem (lyengar–Krause, 2011)

Let  $\mathfrak{T}$  be a  $\alpha$ -well generated triangulated category and consider the collection  $\mathfrak{H}$  of functors  $H : \mathfrak{T} \to \mathcal{A}$  such that

- (i) A is abelian and has coproducts and exact  $\alpha$ -filtered colimits.
- (ii) H is cohomological and preserves coproducts.

Then the localizing subcategories of the form ker H for some  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  form a set of cardinality at most  $2^{2^{|\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}|}}$ .

### Corollary

For any  $\alpha$ -well generated tensor triangulated category  $\mathfrak{T}$ , the collection of Bousfield classes forms a set of cardinality at most  $2^{2^{|\mathfrak{T}^{\alpha}|}}$ .

### Theorem (lyengar–Krause, 2011)

Let  $\mathfrak{T}$  be a  $\alpha$ -well generated triangulated category and consider the collection  $\mathfrak{H}$  of functors  $H : \mathfrak{T} \to \mathcal{A}$  such that

- (i) A is abelian and has coproducts and exact  $\alpha$ -filtered colimits.
- (ii) H is cohomological and preserves coproducts.

Then the localizing subcategories of the form ker H for some  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  form a set of cardinality at most  $2^{2^{|\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}|}}$ .

### Corollary

For any  $\alpha$ -well generated tensor triangulated category  $\mathfrak{T}$ , the collection of Bousfield classes forms a set of cardinality at most  $2^{2^{|\mathfrak{T}^{\alpha}|}}$ .

#### Let $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ be any category.

- An object X of C is called λ-presentable if the functor C(X, -) preserves λ-filtered colimits.
- A category C is λ-accessible if all λ-filtered colimits exist in C and there is a set S of λ-presentable objects such that every object of C is a λ-filtered colimit of objects from S. It is called accessible if it is λ-accessible for some λ.
- A cocomplete accessible category is called *locally presentable*.
- If C and C' are λ-accessible categories, then a functor H: C → C' is called λ-accessible if it preserves λ-filtered colimits.

Let C be any category.

- An object X of C is called λ-presentable if the functor C(X, -) preserves λ-filtered colimits.
- A category C is λ-accessible if all λ-filtered colimits exist in C and there is a set S of λ-presentable objects such that every object of C is a λ-filtered colimit of objects from S. It is called accessible if it is λ-accessible for some λ.
- A cocomplete accessible category is called *locally presentable*.
- If C and C' are λ-accessible categories, then a functor H: C → C' is called λ-accessible if it preserves λ-filtered colimits.

Let C be any category.

- An object X of C is called λ-presentable if the functor C(X, -) preserves λ-filtered colimits.
- A category C is λ-accessible if all λ-filtered colimits exist in C and there is a set S of λ-presentable objects such that every object of C is a λ-filtered colimit of objects from S. It is called accessible if it is λ-accessible for some λ.
- A cocomplete accessible category is called *locally presentable*.
- If C and C' are λ-accessible categories, then a functor H: C → C' is called λ-accessible if it preserves λ-filtered colimits.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any category.

- An object X of C is called λ-presentable if the functor C(X, -) preserves λ-filtered colimits.
- A category C is λ-accessible if all λ-filtered colimits exist in C and there is a set S of λ-presentable objects such that every object of C is a λ-filtered colimit of objects from S. It is called accessible if it is λ-accessible for some λ.
- A cocomplete accessible category is called *locally presentable*.
- If C and C' are λ-accessible categories, then a functor H: C → C' is called λ-accessible if it preserves λ-filtered colimits.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any category.

- An object X of C is called λ-presentable if the functor C(X, -) preserves λ-filtered colimits.
- A category C is λ-accessible if all λ-filtered colimits exist in C and there is a set S of λ-presentable objects such that every object of C is a λ-filtered colimit of objects from S. It is called accessible if it is λ-accessible for some λ.
- A cocomplete accessible category is called *locally presentable*.
- If C and C' are λ-accessible categories, then a functor H: C → C' is called λ-accessible if it preserves λ-filtered colimits.

# Combinatorial model categories

A model category  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\lambda$ -combinatorial if it is locally  $\lambda$ -presentable and cofibrantly  $\lambda$ -generated.  $\mathcal{M}$  is combinatorial if it is  $\lambda$ -combinatorial for some  $\lambda$ .

For a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ , the composition

$$\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{M}_{cf} \xrightarrow{Q} Ho(\mathcal{M}),$$

is the canonical functor to its homotopy category, where Q is the quotient functor.

### Definition

A functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$  between model categories is called a *homotopy functor* if it sends weak equivalences between fibrant and cofibrant objects to weak equivalences.

# Combinatorial model categories

A model category  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\lambda$ -combinatorial if it is locally  $\lambda$ -presentable and cofibrantly  $\lambda$ -generated.  $\mathcal{M}$  is combinatorial if it is  $\lambda$ -combinatorial for some  $\lambda$ .

For a model category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}},$  the composition

$$\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{M}_{cf} \xrightarrow{Q} Ho(\mathcal{M}),$$

is the canonical functor to its homotopy category, where Q is the quotient functor.

### Definition

A functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$  between model categories is called a *homotopy functor* if it sends weak equivalences between fibrant and cofibrant objects to weak equivalences.

# Combinatorial model categories

A model category  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\lambda$ -combinatorial if it is locally  $\lambda$ -presentable and cofibrantly  $\lambda$ -generated.  $\mathcal{M}$  is combinatorial if it is  $\lambda$ -combinatorial for some  $\lambda$ .

For a model category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}},$  the composition

$$\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{M}_{cf} \xrightarrow{Q} Ho(\mathcal{M}),$$

is the canonical functor to its homotopy category, where Q is the quotient functor.

### Definition

A functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$  between model categories is called a *homotopy functor* if it sends weak equivalences between fibrant and cofibrant objects to weak equivalences.

### Every homotopy functor $H \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ restricts to a functor

### $\overline{H}$ : $Ho(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow Ho(\mathcal{M}')$ .

Let *H* be a homotopy endofunctor on  $\mathcal{M}$ . An object *X* in  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  is called *H*-acyclic if  $\overline{HX}$  is isomorphic to the terminal object  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}(H)$  the full subcategory of  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  consisting of all *H*-acyclic objects.

#### Definition

Every homotopy functor  $H \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$  restricts to a functor

 $\overline{H}$ :  $Ho(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow Ho(\mathcal{M}')$ .

Let *H* be a homotopy endofunctor on  $\mathcal{M}$ . An object *X* in  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  is called *H*-acyclic if  $\overline{HX}$  is isomorphic to the terminal object  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}(H)$  the full subcategory of  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  consisting of all *H*-acyclic objects.

#### Definition

Every homotopy functor  $H \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$  restricts to a functor

 $\overline{H}$ :  $Ho(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow Ho(\mathcal{M}')$ .

Let *H* be a homotopy endofunctor on  $\mathcal{M}$ . An object *X* in  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  is called *H*-acyclic if  $\overline{HX}$  is isomorphic to the terminal object  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}(H)$  the full subcategory of  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  consisting of all *H*-acyclic objects.

#### Definition

Every homotopy functor  $H \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$  restricts to a functor

 $\overline{H}$ :  $Ho(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow Ho(\mathcal{M}')$ .

Let *H* be a homotopy endofunctor on  $\mathcal{M}$ . An object *X* in  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  is called *H*-acyclic if  $\overline{HX}$  is isomorphic to the terminal object  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}(H)$  the full subcategory of  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  consisting of all *H*-acyclic objects.

### Definition

### Theorem (CGR)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a combinatorial pointed model category and  $\lambda$  a regular cardinal. Then there is only a set of generalized  $\lambda$ -Bousfield classes in Ho( $\mathcal{M}$ ).

#### Proof

 $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  has a set  $\mathcal{G}$  of weak generators. By the Uniformization Theorem we can choose a regular cardinal  $\mu \geq \lambda$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mu$ -combinatorial.
- (ii) Each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  is  $\mu$ -presentable.
- (iii) The fibrant replacement functor and the cofibrant replacement functor are  $\mu$ -accessible and preserve  $\mu$ -presentable objects.

### Theorem (CGR)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a combinatorial pointed model category and  $\lambda$  a regular cardinal. Then there is only a set of generalized  $\lambda$ -Bousfield classes in Ho( $\mathcal{M}$ ).

### Proof

 $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  has a set 9 of weak generators. By the Uniformization

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mu$ -combinatorial.
- (ii) Each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  is  $\mu$ -presentable.
- (iii) The fibrant replacement functor and the cofibrant replacement functor are  $\mu$ -accessible and preserve  $\mu$ -presentable objects.

### Theorem (CGR)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a combinatorial pointed model category and  $\lambda$  a regular cardinal. Then there is only a set of generalized  $\lambda$ -Bousfield classes in Ho( $\mathcal{M}$ ).

### Proof

 $Ho(\mathcal{M})$  has a set  $\mathfrak{G}$  of weak generators. By the Uniformization Theorem we can choose a regular cardinal  $\mu \ge \lambda$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mu$ -combinatorial.
- (ii) Each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  is  $\mu$ -presentable.
- (iii) The fibrant replacement functor and the cofibrant replacement functor are  $\mu$ -accessible and preserve  $\mu$ -presentable objects.

### Proof (cont.)

Since every  $\lambda$ -accessible functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  is  $\mu$ -accessible, it suffices to prove that there is only a set of generalized  $\mu$ -Bousfield classes in  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ .

Given a  $\mu$ -accessible homotopy functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ , let

 $\mathcal{J}(H) = \{f \colon A \to B \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \cap \mathcal{M}_{cf} \text{ such that } \overline{HQ}(f) = 0\}.$ 

Then, one shows that  $\mathcal{A}(H_1) = \mathcal{A}(H_2)$  whenever  $\mathcal{J}(H_1) = \mathcal{J}(H_2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$  is small, this finishes the proof.

#### Remark

### Proof (cont.)

Since every  $\lambda$ -accessible functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  is  $\mu$ -accessible, it suffices to prove that there is only a set of generalized  $\mu$ -Bousfield classes in  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ .

Given a  $\mu$ -accessible homotopy functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ , let

 $\mathcal{J}(H) = \{f \colon A \to B \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \cap \mathcal{M}_{cf} \text{ such that } \overline{H}Q(f) = 0\}.$ 

Then, one shows that  $\mathcal{A}(H_1) = \mathcal{A}(H_2)$  whenever  $\mathcal{J}(H_1) = \mathcal{J}(H_2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$  is small, this finishes the proof.

#### Remark

### Proof (cont.)

Since every  $\lambda$ -accessible functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  is  $\mu$ -accessible, it suffices to prove that there is only a set of generalized  $\mu$ -Bousfield classes in  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ .

Given a  $\mu$ -accessible homotopy functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ , let

 $\mathcal{J}(H) = \{f \colon A \to B \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \cap \mathcal{M}_{cf} \text{ such that } \overline{H}Q(f) = 0\}.$ 

Then, one shows that  $\mathcal{A}(H_1) = \mathcal{A}(H_2)$  whenever  $\mathcal{J}(H_1) = \mathcal{J}(H_2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$  is small, this finishes the proof.

#### Remark

### Proof (cont.)

Since every  $\lambda$ -accessible functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  is  $\mu$ -accessible, it suffices to prove that there is only a set of generalized  $\mu$ -Bousfield classes in  $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ .

Given a  $\mu$ -accessible homotopy functor  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ , let

 $\mathcal{J}(H) = \{f \colon A \to B \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \cap \mathcal{M}_{cf} \text{ such that } \overline{H}Q(f) = 0\}.$ 

Then, one shows that  $\mathcal{A}(H_1) = \mathcal{A}(H_2)$  whenever  $\mathcal{J}(H_1) = \mathcal{J}(H_2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$  is small, this finishes the proof.

### Remark

# A functor $F : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}'$ is *left Quillen* if it is a left adjoint and preserves cofibrations and trivial cofibrations.

Every left Quillen functor preserves weak equivalences between cofibrant objects, hence they are homotopy functors and they are  $\lambda$ -accessible for any  $\lambda$  (since they preserve all colimits).

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a combinatorial (semi)pointed model category and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the class of all left Quillen functors  $F \colon \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ . Given two functors  $F_1$ and  $F_2$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ , we say that  $F_1 \sim F_2$  if  $\mathcal{A}(F_1) = \mathcal{A}(F_2)$ .

A functor  $F : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}'$  is *left Quillen* if it is a left adjoint and preserves cofibrations and trivial cofibrations.

Every left Quillen functor preserves weak equivalences between cofibrant objects, hence they are homotopy functors and they are  $\lambda$ -accessible for any  $\lambda$  (since they preserve all colimits).

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a combinatorial (semi)pointed model category and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the class of all left Quillen functors  $F \colon \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ . Given two functors  $F_1$ and  $F_2$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ , we say that  $F_1 \sim F_2$  if  $\mathcal{A}(F_1) = \mathcal{A}(F_2)$ .

A functor  $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$  is *left Quillen* if it is a left adjoint and preserves cofibrations and trivial cofibrations.

Every left Quillen functor preserves weak equivalences between cofibrant objects, hence they are homotopy functors and they are  $\lambda$ -accessible for any  $\lambda$  (since they preserve all colimits).

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a combinatorial (semi)pointed model category and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of all left Quillen functors  $F \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ . Given two functors  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we say that  $F_1 \sim F_2$  if  $\mathcal{A}(F_1) = \mathcal{A}(F_2)$ .

### Corollary 1

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a (semi)pointed combinatorial model category. Then there is a set of equivalence classes in  $\mathfrak{F}/\sim$ .

If  $\mathcal M$  is a monoidal model category, then the homological Bousfield class of an object E in  $\mathcal M$  is the class

 $\langle E \rangle = \{ X \in \mathcal{M} \mid E \otimes X \simeq * \}.$ 

#### Corollary 2

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a (semi)pointed combinatorial monoidal model category. Then there is a set of homological Bousfield classes.

### Corollary 1

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a (semi)pointed combinatorial model category. Then there is a set of equivalence classes in  $\mathfrak{F}/\sim$ .

If  ${\mathcal M}$  is a monoidal model category, then the homological Bousfield class of an object  ${\it E}$  in  ${\mathcal M}$  is the class

$$\langle E \rangle = \{ X \in \mathcal{M} \mid E \otimes X \simeq * \}.$$

#### Corollary 2

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a (semi)pointed combinatorial monoidal model category. Then there is a set of homological Bousfield classes.

### Corollary 1

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a (semi)pointed combinatorial model category. Then there is a set of equivalence classes in  $\mathfrak{F}/\sim$ .

If  ${\mathcal M}$  is a monoidal model category, then the homological Bousfield class of an object  ${\it E}$  in  ${\mathcal M}$  is the class

$$\langle E \rangle = \{ X \in \mathcal{M} \mid E \otimes X \simeq * \}.$$

### Corollary 2

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a (semi)pointed combinatorial monoidal model category. Then there is a set of homological Bousfield classes.

Javier J. Gutiérrez (UB)