

Chapter VI. The Picard scheme of an abelian variety.

§ 1. Relative Picard functors.

To place the notion of a dual abelian variety in its context, we start with a short discussion of relative Picard functors. Our goal is to sketch some general facts, without much discussion of proofs.

Given a scheme X we write

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of line bundles on } X\},$$

which has a natural group structure. (If τ is either the Zariski, or the étale, or the fppf topology on Sch/X then we can also write $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = H^1_\tau(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$, viewing the group scheme $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ as a τ -sheaf on Sch/X ; see Exercise ??.)

If C is a complete non-singular curve over an algebraically closed field k then its Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ is an abelian variety parametrizing the degree zero divisor classes on C or, what is the same, the degree zero line bundles on C . (We refer to Chapter 14 for further discussion of Jacobians.) Thus, for every $k \subset K$ the degree map gives a homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}(C_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Jac}(C)(K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(C_K) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In view of the importance of the Jacobian in the theory of curves one may ask if, more generally, the line bundles on a variety X are parametrized by a scheme which is an extension of a discrete part by a connected group variety.

If we want to study this in the general setting of a scheme $f: X \rightarrow S$ over some basis S , we are led to consider the contravariant functor $P_{X/S}: (\mathrm{Sch}/S)^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ given by

$$P_{X/S}: T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(X_T) = H^1(X \times_S T, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

However, one easily finds that this functor is not representable (unless $X = \emptyset$). The reason for this is the following. Suppose $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a Zariski covering of S and L is a line bundle on X such that the restrictions $L|_{X \times_S U_\alpha}$ are trivial. Then it is not necessarily the case that L is trivial. This means that $P_{X/S}$ is not a sheaf for the Zariski topology on Sch/S , hence not representable. (See also Exercise (6.1).)

The previous arguments suggest that in order to arrive at a functor that could be representable we should first sheafify (or “localize”) $P_{X/S}$ with respect to some topology.

(6.1) Definition. The *relative Picard functor* $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}: (\mathrm{Sch}/S)^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is defined to be the fppf sheaf (on $(S)_{\mathrm{FPPF}}$) associated to the presheaf $P_{X/S}$. An S -scheme representing $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ (if such a scheme exists) is called the *relative Picard scheme* of X over S .

Concretely, if T is an S -scheme then we can describe an element of $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}(T)$ by giving an fppf covering $T' \rightarrow T$ and a line bundle L on $X_T \times_T T'$ such that the two pull-backs of L to

$X_T \times_T (T' \times_T T')$ are isomorphic. Now suppose we have a second datum of this type, say an fppf covering $U' \rightarrow T$ and a line bundle M on $X_T \times_T U'$ whose two pull-backs to $X_T \times_T (U' \times_T U')$ are isomorphic. Then $(T' \rightarrow T, L)$ and $(U' \rightarrow T, M)$ define the same element of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}(T)$ if there is a common refinement of the coverings T' and U' over which the bundles L and M become isomorphic.

As usual, if $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is representable then the representing scheme is unique up to S -isomorphism; this justifies calling it *the* Picard scheme.

(6.2) Let us study $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ in some more detail in the situation that

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \text{the structure morphism } f: X \rightarrow S \text{ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated,} \\ f_*(O_{X \times_S T}) = O_T \text{ for all } S\text{-schemes } T, \\ f \text{ has a section } \varepsilon: S \rightarrow X. \end{cases}$$

For instance, this holds if S is the spectrum of a field k and X is a complete k -variety with $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ (see also Exercise ??); this is the case we shall mostly be interested in.

Rather than sheafifying $P_{X/S}$ we may also rigidify the objects we are trying to classify. This is done as follows. If L is a line bundle on X_T for some S -scheme T then, writing $\varepsilon_T: T \rightarrow X_T$ for the section induced by ε , by a *rigidification of L along ε_T* we mean an isomorphism $\alpha: O_T \xrightarrow{\sim} \varepsilon_T^* L$. (In the sequel we shall usually simply write ε for ε_T .)

Let (L_1, α_1) and (L_2, α_2) be line bundles on X_T with rigidification along ε . By a homomorphism $h: (L_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \alpha_2)$ we mean a homomorphism of line bundles $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ with the property that $(\varepsilon^* h) \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. In particular, an endomorphism of (L, α) is given by an element $h \in \Gamma(X_T, O_{X_T}) = \Gamma(T, f_*(O_{X_T}))$ with $\varepsilon^*(h) = 1$. By the assumption that $f_*(O_{X_T}) = O_T$ we therefore find that rigidified line bundles on X_T have no nontrivial automorphisms.

Now define the functor $P_{X/S, \varepsilon}: (\text{Sch}/S)^0 \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ by

$$P_{X/S, \varepsilon}: T \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isomorphism classes of rigidified} \\ \text{line bundles } (L, \alpha) \text{ on } X \times_S T \end{array} \right\},$$

with group structure given by

$$\begin{aligned} (L, \alpha) \cdot (M, \beta) &= (L \otimes M, \gamma), \\ \gamma &= \alpha \otimes \beta: O_T = O_T \otimes_{O_T} O_T \rightarrow \varepsilon^* L \otimes_{O_T} \varepsilon^* M = \varepsilon^*(L \otimes M). \end{aligned}$$

If $h: T' \rightarrow T$ is a morphism of S -schemes and (L, α) is a rigidified line bundle on $X \times_S T$ then $P_{X/S, \varepsilon}(h): P_{X/S, \varepsilon}(T) \rightarrow P_{X/S, \varepsilon}(T')$ sends (L, α) to (L', α') , where $L' = (\text{id}_X \times h)^* L$ and where $\alpha': O_{T'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varepsilon_{T'}^* L' = h^*(\varepsilon_T^* L)$ is the pull-back of α under h .

Suppose $P_{X/S, \varepsilon}$ is representable by an S -scheme. On $X \times_S P_{X/S, \varepsilon}$ we then have a universal rigidified line bundle (\mathcal{P}, ν) ; it is called the *Poincaré bundle*. The universal property of (\mathcal{P}, ν) is the following: if (L, α) is a line bundle on $X \times_S T$ with rigidification along the section ε then there exists a unique morphism $g: T \rightarrow P_{X/S, \varepsilon}$ such that $(L, \alpha) \cong (\text{id}_X \times g)^*(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$ as rigidified bundles on X_T .

Under the assumptions $(*)$ on f it is not so difficult to prove the following facts. (See for example BLR, § 8.1 for details.)

(i) For every S -scheme T there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(T) \xrightarrow{\text{Pl}_T^*} \text{Pic}(X_T) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}(T). \quad (1)$$

This can be viewed as a short exact sequence obtained from a Leray spectral sequence. The existence of a section is not needed for this.

(ii) For every S -scheme T , we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X_T)/\mathrm{pr}_T^*\mathrm{Pic}(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{X/S,\varepsilon}(T)$$

obtained by sending the class of a line bundle L on X_T to the bundle $L \otimes f^*\varepsilon^*L^{-1}$ with its canonical rigidification.

(iii) The functor $P_{X/S,\varepsilon}$ is an fppf sheaf. (Descent theory for line bundles.)

Combining these facts we find that $P_{X/S,\varepsilon} \cong \mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ and that these functors are given by

$$T \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{Pic}(X_T)}{\mathrm{pr}_T^*\mathrm{Pic}(T)} = \frac{\{\text{line bundles on } X_T\}}{\{\text{line bundles of the form } f^*L, \text{ with } L \text{ a line bundle on } T\}}.$$

In particular, the exact sequence (1) extends to an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X_T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}(T) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

It also follows that $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ equals the Zariski sheaf associated to $P_{X/S}$.

(6.3) Returning to the general case (i.e., no longer assuming that f satisfies the conditions $(*)$ in (6.2)), one finds that $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ cannot be expected to be representable unless we impose further conditions on X/S . (See Exercise ?? for an example.) The most important general results about representability all work under the assumption that $f: X \rightarrow S$ is proper, flat and of finite presentation. We quote some results:

(i) If f is flat and projective with geometrically integral fibres then $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ is representable by a scheme, locally of finite presentation and separated over S . (Grothendieck, FGA, Exp. 232.)

(ii) If f is flat and projective with geometrically reduced fibres, such that all irreducible components of the fibres of f are geometrically irreducible then $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ is representable by a scheme, locally of finite presentation (but not necessarily separated) over S . (Mumford, unpublished.)

(iii) If $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is the spectrum of a field and f is proper then $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ is representable by a scheme that is separated and locally of finite type over k . (Murre [1], using a theorem of Oort [1] to reduce to the case that X is reduced.)

If we further weaken the assumptions on f , e.g., if in (ii) we omit the condition that the irreducible components of the fibres are geometrically irreducible, then we may in general only hope for $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ to be representable by an algebraic space over S . Also if we only assume X/S to be proper, not necessarily projective, then in general $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ will be an algebraic space rather than a scheme. For instance, in Grothendieck's FGA, Exp. 236 we find the following criterion.

(iv) If $f: X \rightarrow S$ is proper and locally of finite presentation with geometrically integral fibres then $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$ is a separated algebraic space over S .

We refer to ??, ?? for further discussion.

(6.4) Remark. Let X be a complete variety over a field k , let Y be a k -scheme and let L be a line bundle on $X \times Y$. The existence of maximal closed subscheme $Y_0 \hookrightarrow Y$ over which L is trivial, as claimed in (2.4), is an immediate consequence of the existence of $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$. Namely, the line bundle L gives a morphism $Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$ and Y_0 is simply the fibre over the zero section of $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$ under this morphism. (We use the exact sequence (1); as remarked earlier this does not require the existence of a rational point on X .)

Let us now turn to some basic properties of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ in case it is representable. Note that $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ comes with the structure of an S -group scheme, so that the results and definitions of Chapter 3 apply.

(6.5) Proposition. *Assume that $f: X \rightarrow S$ is proper, flat and of finite presentation, with geometrically integral fibres. As discussed above, $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is a separated algebraic space over S . (Those who wish to avoid algebraic spaces might add the hypothesis that f is projective, as in that case $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is a scheme.)*

(i) *Write \mathcal{T} for the relative tangent sheaf of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ over S . Then the sheaf $e^*\mathcal{T}$ (“the tangent space of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ along the zero section”) is canonically isomorphic to $R^1f_*O_X$.*

(ii) *Assume moreover that f is smooth. Then every closed subscheme $Z \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}$ which is of finite type over S is proper over S .*

For a proof of this result we refer to BLR, Chap. 8.

(6.6) Corollary. *Let X be a proper variety over a field k .*

(i) *The tangent space of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ at the identity element is isomorphic to $H^1(X, O_X)$. Further, $\text{Pic}_{X/S}^0$ is smooth over k if and only if $\dim \text{Pic}_{X/S}^0 = \dim H^1(X, O_X)$, and this always holds if $\text{char}(k) = 0$.*

(ii) *If X is smooth over k then all connected components of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ are complete.*

Proof. This is immediate from (6.5) and the results discussed in Chapter 3 (notably (3.17) and (3.20)). As we did not prove (6.5), let us here give a direct explanation of why the tangent space of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ at the identity element is isomorphic to $H^1(X, O_X)$, and why the components of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ are complete.

Let $S = \text{Spec}(k[\varepsilon])$, where $k[\varepsilon]$ is the ring of dual numbers over k . Note that X and X_S have the same underlying topological space. On this space we have a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow O_X \xrightarrow{h} O_{X_S}^* \xrightarrow{\text{res}} O_X^* \longrightarrow 1$$

where h is given on sections by $f \mapsto \exp(\varepsilon f) = 1 + \varepsilon f$ and where res is the natural restriction map. On cohomology in degree zero this gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow k[\varepsilon]^* \longrightarrow k^* \longrightarrow 1$$

where the maps are given by $f \mapsto 1 + \varepsilon f$ and $a + \varepsilon b \mapsto a$. On cohomology in degree 1 we then find an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(X, O_X) \xrightarrow{h} \text{Pic}(X_S) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Pic}(X). \quad (3)$$

Concretely, if $\gamma \in H^1(X, O_X)$ is represented, on some open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$, by a Čech 1-cocycle $\{f_{\alpha\beta} \in O_X(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)\}$ then $h(\gamma)$ is the class of the line bundle on X_S which is trivial on each U_α (now to be viewed as an open subset of X_S) and with transition functions $1 + \varepsilon f_{\alpha\beta}$.

Write T for the tangent space of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ at the identity element. We can describe T as the kernel of the restriction map $\text{Pic}_{X/k}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}(k)$; see Exercise 1.3. If $\gamma \in H^1(X, O_X)$ then $h(\gamma)$ restricts to the trivial class on X . Hence γ defines an element of T , and this gives a linear map $\xi: H^1(X, O_X) \rightarrow T$. As $\text{Pic}(S) = \{1\}$ it follows from the exact sequences (1) and (3) that ξ is injective.

So far we have not used anything about X . To prove that ξ is also surjective it suffices to show that $\dim(H^1(X, O_X)) = \dim(T)$. Both numbers do not change if we extend the ground

field. Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that $X(k)$ is non-empty, so that assumptions (*) in (6.2) are satisfied. Then the surjectivity of the map ξ follows from the exact sequence (2). This proves that $H^1(X, O_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} T$.

Next let us explain why the components of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ are complete. We already know that $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is a group scheme, locally of finite type over k . By Propositions (3.12) and (3.17), all connected components are separated and of finite type over k . To show that they are complete, we may extend the ground field; hence we can again assume that the assumptions (*) in (6.2) are satisfied. In this situation we apply the valuative criterion for properness. Let R be a k -algebra which is a dvr. Let K be its fraction field, and suppose we have a K -valued point of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$, say represented by a line bundle L on X_K . We want to show that L extends to a line bundle on X_R . Since X/k is smooth, L is represented by a Weil divisor. But if $P \subset X_K$ is any prime divisor then the closure of P inside X_R is a prime divisor of X_R . It follows that L extends to a line bundle on X_R . \square

(6.7) Remark. If $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ then $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ is in general not reduced, even if X is smooth and proper over k . An example illustrating this will be given in (7.31) below.

(6.8) Let C be a complete curve over a field k . Then $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$ is a group scheme, locally of finite type over k ; see (6.3). We claim that $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$ is smooth over k . To see this we may extend the ground field and assume that $C(k) \neq \emptyset$, so that the assumptions (*) in (6.2) are satisfied. Because $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$ is locally of finite type over k , it suffices to show that any point of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$ with values in $R_0 := k[t]/(t^n)$ can be lifted to a point with values in $R := k[t]/(t^{n+1})$. But if we have a line bundle L_0 on $C \otimes_k R_0$ then the obstruction for extending L_0 to a line bundle on $C \otimes_k R$ lies in $H^2(C, O_C)$, which is zero because C is a curve.

In particular, we find that the identity component $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is a group variety over k . If in addition we assume that C is smooth then by Cor. (6.6) $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is complete, and is therefore an abelian variety. In this case we usually write $\text{Jac}(C)$ for $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$; it is called the *Jacobian* of C . Jacobians will be further discussed in Chapter 14. We remark that the term ‘‘Jacobian of C ’’, for a complete and smooth curve C/k , usually refers to the abelian variety $\text{Jac}(C) := \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ together with its natural principal polarisation.

(6.9) Remark. Suppose X is a smooth proper variety over an algebraically closed field k . Recall that two divisors D_1 and D_2 are said to be algebraically equivalent (notation $D_1 \sim_{\text{alg}} D_2$) if there exist (i) a smooth k -variety T , (ii) codimension 1 subvarieties Z_1, \dots, Z_n of $X \times_k T$ which are flat over T , and (iii) points $t_1, t_2 \in T(k)$, such that $D_1 - D_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i)_{t_1} - (Z_i)_{t_2}$ as divisors on X ; here $(Z_i)_t := Z_i \cap (X \times \{t\})$, viewed as a divisor on X . Translating this to line bundles we find that $D_1 \sim_{\text{alg}} D_2$ precisely if the classes of $L_1 = O_X(D_1)$ and $L_2 = O_X(D_2)$ lie in the same connected component of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$. (Note that the components of the reduced scheme underlying $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ are smooth k -varieties.) The discrete group $\pi_0(\text{Pic}_{X/k}) = \text{Pic}_{X/k} / \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is therefore naturally isomorphic to the *Néron-Severi group* $\text{NS}(X) := \text{Div}(X) / \sim_{\text{alg}}$. For a more precise treatment, see section (7.24).

§ 2. Digression on graded bialgebras.

In our study of duality, we shall make use of a structure result for certain graded bialgebras.

Before we can state this result we need to set up some definitions.

Let k be a field. (Most of what follows can be done over more general ground rings; for our purposes the case of a field suffices.) Consider a graded k -module $H^\bullet = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^n$. An element $x \in H^\bullet$ is said to be homogeneous if it lies in H^n for some n , in which case we write $\deg(x) = n$. By a graded k -algebra we shall mean a graded k -module H^\bullet together with a unit element $1 \in H^0$ and an algebra structure map (multiplication) $\gamma: H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet$ such that

- (i) the element 1 is a left and right unit for the multiplication;
- (ii) the multiplication γ is associative, i.e., $\gamma(x, \gamma(y, z)) = \gamma(\gamma(x, y), z)$ for all x, y and z ;
- (iii) the map γ is a morphism of graded k -modules, i.e., it is k -linear and for all homogeneous elements x and y we have that $\gamma(x, y)$ is homogeneous of degree $\deg(x) + \deg(y)$.

If no confusion arises we shall simply write xy for $\gamma(x, y)$.

A homomorphism between graded k -algebras H_1^\bullet and H_2^\bullet is a k -linear map $f: H_1^\bullet \rightarrow H_2^\bullet$ which preserves the gradings, with $f(1) = 1$ and such that $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all x and y in H_1^\bullet .

We say that the graded algebra H^\bullet is graded-commutative if

$$xy = (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}yx$$

for all homogeneous $x, y \in H^\bullet$. (In some literature this is called anti-commutativity, or sometimes even commutativity.) The algebra H^\bullet is said to be connected if $H^0 = k \cdot 1$; it is said to be of finite type over k if $\dim_k(H^n) < \infty$ for all n (which is weaker than saying that H^\bullet is finite-dimensional).

If H_1^\bullet and H_2^\bullet are graded k -algebras then the graded k -module $H_1^\bullet \otimes_k H_2^\bullet$ inherits the structure of a graded k -algebra: for homogeneous elements $x, \xi \in H_1^\bullet$ and $y, \eta \in H_2^\bullet$ one sets $(x \otimes y) \cdot (\xi \otimes \eta) = (-1)^{\deg(y)\deg(\xi)} \cdot (x\xi \otimes y\eta)$. As an exercise the reader may check that H^\bullet is graded-commutative if and only if the map $\gamma: H^\bullet \otimes H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet$ is a homomorphism of graded k -algebras. The field k itself shall be viewed as a graded k -algebras with all elements of degree zero.

(6.10) Definition. A *graded bialgebra over k* is a graded k -algebra H^\bullet together with two homomorphisms of k -algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: H^\bullet &\rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet && \text{called co-multiplication,} \\ \varepsilon: H^\bullet &\rightarrow k && \text{the identity section,} \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$(\mu \otimes \text{id}) \circ \mu = (\text{id} \otimes \mu) \circ \mu: H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet$$

and

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \mu = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \mu: H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet$$

(using the natural identifications $H^\bullet \otimes_k k = H^\bullet = k \otimes_k H^\bullet$).

(6.11) Examples. (i) If all elements of H^\bullet have degree zero, i.e., $H^\bullet = H^0$, then we can ignore the grading and we “almost” find back the definition of a Hopf algebra as in (3.9). The main distinction between Hopf algebras and bialgebras is that for the latter we do not require an antipode.

(ii) If V is a vector space over k then we can form the exterior algebra $\wedge^\bullet V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \wedge^n V$. The multiplication is given by the “exterior product”, i.e.,

$$(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_r) \cdot (y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_s) = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_r \wedge y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_s.$$

By definition we have $\wedge^0 V = k$.

A k -linear map $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ induces a homomorphism of graded algebras $\wedge^\bullet V_1 \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet V_2$. Furthermore, there is a natural isomorphism $\wedge^\bullet(V \oplus V) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\wedge^\bullet V) \otimes (\wedge^\bullet V)$. Therefore, the diagonal map $V \rightarrow V \oplus V$ induces a homomorphism $\mu: \wedge^\bullet V \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet V \otimes \wedge^\bullet V$. Taking this as co-multiplication, and defining $\varepsilon: \wedge^\bullet V \rightarrow k$ to be the projection onto the degree zero component we obtain the structure of a graded bialgebra on $\wedge^\bullet V$.

(iii) If H_1^\bullet and H_2^\bullet are two graded bialgebras over k then $H_1^\bullet \otimes_k H_2^\bullet$ naturally inherits the structure of a graded bialgebra; if $a \in H_1^\bullet$ with $\mu_1(a) = \sum x_i \otimes \xi_i$ and $b \in H_2^\bullet$ with $\mu_2(b) = \sum y_j \otimes \eta_j$ then the co-multiplication $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ is described by

$$\mu(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{\deg(y_j)\deg(\xi_i)} (x_i \otimes y_j) \otimes (\xi_i \otimes \eta_j).$$

(iv) Let x_1, x_2, \dots be indeterminates. We give each of them a degree $d_i = \deg(x_i) \geq 1$ and we choose $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then we can define a graded-commutative k -algebra $H^\bullet = k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ generated by the x_i , subject to the conditions $x_i^{s_i} = 0$. Namely, we take the monomials

$$m = x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} \cdots \quad (r_i \neq 0 \text{ for finitely many } i)$$

as a k -basis, with $\deg(m) = r_1 d_1 + r_2 d_2 + \cdots$, and where we set $x_i^{s_i} = 0$. Then there is a unique graded-commutative multiplication law such that $\gamma(x_i, x_j) = x_i x_j$ for $i \leq j$, and with this multiplication $k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ becomes a graded k -algebra. Note that $k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \rangle$ is naturally isomorphic to $k\langle x_1 \rangle \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k \langle x_N \rangle$.

It is an interesting question whether $k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ can have the structure of a bialgebra. It turns out that the existence of such a structure imposes conditions on the numbers d_i and s_i . Let us first do the case of one generator; the case of finitely many generators will follow from this together with Borel’s theorem to be discussed next. So, we consider a graded k -algebra $H^\bullet = k\langle x \mid x^s = 0 \rangle$ with $\deg(x) = d > 0$. Suppose that H^\bullet has the structure of a bialgebra. Then:

conditions on s :

$\text{char}(k) = 0, d \text{ odd}$	$s = 2$
$\text{char}(k) = 0, d \text{ even}$	$s = \infty$
$\text{char}(k) = 2$	either $s = \infty$ or $s = 2^n$ for some n
$\text{char}(k) = p > 2, d \text{ odd}$	$s = 2$
$\text{char}(k) = p > 2, d \text{ even}$	either $s = \infty$ or $s = p^n$ for some n

For a proof of this result (in fact a more general version of it) we refer to Milnor and Moore [1], § 7. Note that the example given in (ii) is of the form $k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ where all x_i have $d_i = 1$ and $s_i = 2$.

(6.12) Theorem. (Borel-Hopf structure theorem) *Let H^\bullet be a connected, graded-commutative bialgebra of finite type over a perfect field k . Then there exist graded bialgebras H_i^\bullet ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and an isomorphism of bialgebras*

$$H^\bullet \cong H_1^\bullet \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k H_r^\bullet$$

such that the algebra underlying H_i^\bullet is generated by one element, i.e., the algebras H_i^\bullet are of the form $k\langle x_i \mid x_i^{s_i} = 0 \rangle$, with $\deg(x_i) = d_i > 0$.

For a proof of this result, which is due to A. Borel, we refer to Borel [1] or Milnor and Moore [1].

(6.13) Corollary. *Let H^\bullet be as in (6.12). Assume there is an integer g such that $H^n = (0)$ for all $n > g$. Then $\dim_k(H^1) \leq g$. If $\dim_k(H^1) = g$ then $H^\bullet \cong \wedge^\bullet H^1$ as graded bialgebras.*

Proof. Decompose $H^\bullet = H_1^\bullet \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k H_r^\bullet$ as in (6.12). Note that $\dim_k(H^1)$ equals the number of generators x_i such that $d_i = 1$. Now $x_1 \cdots x_r$ ($:= x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r$) is a nonzero element of H^\bullet of degree $d_1 + \cdots + d_r$. Therefore $d_1 + \cdots + d_r \leq g$, which implies $\dim_k(H^1) \leq g$. Next suppose $\dim_k(H^1) = g$, so that all generators x_i have degree 1. If $x_i^2 \neq 0$ for some i then $x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_i^2 x_{i+1} \cdots x_r$ is a nonzero element of degree $g + 1$, contradicting our assumptions. Hence $x_i^2 = 0$ for all i which means that $H^\bullet \cong \wedge^\bullet H^1$. \square

(6.14) Let us now turn to the application of the above results to our study of abelian varieties. Given a g -dimensional variety X over a field k , consider the graded k -module

$$H^\bullet = H^\bullet(X, O_X) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^g H^n(X, O_X).$$

Cup-product makes H^\bullet into a graded-commutative k -algebra, which is connected since X is connected.

In case X is a group variety the group law induces on H^\bullet the structure of a graded bialgebra. Namely, via the Künneth formula $H^\bullet(X \times_k X, O_{X \times X}) \cong H^\bullet(X, O_X) \otimes_k H^\bullet(X, O_X)$ (which is an isomorphism of graded k -algebras), the group law $m: X \times_k X \rightarrow X$ induces a co-multiplication

$$\mu: H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet.$$

For the identity section $\varepsilon: H^\bullet \rightarrow k$ we take the projection onto the degree zero component, which can also be described as the map induced on cohomology by the unit section $e: \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow X$. Now the statement that these μ and e make H^\bullet into a graded bialgebra over k becomes a simple translation of the axioms in (1.2) satisfied by m and e .

As a first application of the above we thus find the estimate $\dim_k(H^1(X, O_X)) \leq g$ for a g -dimensional group variety X over a field k . (Note that $\dim_k(H^1(X, O_X))$ does not change if we pass from k to an algebraic closure; we therefore need not assume k to be perfect.) For abelian varieties we shall prove in (6.18) below that we in fact have equality.

We summarize what we have found.

(6.15) Proposition. *Let X be a group variety over a field k . Then $H^\bullet(X, O_X)$ has a natural structure of a graded k -bialgebra. We have $\dim_k(H^1(X, O_X)) \leq \dim(X)$.*

To conclude this digression on bialgebras, let us introduce one further notion that will be useful later.

(6.16) Definition. Let H^\bullet be a graded bialgebra with comultiplication $\mu: H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet$. Then an element $h \in H^\bullet$ is called a *primitive* element if $\mu(h) = h \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h$.

(6.17) Lemma. *Let V be a finite dimensional k vector space, and consider the exterior algebra $\wedge^\bullet V$ as in (6.11). Then $V = \wedge^1 V \subset \wedge^\bullet V$ is the set of primitive elements in $\wedge^\bullet V$.*

Proof. We follow Serre [1]. Since the co-multiplication μ is degree-preserving, an element of a graded bialgebra H^\bullet is primitive if and only if all its homogeneous components are primitive. Thus we may restrict our attention to homogeneous elements of $\wedge^\bullet V$.

It is clear that the non-zero elements of $\wedge^0 V = k$ are not primitive. Further we see directly from the definitions that the elements of $\wedge^1 V = V$ are primitive. Let now $y \in \wedge^n V$ with $n \geq 2$. Write

$$[(\wedge^\bullet V) \otimes (\wedge^\bullet V)]^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \wedge^p V \otimes \wedge^q V,$$

and write $\mu(y) = \sum \mu(y)^{p,q}$ with $\mu(y)^{p,q} \in \wedge^p V \otimes \wedge^q V$. For instance, one easily finds that $\mu(y)^{n,0} = y = \mu(y)^{0,n}$ via the natural identifications $\wedge^n V \otimes k = \wedge^n V = k \otimes \wedge^n V$. Similarly, we find that the map $y \mapsto \mu(y)^{1,n-1}$ is given (on decomposable tensors) by

$$v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} v_i \otimes (v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n).$$

It follows that for $\lambda \in V^*$ the composition $\wedge^n V \rightarrow V \otimes \wedge^{n-1} V \rightarrow \wedge^{n-1} V$ given by $y \mapsto (\lambda \otimes \text{id})(\mu(y)^{1,n-1})$ is just the interior contraction $y \mapsto y \lrcorner \lambda$. The assumption that y is primitive and $n \geq 2$ implies that $\mu(y)^{1,n-1} = 0$ so we find $y \lrcorner \lambda = 0$ for all $\lambda \in V^*$. This only holds for $y = 0$. \square

§ 3. The dual of an abelian variety.

From now on, let $\pi: X \rightarrow S = \text{Spec}(k)$ be an abelian variety over a field k . We shall admit from the general theory that $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ is a group scheme over k with projective connected components. One of the main results of this section is that $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is reduced, and is therefore again an abelian variety.

Note that $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ also represents the functor $P_{X/k,0}$ of line bundles with rigidification along the zero section. As above, the identification between the two functors is given by sending the class of a line bundle L on $X \times_k T$ to the class of $L \otimes \text{pr}_T^* e^* L^{-1}$ with its canonical rigidification along $\{0\} \times T$. (In order to avoid the notation $0^* L$ we write e for the zero section of X_T .) In particular, we have a Poincaré bundle \mathcal{P} on $X \times_k \text{Pic}_{X/k}$ together with a rigidification $\alpha: \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}_{X/k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}|_{\{0\} \times \text{Pic}_{X/k}}$.

If L is a line bundle on X we have the associated Mumford bundle $\Lambda(L)$ on $X \times X$. In order to distinguish the two factors X , write $X^{(1)} = X \times \{0\}$ and $X^{(2)} = \{0\} \times X$. Viewing $\Lambda(L)$ as a family of line bundles on $X^{(1)}$ parametrised by $X^{(2)}$ we obtain a morphism

$$\varphi_L: X = X^{(2)} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}$$

which is the unique morphism with the property that $(\text{id}_X \times \varphi_L)^* \mathcal{P} \cong \Lambda(L)$. On points, the morphism φ_L is of course given by $x \mapsto [t_x^* L \otimes L^{-1}]$, just as in (2.10). We have seen in (2.10), as a consequence of the Theorem of the Square, that φ_L is a homomorphism. Further we note that φ_L factors through $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$, as X is connected and $\varphi_L(0) = 0$.

(6.18) Theorem. Let X be an abelian variety over a field k . Then $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is reduced, hence it is an abelian variety. For every ample line bundle L the homomorphism $\varphi_L: X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is an isogeny with kernel $K(L)$. We have $\dim(\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0) = \dim(X) = \dim_k H^1(X, O_X)$.

Proof. Let L be an ample line bundle on X . By Lemma (2.17), φ_L has kernel $K(L)$. Since $K(L)$ is a finite group scheme it follows that $\dim(\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0) \geq \dim(X)$. Combining this with (6.6) and (6.15) we find that $\dim(\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0) = \dim(X) = \dim_k H^1(X, O_X)$ and that $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is reduced. \square

(6.19) Definition and Notation. The abelian variety $X^t := \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is called the *dual* of X . We write \mathcal{P} , or \mathcal{P}_X , for the Poincaré bundle on $X \times X^t$ (i.e., the restriction of the Poincaré bundle on $X \times \text{Pic}_{X/k}$ to $X \times X^t$). If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homomorphism of abelian varieties over k then we write $f^t: Y^t \rightarrow X^t$ for the induced homomorphism, called the *dual* of f or the *transpose* of f . Thus, f^t is the unique homomorphism such that

$$(\text{id} \times f^t)^* \mathcal{P}_X \cong (f \times \text{id})^* \mathcal{P}_Y$$

as line bundles on $X \times Y^t$ with rigidification along $\{0\} \times Y^t$.

(6.20) Remark. We do not yet know whether $f \mapsto f^t$ is additive; in other words: if we have two homomorphisms $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$, is then $(f+g)^t$ equal to $f^t + g^t$? Similarly, is $(n_X)^t$ equal to n_{X^t} ? We shall later prove that the answer to both questions is “yes”; see (7.17). Note however that such relations certainly do not hold on all of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$; for instance, we know that if L is a line bundle with $(-1)^*L \cong L$ then $n^*L \cong L^{n^2}$ which is in general not isomorphic to L^n .

Exercises.

(6.1) Show that the functor $P_{X/S}$ defined in §1 is never representable, at least if we assume X to be a non-empty scheme.

(6.2) Let X and Y be two abelian varieties over a field k .

(i) Write $i_X: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ and $i_Y: Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ for the maps given by $x \mapsto (x, 0)$ and $y \mapsto (0, y)$, respectively. Show that the map $(i_X^t, i_Y^t): (X \times Y)^t \rightarrow X^t \times Y^t$ that sends a class $[L] \in \text{Pic}_{(X \times Y)/k}^0$ to $([L|_{X \times \{0\}}], [L|_{\{0\} \times Y}])$, is an isomorphism. [Note: in general it is certainly not true that the full Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_{X \times Y/k}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}_{X/k} \times \text{Pic}_{Y/k}$.]

(ii) Write

$$p: X \times Y \times X^t \times Y^t \longrightarrow X \times X^t \quad \text{and} \quad q: X \times Y \times X^t \times Y^t \longrightarrow Y \times Y^t$$

for the projection maps. Show that the Poincaré bundle of $X \times Y$ is isomorphic to $p^* \mathcal{P}_X \otimes q^* \mathcal{P}_Y$.

(6.3) Let L be a line bundle on an abelian variety X . Consider the homomorphism $(1, \varphi_L): X \rightarrow X \times X^t$. Show that $(1, \varphi_L)^* \mathcal{P}_X \cong L \otimes (-1)^*L$.

(6.4) The goal of this exercise is to prove the restrictions listed in (iv) of (6.11). We consider a graded bialgebra H^\bullet over a field k , with co-multiplication μ . We define the height of an element $x \in H^\bullet$ to be the smallest positive integer n such that $x^n = 0$, if such an n exists, and to be ∞ if x is not nilpotent.

- (i) If $y \in H^\bullet$ is an element of odd degree, and $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, show that $y^2 = 0$.
- (ii) If $x \in H^\bullet$ is primitive, show that $\mu(x^n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i \otimes x^{n-i}$. Conclude that if x has height $n < \infty$ then $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ and n is a power of p .
- (iii) If $H^\bullet = k\langle x \mid x^s = 0 \rangle$ with $\text{deg}(x) = d$, show that x is a primitive element. Deduce the restrictions on the height of x listed in (iv) of (6.11).