

CATEGORIES AND HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

Exercises for May 31

Exercise 1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and let I be the ideal $(6, x) \subset R$. Let M be the module I/I^2 .

- (a) Give a projective resolution of M .
- (b) Let N be another R -module. Determine $\text{Ext}_R^2(M, N)$, and give a concrete example of an N such that $\text{Ext}_R^2(M, N) \neq 0$.
- (c) Give an example of a module N such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) \neq 0$. (Do this by thinking and not by calculation!)

Exercise 2. Let R be a ring and N a (left-) R -module. Let $n \geq 1$, and suppose $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for all R -modules M .

- (a) Show that also $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$ for all M . [*Hint:* Consider a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with F a free R -module.]
- (b) Show that the functor $\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(-, N): R\text{-Mod}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is right exact.

Remark: The *injective dimension* $\text{inj.dim}_R(N) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ of the module N is defined to be the smallest integer i (possibly $i = \infty$) such that $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for all $n > i$ and all M . This is one of the many homological invariants that one may associate with a module and that attempt to capture meaningful properties of it.

Exercise 3. Let

$$\mathcal{E} : \quad 0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} M \longrightarrow 0$$

be an extension of R -modules. Prove that the extension

$$\mathcal{E}' : \quad 0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{-\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} M \longrightarrow 0$$

is the inverse of \mathcal{E} for the Baer sum and that the split extension

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} M \oplus N \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} M \longrightarrow 0$$

is the neutral element for the Baer sum.

Exercise 4. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings. If M is a (left-) S -module, we may view M as an R -module via the homomorphism f ; we denote this R -module by $f^*(M)$. (The notation ${}_R M$ is also sometimes used for this.)

- (a) Show that $f^*: S\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$ is a faithful exact functor. Is f^* always full?
- (b) Let $\alpha: P_\bullet \rightarrow M$ be a projective resolution of M . Show that $\alpha: f^*(P_\bullet) \rightarrow f^*(M)$ is a resolution of $f^*(M)$ by R -modules. Show, by means of a concrete example, that the $f^*(P_i)$ need not be projective R -modules.
- (c) Let $\beta: Q_\bullet \rightarrow f^*(M)$ be a projective resolution of $f^*(M)$. Show (with P_\bullet as in (b)) that there is a morphism of complexes $g: Q_\bullet \rightarrow f^*(P)$ such that $\alpha \circ g = \beta$, and that g is unique up to homotopy.

We can now define a homomorphism

$$f^*: \text{Ext}_S^i(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(f^*(M), f^*(N))$$

by considering (with notation as above) the morphism of cochain complexes

$$\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(Q_\bullet, f^*(N))$$

given by $h \mapsto f^*(h) \circ g$, and then applying \mathcal{H}^i .

- (d) Let k be a field, and take $f: R \rightarrow S$ to be the homomorphism $k \rightarrow k[x]$. Show that $f^*: \text{Ext}_{k[x]}^1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_k^1(f^*(M), f^*(N))$ is the zero map, and conclude that f^* is in general not injective.
- (e) Take $f: R \rightarrow S$ to be the canonical homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Show that again $f^*: \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}^1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}}^1(f^*(M), f^*(N))$ is the zero map, and conclude that f^* is in general not surjective.

Exercise 5. Let k be a field, let G be a finite group, and let $k[G]$ be the group ring of G over k . Recall that the category of $k[G]$ -modules is isomorphic to the category of k -linear representations of G . A representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, with V a k -vector space, is said to be *irreducible* if $V \neq 0$ and if V does not have any G -stable subspaces other than 0 and V itself. This is of course equivalent to V being a *simple* R -module (i.e., $V \neq 0$ and V has no non-trivial $k[G]$ -submodules). A representation is said to be *semisimple*, or *completely reducible*, if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible representations.

A famous theorem of Maschke says that when the characteristic of k does not divide the order of the group G , every finite-dimensional representation of G is semisimple. This result plays a very important role in representation theory. The goal of this exercise is to show that this result is false if $\text{char}(k)$ divides $\#G$. In that case the characteristic of k of course needs to be positive; so from now on we assume $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ and $p | \#G$.

- (a) As a first example, show that for $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we have $k[G] \cong k[t]/(t^p)$, and use this to give an explicit example of a $k[G]$ -module E that is not semisimple. [For the first assertion see the exercises of 1 March.]
- (b) Still with $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, show that there exists $k[G]$ -modules M and N such that the module E that you have found in (a) is an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

that corresponds to a non-zero class in $\text{Ext}_{k[G]}^1(M, N)$.

We now turn to the general case of a group G with $p \nmid \#G$. Let $\epsilon: k[G] \rightarrow k$ be the homomorphism given by $\sum_{g \in G} c_g \cdot g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} c_g$. The kernel $I = \text{Ker}(\epsilon)$ is called the *augmentation ideal* of $k[G]$.

- (c) Show that I is a $k[G]$ -submodule of $k[G]$.

Let $V \subset k[G]$ be any other $k[G]$ -submodule with $V \neq I$. We are going to show that $I \cap V \neq 0$. Define

$$\gamma = \sum_{g \in G} 1 \cdot g \in k[G].$$

- (d) Let $v = \sum_{g \in G} c_g \cdot g$ be an element of V that is not in I . Show that $\gamma \cdot v$ is a non-zero element of $V \cap I$.
- (e) Conclude that for any $k[G]$ -submodule $V \subset k[G]$ we have $V \cap I \neq (0)$, and use this to conclude that the $k[G]$ -module $k[G]$ is not semisimple.