

# Hand-in Assignment 2

Milan Lopuhaä

To be handed in November 29<sup>th</sup>, 2016

You are allowed to use all problems of the problem sessions so far as proven statements.

1. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra.

(a) Show that  $\mathfrak{g}/\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  is semisimple.

(b) Let  $\mathfrak{z}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . From now on assume that  $\mathfrak{z} = \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ ; a Lie algebra with this property is called reductive. Show that the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple; hence  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  is semisimple and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  (see problem 5 of October 18<sup>th</sup>).

(c) Let  $\varrho$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{z}$ , and let  $\varrho'$  be a representation of  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ . Suppose  $\varrho(Z)$  is semisimple for alle  $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ . Show that the representation  $\varrho \boxtimes \varrho'$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple (see problem 5 of November 1<sup>st</sup>).

2. Consider the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ . In this exercise, we take the same ordering on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{W}}$  as in the lectures. In particular the highest weight of a representation is of the form  $aL_1 - bL_3$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Now let  $V$  be an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with heighest weight  $\alpha = aL_1 - bL_3$ . What is the highest weight of the representation  $V^{\vee}$ ?

3. Let  $V$  be the standard representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ .

(a) Determine the weights of the following representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ :

$$\text{Sym}^2(V), \quad \text{Sym}^2(V^{\vee}), \quad \text{Sym}^2(V^{\vee}) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(V).$$

(b) Deduce from the weights that the representation  $\text{Sym}^2(V^{\vee}) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(V)$  is not irreducible.

(c) Show that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}^2(V^{\vee}) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(V) &\rightarrow V^{\vee} \otimes V \\ (\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2) \otimes (v_1 \cdot v_2) &\mapsto \sum_{i,j=1,2} \lambda_i(v_j)(\lambda_{3-i} \otimes v_{3-j}) \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined and is a surjective homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -representations.

(d) Deduce that  $\text{Sym}^2(V^{\vee}) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(V)$  has a subrepresentation isomorphic to  $V^{\vee} \otimes V$ .