

1. DIVISORS

Let X be a complete non-singular curve.

Definition 1.1. A *divisor* on X is an element of the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^{(X)}$ on X , i.e., a \mathbb{Z} -valued function $D: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $D(P) \neq 0$ for at most finitely many $P \in X$.

We often write divisors as a finite formal sum $D = \sum_{P \in X} D(P) \cdot P$ with $D(P) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The *degree* $\deg D$ of a divisor D is the element $\sum_{P \in X} D(P)$ of \mathbb{Z} . Clearly the degree induces a homomorphism of abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}^{(X)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. For example, if P, Q, R are points on X , then $D = -P + 3Q - 4R$ is a divisor on X , of degree -2 .

Let $f \in k(X)^*$ be a non-zero rational function on X . For a point $P \in X$, let $v_P: \text{Frac } \mathcal{O}_{X,P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the discrete valuation of $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$.

Lemma 1.2. *We have $v_P(f) \neq 0$ for only finitely many $P \in X$.*

Proof. Choose an open affine cover $X = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ of X . We can write $f|_{U_i} = g_i/h_i$ for suitable $g_i, h_i \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$. As each U_i has dimension one, the zero loci $Z(g_i)$ and $Z(h_i)$ are finite sets. For $P \in U_i \setminus (Z(g_i) \cup Z(h_i))$ we have $v_P(f) = 0$. \square

Definition 1.3. Let $f \in k(X)^*$ be a non-zero rational function on X . We define the *divisor of f* to be the divisor $\text{div } f = \sum_{P \in X} v_P(f) \cdot P$ on X . By the Lemma, this is well-defined.

The map $k(X)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(X)}$ given by $f \mapsto \text{div } f$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups. The image is called the group of *principal divisors* on X , notation $\text{Princ}(X)$. The quotient group $\mathbb{Z}^{(X)}/\text{Princ}(X)$ is called the *class group* of X , notation $\text{Cl}(X)$. Elements of $\text{Cl}(X)$ are called *divisor classes*. We say that two divisors D, E on X are *linearly equivalent*, notation $D \sim E$, if D and E define the same class in $\text{Cl}(X)$.

Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complete non-singular curves. Note that φ is either constant or surjective. Assume that φ is surjective. Then X is the normalization of Y in the function field of X . In particular, the morphism φ is finite, hence quasi-finite and proper. Let $P \in X$ and put $Q = \varphi(P)$. The ramification index of φ at P can be obtained as follows: let π_Q a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,Q}$, then $e_P = v_P(\varphi^*(\pi_Q))$. We recall that $\sum_{P \in X, \varphi(P)=Q} e_P = \deg \varphi$.

Definition 1.4. View Q as a divisor of degree one on Y . We define $\varphi^*(Q)$ to be the divisor $\sum_{P \in X, \varphi(P)=Q} e_P \cdot P$ on X . By extending this linearly we obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups $\varphi^*: \mathbb{Z}^{(Y)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(X)}$.

This definition may seem a little ad hoc; it becomes more natural when we choose, instead, to work with ‘Cartier’ divisors. Anyway, the pullback of divisors defined in this way has some good properties: for $D \in \mathbb{Z}^{(Y)}$ we clearly have

$$(1) \quad \deg \varphi^*(D) = (\deg \varphi) \cdot \deg D.$$

Moreover, we have functoriality: if $\psi: Y \rightarrow Z$ is a surjective morphism, then $(\psi \circ \varphi)^* = \varphi^* \circ \psi^*$ as maps from $\mathbb{Z}^{(Z)}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{(X)}$.

Recall that we can view each element $f \in k(Y)$ as a rational map $f: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. As Y is non-singular and \mathbb{P}^1 is complete, the rational map f extends as a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If f is not constant, then f is surjective. For a non-constant $f \in k(Y)$ we have $\text{div } f = f^*(0 - \infty)$. We obtain:

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective morphism, and $f \in k(Y)^*$ a non-zero rational function. Then*

- (i) $\varphi^* \operatorname{div} f = \operatorname{div} \varphi^* f$;
- (ii) $\deg \operatorname{div} f = 0$.

Proof. We obtain (i) for non-constant f by functoriality. For constant f both sides of the equality are zero. We obtain (ii) from equation (1) and the fact that $\deg(0 - \infty) = 0$. \square

By item (i), the group homomorphism $\varphi^*: \mathbb{Z}^{(Y)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(X)}$ descends to a group homomorphism $\varphi^*: \operatorname{Cl}(Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(X)$. By item (ii), the degree homomorphism $\deg: \mathbb{Z}^{(Y)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ factors through a homomorphism $\operatorname{Cl}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Cl}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\deg} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow \varphi^* & & \downarrow \cdot \deg \varphi \\ \operatorname{Cl}(X) & \xrightarrow{\deg} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

of abelian groups.

A divisor D on X is called effective, notation $D \geq 0$, if $D(P) \geq 0$ for all $P \in X$. We write $E \geq D$ if $E - D \geq 0$.

Definition 1.6. Let D be a divisor on X . The *Riemann-Roch space* of D is the subset

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(D) &= \{0\} \cup \{f \in k(X)^* \mid \operatorname{div} f + D \geq 0\} \\ &= \{0\} \cup \{f \in k(X)^* \mid v_P(f) \geq -D(P) \text{ for all } P \in X\} \end{aligned}$$

of $k(X)$.

- Proposition 1.7.** (i) *The Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is a sub- k -vector space of $k(X)$.*
(ii) *If $D \sim E$, say $D = E + \operatorname{div} g$, where $g \in k(X)^*$, then the multiplication $f \mapsto fg$ yields a k -linear isomorphism $\mathcal{L}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(E)$.*
(iii) *If $\deg D < 0$, then $\mathcal{L}(D) = (0)$.*
(iv) *If $\deg D \geq 0$, the dimension $\dim_k \mathcal{L}(D)$ is finite, and bounded above by $\deg D + 1$.*

Proof. (i) This follows from the fact that $\operatorname{div} \alpha f = \operatorname{div} f$ for all $\alpha \in k^*$ and the fact that $v_P(f + g) \geq \min\{v_P(f), v_P(g)\}$ for all $f, g \in k(X)$ and all $P \in X$.

(ii) Note that $\operatorname{div} fg = \operatorname{div} f + \operatorname{div} g$. Hence $D + \operatorname{div} f \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow E + \operatorname{div} fg \geq 0$.

(iii) Assume f is a non-zero element of $\mathcal{L}(D)$. Then $\operatorname{div} f + D \geq 0$, in particular we have $\deg D = \deg(\operatorname{div} f + D) \geq 0$.

(iv) By (ii) we may assume that D is effective. Write $D = \sum_{P \in X} D(P) \cdot P$ with all $D(P) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Choose a uniformizer π_P for each point $P \in X$. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$. Then $f \in \pi_P^{-D(P)} \mathcal{O}_{X,P}$ and this projects to an element $\bar{f} \in \pi_P^{-D(P)} \mathcal{O}_{X,P} / \mathcal{O}_{X,P}$. By the theory of discrete valuation rings, the latter is an $\mathcal{O}_{X,P} / \mathfrak{m}_P$ -module, i.e. a k -vector space, of dimension $D(P)$. Collecting all points $P \in X$ together we obtain a k -linear ‘evaluation’ map $\operatorname{ev}: \mathcal{L}(D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{P \in X} \pi_P^{-D(P)} \mathcal{O}_{X,P} / \mathcal{O}_{X,P}$. An element in the kernel of ev is regular at all $P \in X$, hence constant. The vector space on the right hand side has dimension $\sum_{P \in X} D(P) = \deg D$. We find that $\dim_k \mathcal{L}(D) \leq \deg D + 1$. \square

We write $l(D)$ as a shorthand for $\dim_k \mathcal{L}(D)$. The map $\mathbb{Z}^{(X)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ given by $D \mapsto l(D)$ factors over $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$ by (ii).

Example 1.1. If D is effective, then $\mathcal{L}(D)$ contains k , and hence $l(D) \geq 1$. If $D = 0$, then $\mathcal{L}(D) = k$ and $l(D) = 1$.

Example 1.2. Let $P \in X$. If $l(P) \geq 2$, then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Indeed, let $f \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ be non-constant. Then f is a surjective morphism $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. From the condition $\text{div } f + P \geq 0$ we infer that $f^*\infty \leq P$. As $f^*\infty > 0$ we find that $f^*\infty = P$ hence $\deg f = 1$. So the inclusion of function fields $k(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow k(X)$ induced by f is an equality. It follows that f is an isomorphism by Theorem 8.25.

Example 1.3. Consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ together with the divisor $D = m_\infty \cdot \infty + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot a_i$ on X . Here $a_i \in \mathbb{A}^1 = k$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We would like to compute $l(D)$. Put $d = \deg D = m_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$. By Proposition 1.7(iii) we can assume that $d \geq 0$. For $h = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - a_i)^{m_i}$ we have $\text{div } h = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot a_i - (\sum_{i=1}^n m_i) \cdot \infty$. Let $D' = D - \text{div } h = d \cdot \infty$. By Proposition 1.7(ii) multiplication by h^{-1} yields a k -linear isomorphism $\mathcal{L}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(D')$. Note that

$$\mathcal{L}(D') = \mathcal{L}(d \cdot \infty) = \{f \in k[x] \mid \deg f \leq d\} = k \oplus k \cdot x \oplus \dots \oplus k \cdot x^d.$$

We find $l(D) = l(D') = d + 1 = \deg D + 1$.

2. DIFFERENTIALS

Let A be a ring and B an A -algebra. Let M be a B -module. An A -derivation from B to M is an A -linear map $d: B \rightarrow M$ such that $d(fg) = fdg + gdf$ for all $f, g \in B$. There exists a universal A -derivation $d: B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}$; the B -module $\Omega_{B/A}$ is called the module of *Kähler differentials* of B over A . An explicit description of $\Omega_{B/A}$ is as the module generated over B by formal symbols df , where f runs through B , together with the relations $d(fg) = fdg + gdf$ for all $f, g \in B$, $d(f+g) = df + dg$ for all $f, g \in B$, and $da = 0$ for all $a \in A$. If $B \rightarrow C$ is a ring morphism, note that there is a natural exact sequence of C -linear maps $\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B} \rightarrow 0$ (cf. [HAG], Proposition II.8.3A, the ‘first exact sequence’).

[HAG], Theorem II.8.6A says:

Theorem 2.1. *Let K be a finitely generated extension of a field k . Then $\dim_K \Omega_{K/k} \geq \text{tr.deg}(K/k)$, and equality holds if and only if K is separably generated over k .*

Let X be a complete nonsingular curve, and $k(X)$ be its function field. We obtain as corollaries:

Proposition 2.2. *The module of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{k(X)/k}$ is a 1-dimensional $k(X)$ -vector space.*

Proof. Recall that $k(X)$ is finitely separably generated over k . □

The elements of $\Omega_{k(X)/k}$ are called *rational differential forms* on X .

Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective morphism of complete nonsingular curves.

Proposition 2.3. *The natural $k(X)$ -linear map $\Omega_{k(Y)/k} \otimes_{k(Y)} k(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{k(X)/k}$ is either zero or an isomorphism. It is an isomorphism if and only if the finite field extension $k(X) \supset k(Y)$ is separable.*

Proof. Both $\Omega_{k(Y)/k} \otimes_{k(Y)} k(X)$ and $\Omega_{k(X)/k}$ are 1-dimensional $k(X)$ -vector spaces, from which the first statement follows. The second follows from the ‘first exact sequence’. □

Example 2.1. Assume that k has characteristic $p > 0$ and consider the morphism $F: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by $x \mapsto x^p$. The corresponding extension of function fields is $k(x) \supset k(x^p)$. As $d(x^p) = 0$ we have that the natural $k(x)$ -linear map $\Omega_{k(x^p)/k} \otimes_{k(x^p)} k(x) \rightarrow \Omega_{k(x)/k}$ is the zero map.

A finite field extension $K \supset L$ is a tower of finite separable extensions and purely inseparable extensions. We say that $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is purely inseparable if the corresponding function field extension $k(X) \supset k(Y)$ is purely inseparable. Note that a purely inseparable $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree p is everywhere ramified with ramification index p . If $P \in X$ and $\pi_P \in k(X)$ is a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$ then the surjective morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by π_P is unramified at P and hence the morphism is separable. In particular, the element $d\pi_P$ is non-zero in $k(X)$.

Let ω be a non-zero element of $\Omega_{k(X)/k}$, and $P \in X$. Let π_P be a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$. We define the element $\omega(\pi_P) \in k(X)$ to be the unique element $f \in k(X)$ such that $\omega = fd\pi_P$. We define $v_P(\omega)$ to be the valuation at P of $\omega(\pi_P)$. It is straightforward to verify that this definition is independent of the choice of generator π_P .

Lemma 2.4. *We have $v_P(\omega) \neq 0$ for only finitely many $P \in X$.*

Proof. Let $P \in X$ be a point, choose a uniformizer π_P at P and write $\omega = fd\pi_P$. Let U be the dense open subset of points $Q \in X$ where f is non-zero regular, π_P is regular, and $\pi_P - \pi_P(Q)$ is a uniformizer (for the latter we have to discard the poles of π_P and the finitely many points where π_P ramifies). As $d\pi_P = d(\pi_P - \pi_P(Q))$ for all $Q \in U$ we have $v_Q(\omega) = 0$ for all $Q \in U$. As $X \setminus U$ is finite, the result follows. \square

We put

$$\operatorname{div} \omega = \sum_{P \in X} v_P(\omega) \cdot P.$$

By the Lemma $\operatorname{div} \omega$ is a divisor on X . We call such a divisor a *canonical divisor* of X . For $f \in k(X)$ a non-zero rational function one has

$$\operatorname{div}(f\omega) = \operatorname{div} f + \operatorname{div} \omega.$$

Thus, the class of a non-zero differential form on X is a well-defined element of $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$, the *canonical divisor class*.

Example 2.2. Take $\omega = dx$ on $X = \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $\operatorname{div} \omega = -2 \cdot \infty$.

Definition 2.5. Let K be a canonical divisor on X . The *genus* of X is the dimension $l(K) = \dim_k \mathcal{L}(K)$ of the Riemann-Roch space associated to K .

Example 2.3. For $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ we have $\deg K = -2 < 0$ hence the genus of \mathbb{P}^1 is zero.

3. RIEMANN-ROCH

A very powerful result is the Riemann-Roch theorem, which we state without proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a complete nonsingular curve, and D a divisor on X . Let K be a canonical divisor on X . Let g be the genus of X . Then the equality*

$$l(D) - l(K - D) = \deg D - g + 1$$

holds.

By taking $D = K$ and recalling that $\mathcal{L}(0) = k$ we find that $\deg K = 2g - 2$. If $\deg D > 2g - 2$ then $K - D$ has negative degree and we find that $l(D) = \deg D - g + 1$.