

# Representations of Algebraic Groups

## Lecture 4, Sept. 27, 2016

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a repr. Suppose we have a collection  $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  of  $G$ -stable subspaces of  $V$ . Then their span  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} W_\alpha$  is again a  $G$ -stable subspace.

We say that  $\sum W_\alpha$  is the direct sum of the  $W_\alpha$  if every  $y \in \sum W_\alpha$  can be written uniquely as  $y = \sum y_\alpha$  with  $y_\alpha \in W_\alpha$ . In this case we write the sum as  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} W_\alpha$ .

In order for  $\sum W_\alpha$  to be a direct sum we must have:

$$\text{for any subset } B \subseteq A \text{ and } \alpha \in A \setminus B : W_\alpha \cap \left( \sum_{\beta \in B} W_\beta \right) = 0.$$

Definition A repr.  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  is irreducible if  $V \neq 0$  and  $V$  has no  $G$ -stable subspaces other than  $(0)$  and  $V$  itself.

A repr. is semisimple, or: completely reducible, if it is  $\cong$  to a direct sum of irreducible repr.

Lemma A: Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation,  
 $W \subseteq V$  a  $G$ -stable subspace

$\{Y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  a collection of irreducible  $G$ -stable subspaces

such that  $V = W + \sum_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha$ . Then there is a subset  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $V$  is the direct sum of  $W$  and the subspaces  $Y_\beta$  with  $\beta \in B$ . In other

words:  $V = W \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in B} Y_\beta$ .

Proof: Let  $B \subseteq A$  be a subset for which the sum  $W + \sum_{\beta \in B} Y_\beta$  <sup>is direct</sup>, and which is maximal with respect to this property. All we need to show is



that  $W + \sum_{\beta \in B} Y_{\beta} = V$ . For any  $\alpha \in A$  the sum  $W + \left(\sum_{\beta \in B} Y_{\beta}\right) + Y_{\alpha}$  is not direct, and it follows that  $U := Y_{\alpha} \cap \left(W + \sum_{\beta \in B} Y_{\beta}\right)$  is not  $(0)$ . As  $U$  is a  $G$ -stable subspace of  $Y_{\alpha}$  and  $Y_{\alpha}$  is irreducible,  $U = Y_{\alpha}$ ; this shows that  $Y_{\alpha} \subseteq W + \sum_{\beta \in B} Y_{\beta}$ . As this holds for all  $\alpha$ , it follows that  $V = W + \sum_{\alpha \in A} Y_{\alpha} \subseteq W + \sum_{\beta \in B} Y_{\beta}$ .  $\square$

Proposition Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a repr. Then the following are equiv:

- (1)  $V$  is semisimple
- (2)  $V$  is spanned by its irreducible submodules
- (3) for every  $G$ -stable subspace  $W \subset V$  there exists a  $G$ -stable  $W' \subset V$  such that  $V = W \oplus W'$ .

Proof (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) : obvious ; (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) : Apply the lemma with  $W = (0)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) : Apply the lemma and take  $W' = \bigoplus_{\beta \in B} Y_{\beta}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) : Let  $W$  be the span of all irreducible submodules in  $V$ .

If  $W \subsetneq V$  then (3) gives a  $G$ -stable  $W' \subset V$  with  $V = W \oplus W'$ , and  $W' \neq (0)$ .

Let  $W'' \subset W'$  be any minimal  $G$ -stable subspace  $\neq (0)$ . Then  $W''$  is irreducible as a representation of  $G$ , hence  $W'' \subset W$ , contradicting the fact that  $V$  is the direct sum of  $W$  and  $W'$ . Hence  $W = V$ , i.e., (2) holds.  $\square$

Example Let  $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$  and consider the tautological representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . This representation is not semisimple: the line  $W = \mathbb{C} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a subrepr., and it is the only 1-dim'l subrepr. of  $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ .



Proposition Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\sigma: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  be repr.,  $h: V \rightarrow W$  a homom of repr.

(i)  $\text{Ker}(h) \subset V$  and  $\text{Im}(h) \subset W$  are  $G$ -stable.

(ii) If  $h \neq 0$  and  $V$  is irreducible then  $h$  is  $\hookrightarrow$ .

(iii) If  $h \neq 0$  and  $W$  is irreducible then  $h$  is  $\twoheadrightarrow$ .

Proof: left as an (easy) exercise.

Corollary (Schur's lemma) If  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  is irreducible then  $\text{End}_G(V) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}_V$ .

(Here  $\text{End}_G(V) = \text{End}(\rho) = \{G\text{-equivariant lin. maps } h: V \rightarrow V\}$ .)

Proof Clear:  $\mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}_V \subset \text{End}_G(V)$ . If  $0 \neq h \in \text{End}_G(V)$  then  $h$  is a bijection. Hence  $\text{End}_G(V)$  is a division ring. Now take any  $0 \neq h \in \text{End}_G(V)$  and let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $h$ . Then  $h - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V$  is a non-invertible element of  $\text{End}_G(V)$ ; hence  $h = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V$ .  $\square$

Definition An algebraic group  $T$  is called a torus if  $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r$  for some  $r \geq 0$ . In this case  $r$  is called the rank of  $T$ .

(Caution: do not confuse this with the notion of a complex torus that you may have seen in other courses.)

Example Let

$$T = \left\{ \text{diagonal matrices in } \mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{C}) \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_n \end{pmatrix} \mid \prod a_i = 1 \right\}.$$

This is a torus of rank  $n-1$ :  $\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} T$  by

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mapsto \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, (a_1 \cdots a_{n-1})^{-1}).$$



If  $T$  is a torus of rank  $> 0$  then the isomorphism  $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r$  is not canonical. Eg., in the previous example with  $n=3$  we could just as well have used  $\mathbb{G}_m^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} T$  given by

$$(a_1, a_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{-1} & a_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1^2 & a_2^{-3} \\ 0 & 0 & a_1^{-1} a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

However, as we shall see there is a good way to "repair" this.

Lemma  $\text{End}(\mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Z}$   
 $(z \mapsto z^n) \longleftarrow n$

Note:  $\text{End}(\mathbb{G}_m) = \{ \text{homom of alg. groups } \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \}$

Proof (cf. Exercises of last week): any endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra is given by  $x \mapsto c \cdot x^n$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , because the elements  $c \cdot x^n$  are the only units in  $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$ . Now remark that  $z \mapsto c \cdot z^n$  gives an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  only if  $c=1$ .  $\square$

Definition If  $T$  is a torus, let

$$X_*(T) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T) \quad \text{cocharacter group}$$

$$X^*(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_m) \quad \text{character group}$$

Proposition (i) If  $T$  has rank  $r$  then  $X^*(T)$  and  $X_*(T)$  are free abelian groups of rank  $r$  (i.e.,  $\cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ ).

(ii) The pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X_*(T) \times X^*(T) \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(\lambda, \chi) \longmapsto \chi \circ \lambda$$

is a perfect pairing; this means that

$$X^*(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(X_*(T), \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{by } \chi \mapsto \langle -, \chi \rangle$$

$$X_*(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(X^*(T), \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{by } \lambda \mapsto \langle \lambda, - \rangle.$$



Proof Choose an isomorphism  $T \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_m^r$ ; this induces isomorphisms  $X^*(T) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m^r, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$  and  $X_*(T) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m^r) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ . (Use the lemma.) Taking these as identifications,  $\langle -, - \rangle$  becomes the standard pairing  $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $\langle (k_1, \dots, k_r), (l_1, \dots, l_r) \rangle = \sum k_i l_i$ .  $\square$

Remark If  $f: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  is an algebraic homomorphism between tori, we get induced homomorphisms of groups

$$X_*(f): X_*(T_1) \rightarrow X_*(T_2)$$

$$X^*(f): X^*(T_2) \rightarrow X^*(T_1) \quad \text{note the order.}$$

Remark If  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $X^*(T)$ , the homom.  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^r$  given by  $t \mapsto (\chi_1(t), \dots, \chi_r(t))$  is an  $\cong$ .

Conversely, if  $\varphi: T \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_m^r$  is an isomorphism, the characters  $\chi_i = \text{pr}_i \circ \varphi$  (with  $\text{pr}_i: \mathbb{G}_m^r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  the projections) form a basis of  $X^*(T)$ .

(Formally we could even write  $T = \mathbb{G}_m^{X^*(T)} \dots$ )

Theorem Let  $\rho: T \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  be an algebraic representation. For  $\chi \in X^*(T)$ , define

$$V_\chi = \left\{ v \in V \mid \rho_t(v) = \chi(t) \cdot v \text{ for all } t \in T \right\}.$$

Then

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X^*(T)} V_\chi.$$

Proof Step 1: the special case  $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ . In this case, we identify  $X^*(T) = \mathbb{Z}$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we let

$$V_n = \left\{ v \in V \mid \rho_t(v) = t^n \cdot v \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{C}^* \right\}.$$



The claim is that  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ .

To see this, write  $\mathcal{O}(G_m) = \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}] = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cdot x^n$ . Also, choose a basis  $\mathbb{C}^d \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ , which gives an identification  $GL(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} GL_d(\mathbb{C})$  and write  $\mathcal{O}(GL_d(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}[y_{ij}, \frac{1}{\det}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ . Let  $\rho^*: \mathbb{C}[y_{ij}, \frac{1}{\det}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$  be the homomorphism induced by  $\rho$ , and write

$$\rho^*(y_{ij}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{ij}^{(n)} \cdot x^n \quad \text{with } \gamma_{ij}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$(\gamma_{ij}^{(n)} = 0 \text{ for almost all } n)$$

Let  $\Gamma^{(n)}$  be the  $d \times d$  matrix with coeff.  $\gamma_{ij}^{(n)}$ ; so  $\Gamma^{(n)} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ . Now  $\rho: G_m \rightarrow GL_d(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$\rho(\alpha) = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{ij}^{(n)} \cdot \alpha^n \right)_{ij} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma^{(n)} \cdot \alpha^n$$

Then  $\rho(\alpha\beta) = \rho(\alpha) \cdot \rho(\beta)$  gives:

$$\left( \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma^{(p)} \cdot \alpha^p \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma^{(q)} \cdot \beta^q \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma^{(n)} \cdot \alpha^n \beta^n$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . This implies:

$$\Gamma^{(p)} \cdot \Gamma^{(q)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \\ \Gamma^{(n)} & \text{if } p = q = n \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $\rho(1) = \mathbb{1}$  gives that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma^{(n)} = \mathbb{1}$ . So the  $\Gamma^{(n)}$  (which are almost all 0) form an orthogonal collection of idempotents in the ring  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ . Taking  $V_n = \text{Im}(\Gamma^{(n)})$  we get a decomposition  $V = \mathbb{C}^d = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$  such that  $\Gamma^{(n)} =$  projection onto  $V_n$ , and we find:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \text{multiplication by } \alpha^n \text{ on } V_n$$



Step 2: The general case.

Use induction on  $r = \text{rank}(T)$ . If  $r > 1$ , fix an isomorphism  $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r$ , let  $T' = \mathbb{G}_m^{r-1} \times \{1\}$  and  $T'' = \{1\} \times \mathbb{G}_m \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ , so that  $T = T' \times T''$ . On character groups:  $X^*(T) = X^*(T') \oplus X^*(T'')$  with  $X^*(T'') = \mathbb{Z}$ . By induction:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\psi \in X^*(T')} V_\psi \quad \text{where}$$

$$V_\psi = \left\{ v \in V \mid \rho_{t'}(v) = \psi(t') \cdot v \text{ for all } t' \in T' \right\}. \quad \text{Note:}$$

the action of  $T''$  on  $V$  commutes with the action of  $T'$  and therefore maps each  $V_\psi$  into itself. So for each  $\psi$  we get a further decomposition

$$V_\psi = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\psi, n}. \quad \text{In total this gives the desired decomposition}$$

$$V = \bigoplus_{\psi \in X^*(T')} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\psi, n} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X^*(T)} V_\chi. \quad \square$$

Of course, every character  $\chi \in X^*(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{C}))$  can be viewed as a 1-dim'l repr. of  $T$ , automatically irreducible. If  $d(\chi) = \dim(V_\chi)$  then the choice of a basis for  $V_\chi$  gives an isomorphism of representations  $V_\chi \cong \chi^{\oplus d(\chi)}$ . Hence:

Corollary Every (algebraic) repr. of a torus is semisimple.

The theorem nicely illustrates the concept of an isotypic decomposition:

If  $G$  is any alg. group, define

$$\text{Irrep}(G) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \cong \text{ classes of irreducible (finite dim'l) } \\ \text{algebraic) repr. of } G \end{array} \right\}$$

E.g. :  $\text{Irrep}(T) \cong X^*(T)$ .



In practice we choose a representative for each class in  $\text{Irrep}(G)$ ; so if we write  $\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)$  we think of  $\chi$  as being an actual repr. of  $G$ .

If  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is a semisimple repr. then  $V \cong \bigoplus$  of irreducible repr.; but such a decomposition is in general not unique or canonical. Example: if  $G = \{1\}$  then such a decomposition is the same as writing  $V$  as a direct sum of lines.

For  $\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)$ , define

$$V_\chi = \text{Span of all irreducible sub-repr. } W \subseteq V \text{ with } W \cong \chi.$$

We call  $V_\chi$  the  $\chi$ -isotypic component of  $V$ .

Proposition Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  be a semisimple repr. Then

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)} V_\chi$$

and for each  $\chi$ :  $V_\chi \cong$  direct sum of copies of  $\chi$ .

(Of course  $V_\chi = 0$  for almost all  $\chi$ .)

Proof Let  $A$  be the collection of all irreducible submodules of  $V$ ,

and for  $\alpha \in A$  denote the corresponding irr. submod. by  $W_\alpha \subseteq V$ .

For  $\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)$ , let  $A(\chi) \subset A$  be the subset of all  $\alpha$  for which  $W_\alpha \cong \chi$ . We have  $A = \bigsqcup_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)} A(\chi)$ .

Because  $V$  is semisimple, there is a subset  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $V = \bigoplus_{\beta \in B} W_\beta$ .

Let  $B(\chi) = B \cap A(\chi)$ , and  $W_\chi = \bigoplus_{\beta \in B(\chi)} W_\beta$ .

If  $\alpha \in A(\chi)$ , consider the compositions

$$\pi_\beta: W_\alpha \hookrightarrow V = \bigoplus_{\beta \in B} W_\beta \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_\beta} W_\beta$$

with  $\text{pr}_\beta$  the projection into the component  $W_\beta$ .



Then  $\pi_\beta = 0$  for  $\beta \notin B(\chi)$ ; hence  $W_\alpha \subseteq W_\chi = \bigoplus_{\beta \in B(\chi)} W_\beta$ . It follows that  $V_\chi = W_\chi$ , which is a direct sum of copies of  $\chi$ . Finally, it is clear that  $V = \bigoplus_\chi W_\chi = \bigoplus_\chi V_\chi$ .  $\square$

Remarks (1) In the proof we use: if  $\psi \neq \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  then  $\text{Hom}(\chi, \psi) = 0$

(2) Theotypic decomposition is canonical: if  $h: V \rightarrow W$  is a  $G$ -equivariant homomorphism of semisimple repr. then for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,  $h$  restricts to a map  $h_\chi: V_\chi \rightarrow W_\chi$ .