

## **2. THE EUCLIDEAN ALGORITHM**

## More ring essentials

In this chapter: rings  $R$  commutative with 1.

An element  $b \in R$  *divides*  $a \in R$ , or  $b$  is a *divisor of*  $a$ , or  $a$  is *divisible by*  $b$ , or  $a$  is a *multiple of*  $b$ , if there exists  $c \in R$  such that  $a = b \cdot c$ . Elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $R$  are *associates* if there exists a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $a = u \cdot b$  (so  $a$  is a multiple of  $b$  and  $b$  is a multiple of  $a$ ).

The element  $b$  is a *proper divisor* of  $a \in R$  if  $b$  is neither a unit nor an associate of  $a$ . An element is *irreducible* in  $R$  if it has no proper divisors in  $R$ ; other wise it is *reducible*. Note that units are irreducible. The zero element is irreducible if and only if  $R$  is a domain.

## Primes

A *prime element* is a non-unit  $\pi \in R$  with the property that if  $\pi$  divides  $a \cdot b$  then  $\pi$  divides  $a$  or  $b$ .

**Lemma** *If  $R$  is a domain then:  $\pi \in R$  prime implies  $\pi$  is irreducible in  $R$ .*

For, if  $\pi = \alpha \cdot \beta$ , with  $\alpha, \beta$  non-units, then  $\pi$  divides  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ , but if  $\pi$  divides  $\alpha$  then  $\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma = \alpha$  for some  $\gamma$ , so  $\beta \cdot \gamma - 1 = 0$ , contrary to  $\beta$  being non-unit.

Converse is false in general ( $z$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(z^2 - xy)$ , or  $2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ ).

## Unique factorization domains

A *unique factorization domain* (UFD) is a domain in which every non-zero non-unit can be written as a product of irreducible non-units in a way that is unique up to order and associates.

**Lemma** *If  $R$  is a UFD then every irreducible element  $\alpha$  in  $R$  is prime.*

For, if  $\alpha$  divides  $a \cdot b$  but neither  $a$  nor  $b$  (non-units), then  $a \cdot b$  would have two factorizations into irreducibles, one containing (an associate of)  $\alpha$ , the other not.

**Examples** of unique factorization domains:

- (i)  $\mathbb{Z}$
- (ii) any field  $F$
- (iii) any principal ideal domain
- (iv)  $D[x]$  for any UFD  $D$ , hence  $D[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$

## Common divisors

A *greatest common divisor*  $g = \gcd(S)$  of a finite set  $S$  of elements in a domain  $R$  is an element  $g$  that divides every element of  $S$  and has the property that if  $c$  also divides every element of  $S$  then  $c$  divides  $g$ .

Two elements  $a, b$  are called *relatively prime* (or *coprime*) if  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

In a UFD every finite set  $S$  has a greatest common divisor. Not true in general (6 and  $2 + 2\sqrt{-5}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  have no gcd), and not necessarily unique.

## Euclidean domains

A domain  $R$  is called *Euclidean* if there exists a *Euclidean function*  $\phi : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $a, b \in R$  with  $b \neq 0$

- (i)  $\phi(a) \leq \phi(a \cdot b)$  and
- (ii) there exist  $q, r \in R$  (*quotient* and *remainder*) such that  $a = q \cdot b + r$ , with either  $r = 0$  or  $\phi(r) < \phi(b)$ .

**Proposition** *Every Euclidean domain is a principal ideal domain*

Given an ideal  $I$ , choose an element  $x$  in it minimizing  $\phi(x)$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle \subset I$ . But if  $a \in I$  then  $a = q \cdot x + r$  with  $r = 0$  by minimality of  $\phi(x)$ , since  $r = a - q \cdot x \in I$ . Hence  $a \in \langle x \rangle$ .

Converse not true ( $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}]$ ).

## Examples

- (i)  $\mathbb{Z}$ , with  $\phi(n) = |n|$ ;
- (ii)  $F[x]$  for any field  $F$ , with  $\phi(f) = \deg f$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ , with  $\phi(u + v\sqrt{-1}) = u^2 + v^2$ .

## Euclidean algorithm

An efficient procedure for finding greatest common divisors is Euclid's famous algorithm.

Input:  $a, b \neq 0$

Output:  $d = \gcd(a, b)$

while  $b \neq 0$ :

$r := a - q \cdot b$ ;

$a := b$ ;     $b := r$ ;

return  $a$ ;

Correctness: if  $a = q \cdot b + r$ , then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$ .

Termination:  $0 \leq \phi(r) = \phi(a - q \cdot b) < \phi(b)$ , so  $\phi(b)$  decreases.



## Extended Euclidean algorithm

With a little more bookkeeping it is possible to obtain multipliers.

Input:  $a, b \neq 0$

Output:  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ , and multipliers  $s, t$  such that  $d = s \cdot a + t \cdot b$

```
 $s_1 := 1; \quad t_1 := 0;$   
 $s_2 := 0; \quad t_2 := 1;$   
while  $b \neq 0$ :  
     $s_0 := s_1; \quad t_0 := t_1;$   
     $s_1 := s_2; \quad t_1 := t_2;$   
     $r := a - q \cdot b;$   
     $a := b; \quad b := r;$   
     $s_2 := s_0 - q \cdot s_1; \quad t_2 := t_0 - q \cdot t_1;$   
return  $a, s_1, t_1;$ 
```

Termination and correctness as before.

At the beginning of the while loop:  $s_1 a + t_1 b = a$ .

## Application: modular inverses

**Proposition** *Let  $R$  be a Euclidean domain,  $S = R/mR$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in S$  is a unit if and only if  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ , and extended Euclidean algorithm produces  $\bar{a}^{-1}$ .*

$\bar{a}$  is unit  $\iff$

there exists  $s \in R$  with  $a \cdot s \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$   $\iff$

there exist  $s, t \in R$  with  $a \cdot s + m \cdot t = 1$   $\iff$   
 $\gcd(a, m) = 1$  and  $\bar{s} = \bar{a}^{-1}$ .

Hence inverses in

- (i)  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$
- (ii) finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$
- (iii) finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x]/f\mathbb{F}_p[x]$
- (iv) number fields  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/g\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

## Continued fractions

The Euclidean algorithm is closely related to the continued fraction expansion of rational numbers. If we let  $a$  and  $b$  be positive integers with  $a > b$ , the Euclidean algorithm determines positive integers  $q_i, r_i$  with  $a = q_0b + r_0$ ,

$$b = q_1r_0 + r_1,$$

$$r_0 = q_2r_1 + r_2,$$

$\vdots$

$r_{k-2} = q_kr_{k-1}$ , so  $r_k = 0$ . But then

$$\frac{a}{b} = q_0 + \frac{r_0}{b} = q_0 + \frac{1}{\frac{b}{r_0}} = q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \frac{r_1}{r_0}} =$$

$$= q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \frac{1}{q_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{q_k}}}}.$$

An expansion of the kind on the right is called a (*finite*) *regular continued fraction*, and is usually denoted by  $[q_0; q_1, \dots, q_k]$ .

The positive integers  $q_i$  are called *partial quotients*; note that  $q_k > 1$ . Conversely, it is clear that every such continued fraction determines a rational number. The main importance of finite continued fractions lies in the possibility to generalize to expansions of arbitrary real numbers, by allowing *infinite* expansions  $[q_0; q_1, \dots]$ . Such an infinite expansion can be obtained from a positive real  $x$  by setting  $x_0 = x$  and  $q_i = \lfloor x_i \rfloor$ , where  $x_{i+1} = 1/(x_i - q_i)$ , for  $i \geq 1$ . It can be shown that the rational numbers  $c_k = [q_0; q_1, \dots, q_k]$  form a sequence of increasingly good rational approximations to  $x$ , converging to  $x$ ; the  $c_k$  are called the *convergents* to  $x$ . Right now we will only use infinite continued fraction in a worst case analysis of Euclid's algorithm, for which purpose it suffices to look at one special case.

**Lemma** Let  $\phi$  be the positive real root of  $f = x^2 - x - 1$ , so  $\phi = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ . Then  $\phi = [1; 1, 1, \dots]$  and the convergents to  $\phi$  are the rational numbers  $c_k = F_{k+1}/F_k$ , where  $F_k$  is the  $k$ -th Fibonacci number, given by  $F_0 = F_1 = 1$ , and  $F_j = F_{j-1} + F_{j-2}$  for  $j \geq 2$ . Moreover

$$F_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^{k+1} - \bar{\phi}^{k+1}),$$

where  $\bar{\phi} = \frac{-\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$  is the conjugate of  $\phi$ .

*Proof* Since  $\phi$  is a root of  $x^2 - x - 1$ , we have  $\phi \cdot (\phi - 1) = 1$ , hence  $(\phi - 1)^{-1} = \phi$ . But since  $f(1) = -1 < 0 < 1 = f(2)$  we see that  $1 < \phi < 2$ , so for the continued fraction development we find  $x_0 = \phi$ ,  $q_0 = 1$  and  $x_1 = 1/(x_0 - 1) = x_0$ . That proves the first part. For the second assertion one proceeds by induction: clearly  $c_0 = 1, c_1 = 2$  and

$$c_{i+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{c_i} = 1 + \frac{F_{k-1}}{F_k} = \frac{F_k + F_{k-1}}{F_k} = \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k}.$$

The final statement follows easily by induction, using that  $\phi$  and  $\bar{\phi}$  satisfy  $x^n = x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}$ .

**Theorem** *The Euclidean algorithm on input  $a, b$  less than  $N$  takes at most  $\lceil \log_{\phi}(\sqrt{5}N) \rceil - 2$  division steps.*

*Proof* The maximum number of division steps occurs when  $a = F_n$  and  $b = F_{n+1}$  with  $n$  maximal such that  $F_{n+1} < N$ . The result follows from the expression for  $F_k$  in the Lemma, using that  $\bar{\phi} < 1$ .