# 2. THE EUCLIDEAN ALGORITHM More ring essentials

In this chapter: rings R commutative with 1.

An element  $b \in R$  divides  $a \in R$ , or b is a divisor of a, or a is divisible by b, or a is a multiple of b, if there exists  $c \in R$  such that  $a = b \cdot c$ . Elements a and b in R are associates if there exists a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $a = u \cdot b$  (so a is a multiple of b and b is a multiple of a).

The element b is a proper divisor of  $a \in R$  if b is neither a unit nor an associate of a. An element is *irreducible* in R if it has no proper divisors in R; other wise it is *reducible*. Note that units are irreducible. The zero element is irreducible if and only if R is a domain.

#### **Primes**

A prime element is a non-unit  $\pi \in R$  with the property that if  $\pi$  divides  $a \cdot b$  then  $\pi$  divides a or b.

**Lemma** If R is a domain then:  $\pi \in R$  prime implies  $\pi$  is irreducible in R.

For, if  $\pi = \alpha \cdot \beta$ , with  $\alpha, \beta$  non-units, then  $\pi$  divides  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ , but if  $\pi$  divides  $\alpha$  then  $\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma = \alpha$  for some  $\gamma$ , so  $\beta \cdot \gamma - 1 = 0$ , contrary to  $\beta$  being non-unit.

Converse is false in general (z in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(z^2 - xy)$ , or 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ ).

# **Unique factorization domains**

A *unique factorization domain* (UFD) is a domain in which every non-zero non-unit can be written as a product of irreducible non-units in a way that is unique up to order and associates.

**Lemma** If R is a UFD then every irreducible element  $\alpha$  in R is prime.

For, if  $\alpha$  divides  $a \cdot b$  but neither a nor b (nonunits), then  $a \cdot b$  would have two factorizations into irreducibles, one containing (an associate of)  $\alpha$ , the other not.

**Examples** of unique factorization domains:

(i) Z

- (ii) any field F
- (iii) any principal ideal domain
- (iv) D[x] for any UFD D, hence  $D[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$

### **Common divisors**

A greatest common divisor g = gcd(S) of a finite set S of elements in a domain R is an element g that divides every element of S and has the property that if c also divides every element of S then c divides g.

Two elements a, b are called *relatively prime* (or *coprime*) if gcd(a, b) = 1.

In a UFD every finite set S has a greatest common divisor. Not true in general (6 and  $2 + 2\sqrt{-5}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  have no gcd), and not necessarily unique.

## **Euclidean domains**

A domain R is called *Euclidean* if there exists a *Euclidean function*  $\phi : R \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $a, b \in R$  with  $b \neq 0$ 

(i)  $\phi(a) \leq \phi(a \cdot b)$  and

(ii) there exist  $q, r \in R$  (quotient and remainder) such that  $a = q \cdot b + r$ , with either r = 0or  $\phi(r) < \phi(b)$ .

**Proposition** *Every Euclidean domain is a principal ideal domain* 

Given an ideal *I*, choose an element x in it minimizing  $\phi(x)$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle \subset I$ . But if  $a \in I$ then  $a = q \cdot x + r$  with r = 0 by minimality of  $\phi(x)$ , since  $r = a - q \cdot x \in I$ . Hence  $a \in \langle x \rangle$ .

Converse not true  $(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}]).$ 

#### Examples

(i)  $\mathbb{Z}$ , with  $\phi(n) = |n|$ ; (ii) F[x] for any field F, with  $\phi(f) = \deg f$ . (iii)  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ , with  $\phi(u + v\sqrt{-1}) = u^2 + v^2$ .

#### Euclidean algorithm

An efficient procedure for finding greatest common divisors is Euclid's famous algorithm.

Input:  $a, b \neq 0$ Output: d = gcd(a, b)

while  $b \neq 0$ :  $r := a - q \cdot b$ ; a := b; b := r; return a;

Correctness: if  $a = q \cdot b + r$ , then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r).

Termination:  $0 \le \phi(r) = \phi(a - q \cdot b) < \phi(b)$ , so  $\phi(b)$  decreases.

# Extended Euclidean algorithm

With a little more bookkeeping it is possible to obtain multipliers.

Input:  $a, b \neq 0$ Output:  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ , and multipliers s, t such that  $d = s \cdot a + t \cdot b$   $s_1 := 1; \quad t_1 := 0;$   $s_2 := 0; \quad t_2 := 1;$ while  $b \neq 0:$   $s_0 := s_1; \quad t_0 := t_1;$   $s_1 := s_2; \quad t_1 := t_2;$   $r := a - q \cdot b;$   $a := b; \quad b := r;$   $s_2 := s_0 - q \cdot s_1; \quad t_2 := t_0 - q \cdot t_1;$ return  $a, s_1, t_1;$ 

Termination and correctness as before.

At the beginning of the while loop:  $s_1a + t_1b = a$ .

#### **Application:** modular inverses

**Proposition** Let R be a Euclidean domain, S = R/mR. Then  $\bar{a} \in S$  is a unit if and only if gcd(a,m) = 1, and extended Euclidean algorithm produces  $\bar{a}^{-1}$ .

 $\overline{a}$  is unit  $\iff$ there exists  $s \in R$  with  $a \cdot s \equiv 1 \mod m \iff$ there exist  $s, t \in R$  with  $a \cdot s + m \cdot t = 1 \iff$ gcd(a,m) = 1 and  $\overline{s} = \overline{a}^{-1}$ .

Hence inverses in

(i)  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (ii) finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (iii) finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x]/f\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ (iv) number fields  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/g\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

#### **Continued fractions**

The Euclidean algorithm is closely related to the continued fraction expansion of rational numbers. If we let a and b be positive integers with a > b, the Euclidean algorithm determines positive integers  $q_i, r_i$  with  $a = q_0 b + r_0$ ,  $b = q_1 r_0 + r_1$ ,  $r_0 = q_2 r_1 + r_2$ ÷  $r_{k-2} = q_k r_{k-1}$ , so  $r_k = 0$ . But then  $\frac{a}{b} = q_0 + \frac{r_0}{b} = q_0 + \frac{1}{\frac{b}{r_0}} = q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \frac{r_1}{r_0}} =$  $= q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \frac{1}{q_2 + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{q_1}}}}.$  $q_k$ 

An expansion of the kind on the right is called a (*finite*) regular continued fraction, and is usually denoted by  $[q_0; q_1, \ldots, q_k]$ .

The positive integers  $q_i$  are called *partial quotients*; note that  $q_k > 1$ . Conversely, it is clear that every such continued fraction determines a rational number. The main importance of finite continued fractions lies in the possibility to generalize to expansions of arbitrary real numbers, by allowing *infinite* expansions  $[q_0; q_1, \ldots]$ . Such an infinite expansion can be obtained from a positive real x by setting  $x_0 = x$  and  $q_i = \lfloor x_i \rfloor$ , where  $x_{i+1} = 1/(x_i - q_i)$ , for  $i \ge 1$ . It can be shown that the rational numbers  $c_k = [q_0; q_1, \ldots, q_k]$  form a sequence of increasingly good rational approximations to x, converging to x; the  $c_k$  are called the *convergents* to x. Right now we will only use infinite continued fraction in a worst case analysis of Euclid's algorithm, for which purpose it suffices to look at one special case.

**Lemma** Let  $\phi$  be the positive real root of  $f = x^2 - x - 1$ , so  $\phi = \frac{\sqrt{5+1}}{2}$ . Then  $\phi = [1; 1, 1, ...]$ and the convergents to  $\phi$  are the rational numbers  $c_k = F_{k+1}/F_k$ , where  $F_k$  is the k-th Fibonacci number, given by  $F_0 = F_1 = 1$ , and  $F_j = F_{j-1} + F_{j-2}$  for  $j \ge 2$ . Moreover

$$F_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^{k+1} - \bar{\phi}^{k+1}),$$

where  $\bar{\phi} = \frac{-\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$  is the conjugate of  $\phi$ .

*Proof* Since  $\phi$  is a root of  $x^2 - x - 1$ , we have  $\phi \cdot (\phi - 1) = 1$ , hence  $(\phi - 1)^{-1} = \phi$ . But since f(1) = -1 < 0 < 1 = f(2) we see that  $1 < \phi < 2$ , so for the continued fraction development we find  $x_0 = \phi$ ,  $q_0 = 1$  and  $x_1 = 1/(x_0 - 1) = x_0$ . That proves the first part. For the second assertion one proceeds by induction: clearly  $c_0 = 1, c_1 = 2$  and

$$c_{i+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{c_i} = 1 + \frac{F_{k-1}}{F_k} = \frac{F_k + F_{k-1}}{F_k} = \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k}.$$
  
The final statement follows easily by induction, using that  $\phi$  and  $\overline{\phi}$  satisfy  $x^n = x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}.$ 

**Theorem** The Euclidean algorithm on input a, b less than N takes at most  $\lceil \log_{\phi}(\sqrt{5}N) \rceil - 2$  division steps.

*Proof* The maximum number of division steps occurs when  $a = F_n$  and  $b = F_{n+1}$  with n maximal such that  $F_{n+1} < N$ . The result follows from the expression for  $F_k$  in the Lemma, using that  $\overline{\phi} < 1$ .