

# ALIQUOT SEQUENCES WITH SMALL STARTING VALUES

WIEB BOSMA

ABSTRACT. We describe the results of the computation of aliquot sequences with small starting values. In particular all sequences with starting values less than a million have been computed until either termination occurred (at 1 or a cycle), or an entry of 100 decimal digits was encountered.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with computations regarding *aliquot sequences*, which arise from iterating the sum-of-proper-divisors function

$$s(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} d,$$

assigning to an integer  $n > 1$  the sum of its *aliquot* divisors (that is, excluding  $n$  itself). Iteration is denoted exponentially, so  $s^k$  is shorthand for applying  $k \geq 1$  times the function  $s$ . We say that an aliquot sequence *terminates (at 1)* if  $s^k(n) = 1$  for some  $k$ ; this happens when and only when  $s^{k-1}(n)$  is prime. It is possible that  $s^k(n) = n$ , that is, to hit an *aliquot cycle* of length  $c$  (where we take  $c$  minimal). Particular cases are  $c = 1$ , which occurs when  $n$  is a perfect number (like 6), or  $c = 2$ , if  $n \neq m$  are found such that  $s(n) = m$ , and  $s(m) = n$ ; in that case  $n$  and  $m$  form a pair of *amicable numbers*. For a list of known cycle possibilities see Section 4.

The main open problem regarding aliquot sequences is the conjecture ascribed to Catalan [2] and Dickson [3].

**[1.1] Conjecture.** *All aliquot sequences remain bounded.*

If true, it would imply that for every  $n$  after finitely many steps we either hit a prime number (and then terminate at 1) or we find an aliquot cycle. Elsewhere I comment upon some of the heuristics to support or refute this conjecture [1]. In this paper we will consider some numerical data for aliquot sequences with small starting values.

We will call an aliquot sequence (sometimes identified with its starting value) *open* if it is not known to remain bounded. This definition depends on our state of knowledge. The point of view adopted in this paper is that we compute an aliquot sequence until either we find that it terminates or cycles, or we find that it reaches some given size. In particular, we try to pursue sequences until they reach a size of 100 decimal digits.

The idea of computing aliquot sequences for small starting values  $n_0$  is the obvious way to get a feeling for their behaviour, and hence has been attempted very often. The main problem for pursuing this approach is that for some  $n_0$  the values of  $s^k(n_0)$  grow rapidly with  $k$ ; this causes difficulties because all known practical ways to compute

$s(n)$  use the prime factorization of  $n$  in an essential way. Clearly,  $s(n) = \sigma(n) - n$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes the sum-of-*all*-divisors function, which has the advantage over  $s$  of being multiplicative, so it can be computed using the prime factorization of  $n$ :

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{\substack{p^k \parallel n \\ p \text{ prime}}} (1 + p + \cdots + p^k),$$

where  $p^k \parallel n$  indicates that  $p^k$  divides  $n$  but  $p^{k+1}$  does not.


Thus it is no coincidence that similar computations have been reported in several papers about 25 years after a first sequence of publications appeared [refs]: several new factorization algorithms have been developed, and much better hardware has become much more widely available. Despite the extended experience and knowledge gained from computations such as reported here, it still seems unlikely that Conjecture [1.1] will be proved or disproved soon; certainly mere computations will not achieve this. Yet, valuable insight might be obtained.

Our main findings are summarized in the table and charts given below.

<i>digits</i>	<i>terminating</i>	<i>cycle</i>	<i>open</i>
10	743404	16588	240008
15	776101	17079	206820
20	788535	17308	194157
25	795636	17544	186820
30	797765	17775	184460
35	799716	17832	182452
40	801079	17841	181080
45	802422	17860	179718
50	803452	17913	178635
55	804220	17932	177848
60	804976	17940	177084
65	805354	17985	176661
70	805539	17985	176476
75	807176	17987	174837
80	807916	18036	174048
85	808567	18036	173397
90	809398	18039	172563
95	809675	18039	172286
100	811574	18103	170323


The table above summarizes what happens if we pursue the aliquot sequences with starting values up to  $10^6$  up to a size of  $d$  decimal digits, with  $d$  growing from 10 to 100. As more cycles and terminating sequences are found, the number of open sequences declines.

We try to visualize the rate at which this process takes place in the pictures below: it plots the number of starting values that terminate or cycle before the given number of digits (on the horizontal axis) is reached. Note that in both charts absolute numbers are plotted vertically, but the scale differs markedly.



The number of terminating (left) and cycling (right) sequences starting below  $10^6$  plotted against the bound on the number of digits.

The next pair of pictures displays the effect of bounding the starting values. In the chart on the left, the bottom graph shows that almost no starting values less than  $10^3$  reach a size of 20 decimal digits, but for starting values up to  $10^4$  around 8% do, a percentage that grows to more than 13% for starting values up to  $10^5$  and 20% up to  $10^6$ . The corresponding (growing) percentages for terminating sequences are displayed on the right. The corresponding percentages will almost, but not exactly, add up to 100%, as a small percentage (less than 2%) leads to aliquot cycles.



Comparing percentages of open (left) and terminating (right) sequences with starting values up to  $10^m$ , for  $m = 3, 4, 5, 6$ .

As a rather naive indication for the truth of the Catalan-Dickson conjecture, we have also calculated a first order, linear, approximation ( $-0.019 \cdot x + 18.93$ ) to the percentage of open sequences reaching to more than 20 digits, with starting values up to  $10^6$ ; this the line drawn on the top left. There is no reason to believe (or model to support) linear decay in the long run, but the line does reflect the downward tendency on the interval between 25 and 100 digits.

Of all open sequences at 100 digits, 9327 are main.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we have collected some known results (with pointers to the existing

literature) as well as some terminology (some standard, some ad hoc).

Arguments about random integers are not automatically applicable to heuristics for aliquot sequences due to the fact that certain factors tend to persist in consecutive values. The most obvious example of this phenomenon is parity preservation:  $s(n)$  is odd for odd  $n$  unless  $n$  is an odd square,  $s(n)$  is even for even  $n$  unless  $n$  is an even square or twice an even square. Guy and Selfridge introduced the notion of driver [6]. A *driver* of an even integer  $n$  is a divisor  $2^k m$  satisfying three properties:  $2^k \parallel n$ ; the odd divisor  $m$  is also a divisor of  $\sigma(2^k) = 2^{k+1} - 1$ ; and, conversely,  $2^{k-1}$  divides  $\sigma(m)$ . As soon as  $n$  has an additional odd factor (coprime to  $v$ ) besides the driver, the same driver will also divide  $s(n)$ . The even perfect numbers are drivers, and so are only five other integers (2, 24, 120, 672, 523776). Not only do they tend to persist, but with the exception of 2, they also drive the sequence upward, as  $s(n)/n$  is 1 for the perfect numbers, and  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{3}{2}$ , 2, 2, 2 for the other drivers.

More generally, it is possible to prove that arbitrarily long increasing aliquot sequences exist, a result attributed to H. W. Lenstra (see [5], [7], [4]).

Another heuristic reason to question the truth of the Catalan-Dickson conjecture was recently refuted in [1]. We showed that, in the long run, the growth factor in an aliquot sequence with even starting value will be less than 1. Besides giving a probabilistic argument (which does not say anything about counterexamples of ‘measure 0’), this is not as persuasive as it may seem, since it assumes that entries of aliquot sequences behave randomly, which is not true, as we argued above.

Not only does parity tend to persist in aliquot sequences, the typical behavior of the two classes of aliquot sequences is very different. There is much stronger tendency for odd  $n$  to have  $s(n) < n$ . In all odd begin segments, only four cases were encountered during our computations where four consecutive odd values were increasing:

38745, 41895, 47025, 49695  
 651105, 800415, 1019025, 1070127  
 658665, 792855, 819945, 902295  
 855855, 1240785, 1500975, 1574721.

On the other hand, seeing the factorizations of examples, as in the first quadruple

$$3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 41, \quad 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19, \quad 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 19, \quad 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3313,$$

it is not so difficult to generate longer (and larger!) examples such as

25399054932615, 37496119518585, 48134213982855, 63887229572985,  
 72415060070535, 87397486554105, 101305981941255, 115587206570745,  
 133433753777415, 163310053403385, 174881380664583,

in the vein of the result of Lenstra.

We say that sequence  $s$  *merges* with sequence  $t$  (at value  $x$ ) if  $s$  and  $t$  have  $x$  as first common value,  $t$  has a smaller starting value than  $s$ , and the common value occurs before  $s$  reaches its maximum. In this case  $t$  will be the *main* sequence (unless it merges with another sequence). From  $x$  on  $s$  and  $t$  will coincide of course.

We should point out that the notion of being a main sequence will be time dependent: sequences may merge beyond the point to which we have yet computed them.

By the *height* of a sequence we mean essentially the logarithm of its maximal value; sometimes we measure this in number of decimal digits, sometimes in number of bits. The *volume* will be the sum of the number of digits of the entires of the sequence, without rounding first (so  $\text{vol}(s) = \sum \log_{10} x$ ).




The all-odd terminators never get very high: the maximum height is reached by the sequence starting with 855855, which is merged by 886545, as follows:

886545, 855855, 1240785, 1500975, 1574721, 777761, 1.

11 of these have volume exceeding 80; the maximum 88.8379 is reached by the 966195, which was also the longest.

**Odd-to-even terminators.** And here only the (non-merging) odd-to-evens.



*Lengths, heights, and volumes of odd-to-even terminating sequences.*


There is one sequence in this category that is simultaneously longest, highest and most voluminous; it is the sequence

855441 of length 68, height 5.932 and volume 267.309, which is in full (note that  $229441 = 479^2$ ):


855441, 451359, 229441, 480, 1032, 1608, 2472, 3768, 5712, 12144, 23568, 37440,  
 101244, 180996, 241356, 321836, 251044, 188290, 168830, 135082, 88478, 59698,  
 34622, 24754, 12380, 13660, 15068, 11308, 10364, 7780, 8600, 11860, 13088, 12742,  
 7274, 3640, 6440, 10840, 13640, 20920, 26240, 38020, 41864, 36646, 19298, 9652,  
 8268, 12900, 25292, 18976, 18446, 10498, 5882, 3514, 2534, 1834, 1334, 826, 614, 310,  
 266, 214, 110, 106, 56, 64, 63, 41, 1.

**Even terminators.** In this section we consider all even terminating starting values, where we include the mergers and also the odd-to-even sequences (considered separately above); there are 371543 of them.

Below the distribution of the lengths of all of these is depicted (cut off at length 100).




But the result looks much nicer if we only count non-merging terminators!




In fact, the thin tail of this distribution extends all the way to 6585, with 476 starting values here having length at least 1000 and three of the 136318 even starting values have a terminating sequence extending to over 5000 terms:

- 414288 of length 6585, height 91.2754 and volume 325676.634,
- 565680 of length 5309, height 98.6734 and volume 259264.265,
- 696780 of length 5294, height 97.3217 and volume 239530.611.

Their profiles are pictured below.



The distribution of heights of these terminating sequences does not look very spectacular:




but the tail of this one is also long and thin, reaching up to 333 bits. Indeed, several of these sequences reach up to 98 or even 99 digits before terminating. The record holders are

461430 and 62832 with 100 digits!! WRONG !! should be open


the relatively short sequences starting with 261306 and 108072.

261306 of length 2173, height 98.8504 and volume 86295.954,

108072 of length 1503, height 98.7872 and volume 77131.106, profiled below:



Here is the distribution of the volumes of these sequences:



The three most voluminous of these are in fact also the longest three we saw before!  
There is only one more of volume exceeding 200000:

320664 of length 4293, height 97.7939 and volume 205004.62.

**Penultimate primes.** To conclude this section, we consider the penultimate prime values for all terminating sequences together. It turns out that the most popular values are 43 and 59, with 11 different primes being hit more than 10000 times:

$p$ :	43	59	41	7	601	37	3	11	73	31	19
# :	77964	53159	50903	42293	26726	24946	21934	17193	13570	12160	10495

In all, 78572 different primes appear, among them, of course, the 78498 primes below  $10^6$  (of which 56513 *only* appear with the prime as starting value).

Of the 74 primes larger than  $10^6$ , the largest is 4737865361 (appearing only for 891210), and the second largest is 870451093, which appears 216 times, for three different main sequences: 54880 (with 203 mergers), 397416 (with 9 mergers), 780456 (with 1 merger).

Only one prime less than 10000 appears just once as a penultimate value, namely 9173 for the sequence  $11 \cdot 9161, 9173, 1$ . Similarly, only  $83 \cdot 9923, 10007, 1$  and  $47 \cdot 12743, 12791, 1$  in our range.

???1003 odd starting values merge with an even terminating sequence. 17 of these take more than a 1000 steps before terminating: 11 of them merge after a couple of steps with the 94-digit maximum length 1602 sequence 16302, and 6 of them after merging after a couple of steps with the 76-digit maximum length 1740 sequence 31962.

## 5. OPEN

At 100 digits, there are still 9327 different open sequences, all with even starting values; 160202 even and 793 odd starting values merge somewhere with these.

**Odd** Only 793 odd starting values lead to open sequences; all of them do so after merging with an open sequence with a smaller even starting value. In the table we list the number of consecutive odd steps in these cases, before the first even number appears:

odd length :	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
number :	111	208	179	112	72	58	22	13	12	6

Among these cases are 111 squares of odd integers less than 1000, which immediately have an even successor. Of these, 57 occur more often; the smallest,  $55^2$  occurs a total of 233 times. Here is a table listing all squares that occur more than ten times among these open sequences:

square of :	55	85	115	121	125	129	205	235	243	265
times :	233	51	37	25	24	127	15	16	19	12
merge with :	1074	1134	2982	1464	3906	5400	3876	3270	1134	18528

These 793 odd starting values merge with 80 different open sequences. Some of these are more ‘popular’ than others; we list the ones occurring more than 12 times:


open starting value :	1074	1134	1464	2982	3270	3876	3906	5400	7044
number of times :	233	70	25	37	16	25	24	127	13

For 1074 all of the 233 merge at  $s^2(1074) = 1098$  after  $55^2 = 3025$ . For 5400 all of the 127 merge through  $16641 = 129^2 \rightarrow 7968 \rightarrow 13200 = s(5400)$ .

Comparing these tables, it will be clear that sometimes more than one square must give entry to the same open sequence. Indeed, here is a list of starting values for opens for which several squares give entry from an odd starting sequence (with the total number of times):

276 :	$\{473^2, 793^2, 493^2\}$	(6)
564 :	$\{563^2, 625^2\}$	(2)
660 :	$\{957^2, 551^2, 659^2, 827^2, 999^2\}$	(6)
1134 :	$\{243^2, 85^2\}$	(70)
1632 :	$\{803^2, 925^2, 289^2\}$	(6)
1734 :	$\{391^2, 897^2, 799^2, 855^2\}$	(6)
3432 :	$\{451^2, 225^2, 365^2, 535^2\}$	(12)
3876 :	$\{869^2, 205^2, 447^2, 459^2, 899^2\}$	(25)
4800 :	$\{335^2, 533^2, 371^2\}$	(11)
5208 :	$\{295^2, 975^2\}$	(6)
6552 :	$\{417^2, 441^2\}$	(5)
7044 :	$\{595^2, 873^2, 495^2, 879^2, 411^2, 843^2\}$	(13)
17352 :	$\{979^2, 943^2\}$	(2)
27816 :	$\{831^2, 939^2\}$	(2)

**Even mergers** For the 160202 even starting values merging with an open sequence, the histogram shows how many among the 9327 open sequences have  $k$  mergers; 22 have more than 1000 merging sequences, the recordholders being 660 (with 7090 mergers), 3876 (with 4307 mergers) and 7044 (with 3093 mergers).



*The number of times an even open sequence has  $k$  mergers.*

**Big opens and mergers** It does happen that an aliquot sequence reaches almost 100 digits, then decreases before merging with an as yet open sequence. There are 15 starting values (the least being 472836) that lead to a common 99 digit maximum before merging with the 32064 open sequence ( $472836:2284=32064:173=1358054$ ). Similarly, the 679554 sequence (and 2 others) merge with the 31240 open sequence after reaching a 99 digit local maximum ( $679554:2672=31240:35=50871436$ ).

There are examples that are even longer (but not higher) before merging: the 461214 sequence merges with the open 4788 sequence after 6467 steps (after reaching a 88 digit


local maximum). To complicate the situation, it first merges with the 314718 sequence (461214:5=314718:4=1372410) which in turn merges with the 4788 sequence (on its way picking up 14 more sequences that have the same local maximum. The longest of these, the 461214 and 580110 sequences, reach 100 digits (with 4788) after 8599 steps. The next longest pre-merger example is a group of 4 sequences merging with the open 1920 sequence after 4656 steps and a 76 digit maximum.

The total length of the 461214 sequence (which merges with 4788) is the largest for any open sequence (8599); ignoring similar mergers with 4788, next in length is the 7127 step long sequences for 389508 and 641956, merging with 34908 (like a few others that are slightly shorter), and then mergers 910420 and 638352 with 556276 of length 6715 and 6713. Several mergers with 144984 and 1920 extend also beyond a length of 6500.


The sequences for 144984 (length 6527) and 556276 (of length 6510) are record-length non-merging open sequences, followed by 842592 of length 6455, which has no mergers at all.

The 638352 and 910420 mergers are the most voluminous ones (with a volume of just over 365000).

The fastest growing open sequence is 993834, reaching 100 digits after only 245 steps; it has no mergers. The sequence starting with 267240 takes 248 steps to reach 100 digits, but two of its mergers (588120 and 693960) take one step fewer. With the 235320 sequence (after 249 steps) and its merger 503400 (248 steps) these are the only examples hitting the 100 digit ceiling in fewer than 250 steps.



*Distribution of lengths of main open sequences  
(the frequency of intervals of 25 lengths are shown)*



## 6. CYCLES

**Odd cyclers.** Of the 208 all-odd cyclers, only 2 have length 8 (and none are longer):

854217, 701883, 547365, 533211, 279333, 134535, 80745, 67095, 71145, 67095, ...

894735, 687105, 503955, 392205, 292659, 97557, 36843, 12285, 14595, 12285, ...

Here are all lengths:

length :	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
number :	15	24	55	50	40	18	4	2

They all end in one of the eight odd amicable pairs listed in the table below. Of the odd starting values, 5026 lead immediately to an aliquot cycle, and 93 do so after merging with a smaller sequence. The table shows which cycles are hit, and how often.

[ 6 ]	:	4774	42
[ 496 ]	:	1	0
[ 220, 284 ]	:	8	0
[ 1184, 1210 ]	:	2	1
[ 2620, 2924 ]	:	1	0
[ 5020, 5564 ]	:	26	0
[ 6232, 6368 ]	:	17	0
[ 12285, 14595 ]	:	104	2
[ 67095, 71145 ]	:	45	2
[ 69615, 87633 ]	:	36	3
[ 79750, 88730 ]	:	0	39
[ 100485, 124155 ]	:	3	1
[ 122265, 139815 ]	:	2	1
[ 522405, 525915 ]	:	5	1
[ 802725, 863835 ]	:	1	1
[ 947835, 1125765 ]	:	1	0

Only one of the main sequences leading to a cycle has length larger than 10:

783225, 643798, . . . , 14206, 7106, 5854, 2930, 2362, 1184, 1210,

of length 48; but note that  $783225 = 885^2$  and from there on the sequence is even; the first entry is the maximum.

Of the 93 merging cyclers, on the other hand, 40 have length greater than 11; but 39 of these have the same 14 digit maximum 56365247896588, ending in [ 79750, 88730 ], as mergers of the main sequence of length 95 starting at 50106. The other one has length 575 and maximum 129948923412692571824805719693528658164860246112 (48 digits), merging after 1 step with 88148, ending in [1210, 1184].

Of the 59761 odd starting values hitting a square, 4911 end in a cycle (of which 4812 going through  $25 \rightarrow 6$ ). Interestingly, the 3 sequences hitting  $573^2 = 328329$ , like 681831, 328329, 148420, 172628, 133132, 103244, 81220, 96188, 74332, 55756, 44036, 34504, 33896, 33304, 32216, 28204, 25724, 20476, 15364, 12860, 14188, 10648, 11312, 13984, 16256, 16384, 16383, 6145, 1235, 445, 95, 25, 6 hit  $16384 = 2^{14}$ , and then six odd numbers again, finishing with  $5^2$  and finally the perfect number 6. The 39 odd starters hitting  $285^2$  merge with the 50106 sequence obtaining a 14-digit maximum before ending after around 100 steps in the [ 79750, 88730 ] amicable pair.

The sequence starting with  $949^2$  merges with the sequence starting with 15316, reaching a 48-digit maximum 129948923412692571824805719693528658164860246112 almost halfway its 575 length, ending in the 1210, 1184 amicable pair; content equals 14070.1389724.

**All cyclers.** In all, 18103 starting values lead to a cycle. Among these are 5119 odd starting values, 93 mergers. Of the 12984 even ones, 6954 are mergers.

56 different cycles occur; four of these are the perfect numbers 6, 28, 496, 8128. Two are cycles of length four:

[ 1264460, 1547860, 1727636, 1305184 ],  
[ 2115324, 3317740, 3649556, 2797612 ],

one is a cycle of length five:

[ 12496, 14288, 15472, 14536, 14264 ],


and one is the cycle  $C_{28}$  of length 28: [ 14316, 19116, 31704, 47616, 83328, 177792, 295488, 629072, 589786, 294896, 358336, 418904, 366556, 274924, 275444, 243760, 376736, 381028, 285778, 152990, 122410, 97946, 48976, 45946, 22976, 22744, 19916, 17716 ]. The remaining 48 are amicable pairs.

The tables below lists all cycles that occur, with their popularity. The second column lists the number of starting values ending in the cycle listed in the first column, with (in parentheses) the number of *main* sequences among these. The third column lists the number of even starting values among those of the second column. In the fourth column is shown how often each of the entries of the cycle is first hit by some sequence. Thus, for example, the entry 2 / 9 in the row for the amicable pair [220, 284] reflects that besides the starting values 220 and 284 only 9 other sequences up to  $10^6$  lead to this cycle (8 of them with odd starting value according to column 3) and only one of those will hit 220 first.


cycle	:	#	(#main)	even	entry
[ 6 ]	:	5395	(5132)	579	5395
[ 28 ]	:	1	(1)	1	1
[ 496 ]	:	13	(11)	12	13
[ 8128 ]	:	1408	(460)	1408	1408
[1264460, 1547860,	:				
1727636, 1305184]	:	13	(2)	13	13 / 0 / 0 / 0
[2115324, 3317740,	:	1	(1)	1	1 / 0 / 0 / 0
3649556, 2797612]	:	1	(1)	1	1 / 0 / 0 / 0
[12496, 14288,	:				
15472, 14536, 14264]	:	150	(109)	150	72 / 2 / 1 / 74 / 1
$C_{28}$	:	741	(131)	741	8 / 1 / 3 / 3 / 1
	:				6 / 1 / 2 / 33 / 1
	:				5 / 1 / 2 / 19 / 15
	:				1 / 157 / 1 / 1
	:				1 / 3 / 5 / 1 / 35
	:				1 / 49 / 269 / 123
total	:	18103	(11056)	12984	

cycle	:	# (#non-merging)	even	entry
[220, 284]	:	11 (10)	3	1 / 10
[1184, 1210]	:	7564 (3841)	7561	3599 / 3965
[2620, 2924]	:	1153 (533)	1152	9 / 1144
[5020, 5564]	:	50 (44)	24	1 / 49
[6232, 6368]	:	27 (26)	10	26 / 1
[10744, 10856]	:	249 (125)	249	1 / 248
[12285, 14595]	:	106 (104)	0	56 / 50
[17296, 18416]	:	202 (100)	202	200 / 2
[63020, 76084]	:	9 (2)	9	1 / 8
[66928, 66992]	:	6 (5)	6	5 / 1
[67095, 71145]	:	47 (45)	0	43 / 4
[69615, 87633]	:	39 (36)	0	21 / 18
[79750, 88730]	:	342 (102)	303	306 / 36
[100485, 124155]	:	4 (3)	0	2 / 2
[122265, 139815]	:	3 (2)	0	2 / 1
[122368, 123152]	:	3 (2)	3	2 / 1
[141664, 153176]	:	10 (6)	10	1 / 9
[142310, 168730]	:	5 (4)	5	1 / 4
[171856, 176336]	:	23 (17)	23	8 / 15
[176272, 180848]	:	17 (7)	17	16 / 1
[185368, 203432]	:	106 (56)	106	102 / 4
[196724, 202444]	:	25 (19)	25	6 / 19
[280540, 365084]	:	121 (41)	121	120 / 1
[308620, 389924]	:	6 (5)	6	5 / 1
[319550, 430402]	:	17 (8)	17	15 / 2
[356408, 399592]	:	2 (1)	2	1 / 1
[437456, 455344]	:	12 (6)	12	2 / 10
[469028, 486178]	:	34 (10)	34	30 / 4
[503056, 514736]	:	9 (5)	9	8 / 1
[522405, 525915]	:	6 (5)	0	4 / 2
[600392, 669688]	:	3 (2)	3	1 / 2
[609928, 686072]	:	3 (1)	3	2 / 1
[624184, 691256]	:	5 (1)	5	3 / 2
[635624, 712216]	:	39 (10)	39	31 / 8
[643336, 652664]	:	2 (1)	2	1 / 1
[667964, 783556]	:	7 (5)	7	4 / 3
[726104, 796696]	:	4 (3)	4	3 / 1
[802725, 863835]	:	2 (1)	0	1 / 1
[879712, 901424]	:	35 (4)	35	15 / 20
[898216, 980984]	:	9 (1)	9	8 / 1
[947835, 1125765]	:	1 (1)	0	1 / 0
[998104, 1043096]	:	2 (1)	2	2 / 0
[1077890, 1099390]	:	19 (1)	19	19 / 0
[2723792, 2874064]	:	13 (3)	13	9 / 4
[4238984, 4314616]	:	16 (1)	16	0 / 16
[4532710, 6135962]	:	6 (1)	6	6 / 0
[5459176, 5495264]	:	6 (1)	6	6 / 0
[438452624, 445419376]	:	1 (1)	1	1 / 0

Below the distribution of the lengths of all of these is depicted (cut off at length 200). Not shown is the long tail, with 123 sequences even having length exceeding 1000, of which 9 are main sequences.



Finally the profiles of the longest main sequences ending in a cycle, 133596 and 105384, 133596 of length 3961, height 98.614 and volume 217737.45, 105384 of length 2847, height 95.155 and volume 121142.480, are given; they both end in amicable pair [ 1184, 1210 ].



#### REFERENCES

1. Wieb Bosma, Ben Kane, *The aliquot constant*, Quart. J. Math. **63**(2), (2012), 309–323.
2. E. Catalan, Bull. Soc. Math. France **16** (1887–1888), 128–129.
3. L.E. Dickson, *Theorems and tables on the sum of the divisors of a number*, Quart. J. Math. **44**, (1913), 294–296.
4. Paul Erdős, *On asymptotic properties of aliquot sequences*, Math. Comp., **30** (1976), 641–645.
5. Richard K. Guy, *Aliquot sequences*, in: H. Zassenhaus (ed), *Number Theory and Algebra*, Academic Press 1977, pp. 111–118.
6. Richard K. Guy, John L. Selfridge, *What drives an aliquot sequence?*, Math. Comput. **29** (1975), 101–107. Corrigendum: Math. Comput. **34** (1980), 319–321.

7. Herman te Riele, *A note on the Catalan-Dickson conjecture*, Math. Comp., **27** (1973), 189–192.