

LECTURE 5: HIGHER K -THEORY GROUPS

In this lecture we are going to define the higher (negative) K -groups of a space and see a few properties of them. We have defined $K(X)$ as the group completion of the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of vector bundles over X . In fact, $K(X)$ is $K^0(X)$ in an infinite sequence of abelian groups $K^n(X)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Our aim is to show that this sequence defines a cohomology theory in the sense of Eilenberg–Steenrod. In order to define the higher K -theory groups we need to introduce first some notation and topological constructions.

5.1. Notation and basic constructions

5.1.1. Let \mathbf{Top} denote the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and \mathbf{Top}_* the category of *pointed* compact Hausdorff spaces. By \mathbf{Top}^2 we denote the category of *compact pairs*, that is, the objects are pairs of spaces (X, A) , where X is compact Hausdorff and $A \subseteq X$ is closed. There are functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Top} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Top}^2 & \text{and} & \mathbf{Top}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Top}_* \\ X & \longmapsto & (X, \emptyset) & & (X, A) & \longmapsto & X/A, \end{array}$$

where the basepoint in the quotient X/A is A/A . If $A = \emptyset$, then $X/\emptyset = X_+$ is the space X with a disjoint basepoint.

5.1.2. In what follows, we will consider complex vector bundles although most of the theory works the same in the real case. Recall that for a space X in \mathbf{Top} we denote by $K(X)$ the group completion of $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. For a pointed space X in \mathbf{Top}_* , the *reduced* K -theory group $\tilde{K}(X)$ is the kernel of $i^*: K(X) \rightarrow K(x_0) = \mathbb{Z}$, where i^* is the map induced by the inclusion of the basepoint $i: x_0 \rightarrow X$. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker i^* = \tilde{K}(X) \longrightarrow K(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} K(x_0) \longrightarrow 0$$

which has a section c^* induced by the unique map $c: X \rightarrow x_0$. So it gives a natural splitting $K(X) \cong \tilde{K}(X) \oplus K(x_0)$. We also have that $K(X) = \tilde{K}(X_+)$ for every X in \mathbf{Top} . Hence \tilde{K} defines a contravariant functor from \mathbf{Top}_* to abelian groups.

For a compact pair (X, A) , we define $K(X, A) = \tilde{K}(X/A)$. So $K(-, -)$ is a contravariant functor from \mathbf{Top}^2 to abelian groups.

5.1.3. Recall that the *smash product* of two pointed spaces is defined as the quotient $X \wedge Y = X \times Y / X \vee Y$, where $X \vee Y = X \times \{y_0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times Y$ is the wedge of X and Y , that is, the disjoint union glued by the basepoints.

We will use as a model for the n th sphere S^n in \mathbf{Top}_* the space $I^n / \partial I^n$, where $I = [0, 1]$. There is a homeomorphism $S^n \cong S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1$.

For a pointed space X in \mathbf{Top}_* , we define the *reduced suspension* ΣX as $S^1 \wedge X$. The n th reduced suspension of X is then $\Sigma^n X = S^n \wedge X$.

5.2. Negative K -groups

We can use the reduced suspension to define the negative K -groups for spaces, pointed spaces and pairs of spaces.

Definition 5.2.1. Let $n \geq 0$. For X in \mathbf{Top}_* , we define $\tilde{K}^{-n}(X) = \tilde{K}(\Sigma^n X)$. If (X, A) is in \mathbf{Top}^2 , then we define $K^{-n}(X, A) = \tilde{K}^{-n}(X/A) = \tilde{K}(\Sigma^n(X/A))$. Finally, for X in \mathbf{Top} , we define $K^{-n}(X) = K^{-n}(X, \emptyset) = \tilde{K}^{-n}(X_+) = \tilde{K}(\Sigma^n(X_+))$.

Thus, $\tilde{K}^{-n}(-)$, $K^{-n}(-, -)$ and $K^{-n}(-)$ are contravariant functors for every $n \geq 0$ from \mathbf{Top}_* , \mathbf{Top}^2 and \mathbf{Top} , respectively, to abelian groups.

5.2.2. Another useful construction is the cone on a space. Given X in \mathbf{Top} , we define the *cone on X* as the quotient $CX = X \times I / X \times \{0\}$. The cone CX has a natural basepoint given by $X \times \{0\}$ and thus defines a functor $C: \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}_*$. The quotient CX/X is called the *unreduced suspension* of X .

If X is a pointed space, then we have an inclusion $Cx_0/x_0 \cong I \rightarrow CX/X$ and the quotient space is precisely the reduced suspension ΣX . Since I is a closed contractible subspace of CX/X we have that $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}(CX/X) \cong \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}((CX/X)/I)$. Hence, $K(CX/X) \cong K(\Sigma X)$ and $K(CX, X) = \tilde{K}(CX/X) \cong \tilde{K}(\Sigma X)$.

5.2.3. For a compact pair (X, A) we define $X \cup CA$ to be the space obtained by identifying $A \subseteq X$ with $A \times \{1\}$ in CA . There is a natural homeomorphism $X \cup CA/X \cong CA/A$. Thus, if A is a pointed space we have that

$$K(X \cup CA, X) = \tilde{K}(CA, A) \cong \tilde{K}(\Sigma A) = \tilde{K}^{-1}(A).$$

5.3. Exact sequences of K -groups

We want to relate the K -groups of a pair (X, A) with the K -groups of X and A . We are going to need the following result about “collapsing” vector bundles that we recall from a previous lecture.

Lemma 5.3.1. *If $A \subseteq X$ is a closed subspace, then any trivialization $\alpha: E|_A \cong \tau_n$ on A of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ defines a vector bundle $E/\alpha \rightarrow X/A$ on the quotient X/A . \square*

Lemma 5.3.2. *Let (X, A) be a compact pair in \mathbf{Top}^2 and let $i: A \rightarrow X$ and $j: (X, \emptyset) \rightarrow (X, A)$ be the canonical inclusions. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$K^0(X, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} K^0(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} K^0(A).$$

Proof. The composition $(A, \emptyset) \xrightarrow{i} (X, \emptyset) \xrightarrow{j} (X, A)$ factors through (A, A) . Applying K^0 yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^0(X, A) & \xrightarrow{i^* j^*} & K^0(A) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & K^0(A, A) = \tilde{K}^0(A/A) = 0, . & \end{array}$$

So, $i^* j^* = 0$ and hence $\text{Im } j^* \subseteq \ker i^*$.

To prove the converse, let ξ be any element in $\ker i^*$. We can represent ξ as a difference $[E] - [\tau_n]$, where E is a vector bundle over X and τ_n is the trivial bundle of rank n over X . By assumption $i^*(\xi) = 0$, which means that $i^*(\xi) = [E|_A] - [\tau_n] = 0$.

So, $[E|A] = [\tau_n]$ in $K^0(A)$. This means that these two bundles become isomorphic after we sum with a trivial bundle of certain dimension. More precisely, there is an $m \geq 0$ such that

$$\alpha: (E \oplus \tau_m)|_A \cong \tau_n \oplus \tau_m.$$

So, we have found a vector bundle that is trivial in A . By Lemma 5.3.1 we have a vector bundle $(E \oplus \tau_m)/\alpha$ over X/A . Take now $\eta = [(E \oplus \tau_m)/\alpha] - [\tau_n \oplus \tau_m]$ and observe that η lies in $\tilde{K}^0(X/A)$ since the rank of $(E \oplus \tau_m)/\alpha$ in the component of the basepoint is $n + m$. Finally,

$$j^*(\eta) = [E \oplus \tau_m] - [\tau_n \oplus \tau_m] = [E] - [\tau_n] = \xi,$$

so $\ker i^* \subseteq \text{Im } j^*$. \square

Corollary 5.3.3. *Let (X, A) be a compact pair in Top^2 and A in Top_* . Then, there is an exact sequence*

$$K^0(X, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}^0(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}^0(A).$$

Proof. We have natural isomorphisms $K^0(X) \cong \tilde{K}^0(X) \oplus K^0(*)$ and $K^0(A) \cong \tilde{K}^0(A) \oplus K^0(*)$ and thus the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{K}^0(X) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(A) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K^0(X, A) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(X) \oplus K^0(*) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(A) \oplus K^0(*) \\ & & \downarrow & \nwarrow & \\ & & K^0(*) & & \end{array}$$

where the central row and the columns are exact. Now, any element in $K^0(X, A)$ goes to zero in $K^0(*)$ so there is a map $K^0(X, A) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^0(X)$ that makes the diagram commutative. From this it is straightforward to check that the required sequence is exact. \square

Proposition 5.3.4. *Let (X, A) be a compact pair of spaces and A in Top_* . Then there is a natural exact sequence of five terms*

$$\tilde{K}^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}^{-1}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} K^0(X, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}^0(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}^0(A).$$

Proof. We need to check exactness of the three subsequences of three terms. Exactness of $K^0(X, A) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^0(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^0(A)$ is given by Corollary 5.3.3.

To prove exactness at $\tilde{K}^{-1}(A) \rightarrow K^0(X, A) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^0(X)$ we consider the pair of spaces $(X \cup CA, X)$. Applying Corollary 5.3.3 we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^0(X \cup CA, X) & \xrightarrow{m^*} & \tilde{K}^0(X \cup CA) & \xrightarrow{k^*} & \tilde{K}^0(X) \\ \theta \downarrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong & & \nearrow j^* \\ \tilde{K}^{-1}(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \tilde{K}^0(X/A) & & \end{array}$$

Since CA is contractible, the quotient map $p: X \cup CA \rightarrow X/A$ induces isomorphism on \tilde{K}^0 and moreover $k^*p^* = j^*$, which follows directly from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{k} & X \cup CA \\ & \searrow j & \downarrow p \\ & & X \cup CA/CA \cong X/A. \end{array}$$

We define the *connecting* homomorphisms $\delta = (p^*)^{-1}m^*\theta^{-1}$, where the morphism $\theta: K^0(X \cup CA, X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{-1}(A)$ is the isomorphism described in 5.2.3.

Exactness at $\tilde{K}^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{-1}(A) \rightarrow K^0(X, A)$ is a bit more involved. First, we apply Corollary 5.3.3 to the pair $(X \cup C_1A \cup C_2X, X \cup C_1A)$, where we used the notation C_1 and C_2 to distinguish between the two cones. This gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^0(X \cup C_1A \cup C_2X, X \cup C_1A) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(X \cup C_1A \cup C_2X) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(X \cup C_1A) \\ & & \cong \downarrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ & & \tilde{K}^0(X \cup C_1A \cup C_2X/C_2X) & & \tilde{K}^0(X/A) \\ & & \parallel & \nearrow m^* & \uparrow p^* \\ & & \tilde{K}^0(X \cup C_1A/X) & & \\ & & \parallel & & \\ & & K^0(X \cup C_1A, X) & & \\ & \cong \downarrow \theta & & \nearrow \delta & \\ & & \tilde{K}^{-1}(A). & & \end{array}$$

By using the definition of δ given in the previous step, we can check that the composition in the square on the right is indeed δ , as required. For the left part of the diagram we have a square as follows

$$(5.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K^0(X \cup C_1A \cup C_2X, X \cup C_1A) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(X \cup C_1A \cup C_2X) \\ \parallel & & \cong \downarrow \\ \tilde{K}^0(X \cup C_1A \cup C_2X/X \cup C_1A) & & \tilde{K}^0(C_1A/A) \\ \parallel & & \cong \downarrow \\ \tilde{K}^0(C_2X/X) & & \tilde{K}^{-1}(A). \\ \cong \downarrow & & \nearrow \\ \tilde{K}^{-1}(X) & \dots\dots\dots & \end{array}$$

Now, we would like the dotted arrow that makes the diagram commutative to be i^* to conclude the proof. We can see that this is not going to be the case since at the level of spaces the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \cup C_1A \cup C_2X & \xrightarrow{\text{collapse } X \cup C_1A} & C_2X/X & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X \\ \text{collapse } C_2X \downarrow & & & \nearrow 1 \wedge i & \\ C_1A/A & \longrightarrow & \Sigma A & & \end{array}$$

does not commute. We could try to replace $1 \wedge i$ by $(1 \wedge i) \circ T$, where $T: \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma A$ is the map that sends (a, t) to $(a, 1-t)$ but the diagram would not commute either.

However, the diagram with $(1 \wedge i) \circ T$ commutes *up to homotopy*, which is enough for our purposes, since then it will *strictly commute* when we take \tilde{K}^0 . So we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X \cup C_1 A \cup C_2 X & \xrightarrow{\text{collapse } C_2 X} & C_1 A / A & \longrightarrow & \Sigma A \\
 & \swarrow \text{collapse } X \cup C_1 A & \uparrow & \swarrow \text{collapse } C_2 A & & & \downarrow T \\
 C_2 X / X & \longleftarrow & C_1 A \cup C_2 A & \xrightarrow{\text{collapse } C_1 A} & C_2 A / A & \longrightarrow & \Sigma A \\
 \downarrow & & & & & & \uparrow T \\
 \Sigma X & \longleftarrow & & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge i} & & & \Sigma A
 \end{array}$$

which induces the following *commutative* diagram after applying \tilde{K}^0

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \tilde{K}^0(X \cup C_1 A \cup C_2 X) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \tilde{K}^0(C_1 A / A) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \tilde{K}^{-1}(A) \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow \cong & \nwarrow \cong & & & \uparrow T^* \\
 \tilde{K}^0(C_2 X / X) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(C_1 A \cup C_2 A) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \tilde{K}^0(C_2 A / A) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \tilde{K}^{-1}(A) \\
 \uparrow \cong & & & & & & \uparrow T^* \\
 \tilde{K}^{-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & & & & & \tilde{K}^{-1}(A)
 \end{array}$$

By “inserting” this diagram into diagram (5.3.1), we can check that the latter commutes if the dotted arrow is $T^* \circ i^*$. In the exercises we will prove that the map $T^*: \tilde{K}^{-1}(A) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{-1}(A)$ sends every element to its inverse. So in the end, we get an exact sequence

$$\tilde{K}^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{-i^*} \tilde{K}^{-1}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} K^0(X, A).$$

But since $-i^*$ and i^* have both the same kernel and the same image, we can replace $-i^*$ by i^* and we still have an exact sequence. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.3.5. *Let (X, A) be a compact pair and A in Top_* . Then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dots \longrightarrow \tilde{K}^{-2}(X) &\xrightarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}^{-2}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} K^{-1}(X, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} \\
 &\xrightarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}^{-1}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} K^0(X, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}^0(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}^0(A).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Replace in the exact sequence of Proposition 5.3.4 the compact pair (X, A) by $(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^n A)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ \square

Corollary 5.3.6. *Let (X, A) be a compact pair. Then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dots \longrightarrow K^{-2}(X) &\xrightarrow{i^*} K^{-2}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} K^{-1}(X, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} K^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} \\
 &\xrightarrow{i^*} K^{-1}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} K^0(X, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} K^0(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} K^0(A).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The result follows directly by applying Corollary 5.3.5 to the pair (X_+, A_+) and using that $\tilde{K}^{-n}(X_+) = K^{-n}(X)$. \square