

# Functional equation for $\mathrm{GL}_2$ and cuspidal local constants

Johan M. Commelin

November 12, 2014

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible smooth representation of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ . We want to attach an  $L$ -function  $L(\pi, s)$ , and a so called *local constant*  $\varepsilon(\pi, s, \phi)$  to  $\pi$ . We give the definition for general smooth representations of  $G$ . Further, we compute these invariants in the cuspidal case. The non-cuspidal case will be done by Julius.

The main results of this talk are:

- (1) If  $\pi$  is cuspidal, the  $L$ -function is constant 1.
- (2) Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, J, \Lambda)$  be a cuspidal type. Set  $\pi = \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_J^G \Lambda$ . The epsilon factor is determined by

$$\varepsilon(\pi, \frac{1}{2}, \psi) = q^a \sum_x \mathrm{tr} \Lambda^\vee(cx) \psi_A(cx),$$

for some  $c \in J$  and  $q^a$  that will be described later.

To arrive at our destination, we will have to (i) define Fourier transforms of coefficients of  $G$ ; (ii) define  $L$ -functions/functional equation/local constants (including a review of the  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  case); (iii) work our way through some technical results with strata and cuspidal types.

## 1 Fourier transforms

We simultaneously define the Fourier transform for the case  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ .

Notation:

$n$  Either 1 or 2.

$F$  be a non-archimedean local field.

$A$  be the ring  $M_n(F)$ .

$\mathfrak{M}$   $M_n(\mathfrak{o})$ , the integral part of  $A$ .

$G$  be the group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F) = A^\times$ .

$\mu$  be a Haar measure on  $A$ .

$\psi \in \hat{F}$ ,  $\psi \neq 1$ , a non-trivial character of  $F$ .

$\psi_A = \psi \circ \mathrm{tr}_A$ .

$C_c^\infty(A)$  be the space of locally constant functions  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that have compact support.

Given  $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(A)$ , the *Fourier transform*  $\hat{\Phi}$  (relative to  $\mu$  and  $\psi$ ) is given by

$$\hat{\Phi} = \int_A \Phi(y) \psi_A(xy) d\mu(y).$$

**Lemma 1** (a) *The function  $\hat{\Phi}$  is an element of  $C_c^\infty(A)$ .*

(b) *There is a positive real number  $c$  (depending on  $\mu$  and  $\psi$ ) such that*

$$\hat{\Phi} = c\Phi(-x), \quad \Phi \in C_c^\infty(A), x \in A. \quad (1)$$

(c) *Fixing  $\psi$ , there is a unique  $\mu_\psi$  so that this  $c$  is equal to 1. This measure satisfies  $\mu_\psi(\mathfrak{M}) = q^{ln^2/2}$ , where  $l$  is the level of  $\psi$ .*

(d) *For  $a \in F^*$ , we have  $\mu_{a\psi} = \|a\|^{n^2/2} \mu_\psi$ .*

PROOF First note that  $C_c^\infty(A)$  is spanned by the characteristic functions (indicator functions) of the sets  $a + \mathfrak{p}^j \mathfrak{M}$  (for  $a \in A$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

One then proves the first two items for the characteristic functions of the sets  $\mathfrak{p}^j \mathfrak{M}$ . The next step is proving it for their translations. Consequently the first two items hold for all  $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(A)$ . For these characteristic functions one computes  $c = \mu(\mathfrak{M})^2 q^{-ln^2}$ .

It is immediate from (1) that scaling  $\mu$  with  $b > 0$  has the effect of scaling  $c$  with  $b^2$ . Hence, it is clear that there exists a measure with  $c = 1$ , and since  $\mu$  is determined by  $\mu(\mathfrak{M})$ , it is unique. This proves the third item. The fourth item is an immediate consequence.

The measure  $\mu_\psi$  is the *self-dual* Haar measure (with respect to  $\psi$ ).

## 2 Introducing the main actors

### 2.1 The $L$ -function

Let  $(\pi, V)$  be an irreducible smooth representation of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(\pi)$  be the space of coefficients of  $\pi$ . It is the space of smooth functions on  $G$  generated by functions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\tilde{v} \otimes v}: G &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g &\longmapsto \langle \tilde{v}, \pi(g)v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(A)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ , we define a function on the complex plane

$$\zeta(\Phi, f, s) = \int_G \Phi(x) f(x) \|\det x\|^s d\mu^*(x)$$

where  $d\mu^*$  is a Haar measure on  $G$ .

There is one small little problem: the integral does not converge in general.

**Lemma 2 (p148)** *There exists an  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the integral converges, absolutely and uniformly in vertical strips in the region  $\Re s > s_0$ , for all  $\Phi$  and  $f$ . The integral represents a rational function in  $q^{-s}$ .*

Next we define

$$\mathcal{Z}(\pi) = \{\zeta(\Phi, f, s + \frac{1}{2}) \mid \Phi \in C_c^\infty(A), f \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\} \subset \mathbb{C}(q^{\pm s}).$$

It turns out that  $\mathcal{Z}(\pi)$  is a principal fractional ideal.

**Lemma 3 (p148)** *There is a unique polynomial  $P_\pi(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ , with  $P_\pi(0) = 1$ , and*

$$\mathcal{Z}(\pi) = \underbrace{P_\pi(q^{-s})^{-1}}_{\text{The } L\text{-function!}} \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}].$$

Moreover, this polynomial does not depend on  $\mu^*$ .

Finally, we define the  $L$ -function

$$L(\pi, s) = P_\pi(q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

## 2.2 The local constant and the functional equation

So far we haven't applied the Fourier transform yet. It is about time to change that.

Observe that if  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \check{f}: G &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g &\longmapsto f(g^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

lies in  $\mathcal{C}(\check{\pi})$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\pi) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\check{\pi}) \\ f &\longmapsto \check{f} \end{aligned}$$

is a linear isomorphism.

We use this duality to obtain a relation between the  $L$ -function of  $\pi$  and  $\check{\pi}$ . Fix a non-trivial  $\psi \in \hat{F}$ , and use the Haar measure that is self-dual with respect to  $\psi$ .

**Theorem 1 (p148)** *There is a unique rational function  $\gamma(\pi, s, \psi) \in \mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$  such that*

$$\zeta(\hat{\Phi}, \check{f}, \frac{3}{2} - s) = \gamma(\pi, s, \psi) \zeta(\Phi, f, \frac{1}{2} + s),$$

for all  $\Phi$  and  $f$ .

This rational function allows us to define the local constant. It is

$$\varepsilon(\pi, s, \psi) = \gamma(\pi, s, \psi) \frac{L(\pi, s)}{L(\check{\pi}, 1 - s)}.$$

Observe that  $\varepsilon(\pi, s, \psi) \varepsilon(\check{\pi}, 1 - s, \psi) = \gamma(\pi, s, \psi) \gamma(\check{\pi}, 1 - s, \psi)$ . Using the theorem, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\hat{\Phi}, f, \frac{1}{2} + s) &= \gamma(\check{\pi}, 1 - s, \psi) \zeta(\hat{\Phi}, \check{f}, \frac{3}{2} - s) \\ &= \gamma(\check{\pi}, 1 - s, \psi) \gamma(\pi, s, \psi) \zeta(\Phi, f, \frac{1}{2} + s) \end{aligned}$$

and since we are dealing with the self-dual Haar measure, we derive

$$\varepsilon(\pi, s, \psi) \varepsilon(\check{\pi}, 1 - s, \psi) = \gamma(\check{\pi}, 1 - s, \psi) \gamma(\pi, s, \psi) = \omega_\pi(-1)$$

**Lemma 4** *There exist  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\varepsilon(\pi, s, \psi) = aq^{bs}$ .*

We now investigate how the  $\gamma$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors depend on  $\psi$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(\hat{\Phi}, \check{f}, \frac{3}{2} - s) &= \int_G \hat{\Phi}(x) \check{f}(x) \|\det x\|^{\frac{3}{2}-s} d\mu^*(x) \\
&= \int_G \int_A \Phi(y) a \psi_A(xy) d\mu_{a\psi}(y) \check{f}(x) \|\det x\|^{\frac{3}{2}-s} d\mu^*(x) \\
&= \int_G \int_A \Phi(y) \psi_A(axy) \|a\|^2 d\mu_\psi(y) \check{f}(x) \|\det x\|^{\frac{3}{2}-s} d\mu^*(x) \\
&= \int_G \int_A \Phi(y) \psi_A(ty) \|a\|^2 d\mu_\psi(y) \check{f}(a^{-1}t) \|\det ta^{-1}\|^{\frac{3}{2}-s} d\mu^*(t) \\
&= \|a\|^2 \int_G \int_A \Phi(y) \psi_A(ty) d\mu_\psi(y) \omega_\pi(a) \check{f}(t) \|a\|^{2s-3} \|\det t\|^{\frac{3}{2}-s} d\mu^*(t) \\
&= \omega_\pi(a) \|a\|^{2s-1} \int_G \int_A \Phi(y) \psi_A(ty) d\mu_\psi(y) \check{f}(t) \|\det t\|^{\frac{3}{2}-s} d\mu^*(t)
\end{aligned}$$

from which we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(\pi, s, a\psi) &= \omega_\pi(a) \|a\|^{2s-1} \gamma(\pi, s, \psi), \\
\varepsilon(\pi, s, a\psi) &= \omega_\pi(a) \|a\|^{2s-1} \varepsilon(\pi, s, \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

Using certain truncations of  $\zeta(\Phi, f, s)$  we build approximations  $Z(\Phi, f, X)$  which are formal Laurent series. With these the book tackles the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $(\pi, V)$  be an irreducible cuspidal representation of  $G$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{Z}(\pi) = \mathbb{C}[X, X^{-1}].$$

As an immediate consequence, the  $L$ -function of an irreducible cuspidal representation is the constant function 1.

### 3 Technical results concerning the local constants

So, the upshot of Maarten's talks was the following theorem.

**Theorem 2 (p108)** *The map*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, J, \Lambda) \mapsto \pi_\Lambda = \text{c-Ind}_J^G \Lambda$$

*induces a bijection between the set of conjugacy classes of cuspidal types in  $G$  and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible cuspidal representations of  $G$ .*

In a similar spirit is the following proposition.

**Proposition 2 (p110)** *The map  $(\mathfrak{A}, \Xi) \rightarrow \pi_\Xi = \text{c-Ind}_{K_\mathfrak{A}}^G \Xi$  induces a bijection between the set of  $G$ -conjugacy classes of cuspidal inducing data in  $G$  and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible cuspidal representations of  $G$ .*

Recall that a cuspidal inducing datum in  $G$  is a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \Xi)$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a chain order, and  $\Xi$  an irreducible smooth representation of  $K_{\mathfrak{A}}$  of the form  $\Xi = \text{Ind}_J^{K_{\mathfrak{A}}} \Lambda$  for some cuspidal type  $(\mathfrak{A}, J, \Lambda)$ .

(Oh, and  $K_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the group  $\{g \in G \mid g\mathfrak{A}g^{-1} = \mathfrak{A}\}$ ;  $J$  is a subgroup of  $K_{\mathfrak{A}}$ ; and  $\Lambda$  a representation of  $J$ .)

Now that everyone is back on track (including me), we can introduce the main tool for the calculations of this section. It is the so-called *non-abelian Gauss sum*. Write  $\mathfrak{P}$  for the Jacobson radical of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $W$  be the space underlying the representation  $\Xi$ . Define an element of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\check{W})$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}(\Xi, \psi) = \sum_{x \in U_{\mathfrak{A}}/U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n+1}} \check{\Xi}(cx)\psi_A(cx),$$

where  $c \in K_{\mathfrak{A}}$  satisfies  $c\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{P}^{-n}$ .

**Lemma 5** *The sum  $\mathcal{T}(\Xi, \psi)$  does not depend on the choices of coset representatives  $x$ , nor on the choice of  $c$ .*

*Moreover, the endomorphism is scalar. Write  $\tau(\Xi, \psi)$  for the complex number satisfying  $\mathcal{T}(\Xi, \psi) = \tau(\Xi, \psi)1_{\check{W}}$ .*

The number  $\tau(\Xi, \psi) \in \mathbb{C}$  is the *non-abelian Gauss sum* of  $\Xi$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\Xi, \psi) &= \frac{1}{\dim(\Xi)} \text{tr}(\mathcal{T}(\Xi, \psi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim(\Xi)} \sum_{x \in U_{\mathfrak{A}}/U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n+1}} \text{tr}(\check{\Xi}(cx)\psi_A(cx)). \end{aligned}$$

We formulate the first computational results with  $\tau(\Xi, \psi)$ . Let  $(\pi, V)$  be an irreducible cuspidal representation of  $G$ . **From now on**, fix  $\psi \in \hat{F}$ , a character of level one. Recall that  $\ell(\pi)$  denotes

$$\min \left\{ n/e_{\mathfrak{A}} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a chain order } \mathfrak{A} \text{ such that} \\ \pi \text{ contains the trivial character of } U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n+1} \end{array} \right\}$$

**Theorem 3** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\pi, s, \psi) &= (\mathfrak{P}^{-n} : \mathfrak{A})^{(\frac{1}{2}-s)/2} \frac{\tau(\Xi, \psi)}{(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{P}^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= q^{2\ell(\pi)(\frac{1}{2}-s)} \frac{\tau(\Xi, \psi)}{(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{P}^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The next thing we want to do is translate the formalism of Gauss sums from inducing data back to cuspidal types. Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, J, \Lambda)$  be a cuspidal type that induces  $\Xi$ .

**Lemma 6** *The Gauss sum  $\tau(\Xi, \psi)$  is the unique eigenvalue of the scalar operator*

$$\sum_{x \in J \cap U_{\mathfrak{A}}/U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n+1}} \check{\Lambda}(cx)\psi_A(cx),$$

for any  $c \in J$  such that  $c\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{P}^{-n}$ .

For some reason (currently unknown to me) we can choose  $c \in J$  such that  $\Lambda|_{U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{[n/2]+1}}$  is a multiple of  $\psi_c$ .

**Proposition 3** *The Gauss sum  $\tau(\Xi, \psi)$  is the unique eigenvalue of the scalar operator*

$$(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{P}^{(n+1)/2}) \sum_{y \in U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{(n+1)/2} / U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n/2+1}} \check{\Lambda}(cy) \psi_A(cy).$$

The theorem, lemma and proposition together give the following corollary.

**Corollary 1** *Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, J, \Lambda)$  be a cuspidal type in  $G$ . Let  $n$  be the least integer  $\geq 0$  such that  $U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n+1} \subset \text{Ker} \Lambda$ . Choose  $c \in J$  such that  $\Lambda|_{U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{[n/2]+1}}$  is a multiple of  $\psi_c$ . If  $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_J^G \Lambda$ , then*

$$\varepsilon(\pi, \frac{1}{2}, \psi) = q^a \sum_x \text{tr} \check{\Lambda}(cx) \psi_A(cx),$$

where  $x$  ranges over  $U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{(n+1)/2} / U_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n/2+1}$  and

$$q^a \dim \Lambda = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ (\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{P})^{-1/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$