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#### Abstract

It is shown that any triangular derivation on $k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]$ sending $X_{i}$ to a monomial has kernel generated by at most four elements, hence is finitely generated. An explicit formula for the generators is given.


## 1 Introduction

Derivations and the study of their kernels play a crucial role in many problems. (For an excellent account the reader is referred to [Nowicki, 1994]). An important question is if a certain derivation has finitely generated kernel. This question is closely related to Hilbert's 14th problem, stated in 1900:

Let $k$ be a field and $L$ a subfield of the field of rational functions $k\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ containing $k$. Is $L \cap k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ a finitely generated $k$-algebra?

If one has a derivation whose kernel is not finitely generated then one has a counterexample to Hilbert 14 by taking $L=Q(\operatorname{ker}(D))$, the quotient field of $\operatorname{ker}(D)$.
The first counterexample to Hilbert 14 was found in 1958 by Nagata in dimension 32 [Nagata, 1958]. A counterexample to Hilbert 14 in dimension 7 was given by Roberts in 1990 [Roberts, 1990]. Deveny and Finston showed that this counterexample could be derived from the derivation $D:=x^{3} \partial_{S}+y^{3} \partial_{T}+z^{3} \partial_{U}+x^{2} y^{2} z^{2} \partial_{V}$ whose kernel is not finitely generated [Deveney,Finston, 1994]. Furthermore, Derksen showed in [Derksen, 1993] that any counterexample to Hilbert 14 could be derived as the kernel of a derivation.
It was proved by Zariski in [Zariski, 1954] that Hilbert 14 is true if $\operatorname{trdeg}_{k}(L) \leq 2$, which was used by Nagata and Nowicki to show in [Nagata, Nowicki, 1988] that the kernel of any derivation on $k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right.$ ] has finitely generated kernel if $n \leq 3$.
Recently, a new counterexample to Hilbert 14 was given by Freudenburg in dimension 6, as the kernel of the derivation $D:=x^{3} \partial_{s}+y^{3} s \partial_{t}+y^{3} t \partial_{u}+x^{2} y^{2} d_{v}$ [Freudenburg,1998]. This was an important new breakthrough, which leaves Hilbert 14 open in dimensions 4 and 5 only.
It was conjectured by Nowicki that derivations of the form $X_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} \partial_{X_{n}}+\ldots+X_{0}^{a_{0}} \partial_{X_{1}}$ could have infinitely generated kernel for $n \geq 4$ if the $a_{i}$ are chosen wisely. Also one could try to find infinitely generated kernels in dimension 4 or 5 by taking a derivation of the simple form as the Freudenburg or Deveney-Finston derivations. Indeed, all derivations discussed above are of triangular monomial form (see below for definition).
In this article it will be proved that in dimension 4 there are no such easy counterexamples to Hilbert 14 similar to the Freudenburg derivation. As a side result it is proved that Nowicki's conjecture does not hold in dimension 4. The main theorem states that the class of monomial triangular derivations in dimension four has at most four generators, and these generators will be given explicitly .

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we will use the following notations: $k$ is a field of characteristic zero, $k[X]:=k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ the polynomial ring in $n$ variables and $D$ is a $k$-derivation on $k[X]$ (a map $k[X] \longrightarrow k[X]$ satisfying $D(a b)=$ $a D(b)+D(a) b, D(a+b)=D(a)+D(b)$ and which is zero on $k$ ). It can be proved that the set of all $k$-derivations on $k[X]$ is the set of all maps of the form $D:=a_{1}(X) \partial_{X_{1}}+\ldots+a_{n}(X) \partial_{X_{n}}$ where $a_{i}(X) \in k[X]$.
In the proof below an algorithm of van den Essen [Essen, 1993] to calculate the kernel of a given locally nilpotent derivation is used. We will briefly describe the steps of the algorithm, without proofs.

Find $p \in k[X]$ such that $D(p) \neq 0, D^{2}(p)=0$. Choose $q \in k[X]$ such that $D(p)=u q^{l}$ for some $u \in k^{*}$ and some integer $l>0$. Let $s:=p / q$ in $k\left[X, q^{-1}\right]$. Now define

$$
r_{i}:=q^{e_{i}} \exp (-s D)\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

where $e_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ is chosen such that $r_{i} \in k[X], q$ does not divide $r_{i}$. Define

$$
R_{0}:=k\left[r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}, q\right] .
$$

Notice that $R_{0} \subset k[X]$. Now we define inductively $R_{m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $R_{m}=k\left[F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}\right]$ and $I:=\{P \in$ $\left.k\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{t}\right] \mid P\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}\right) \in k[X] \cdot q\right\}$ is generated by $P_{1}(Y), \ldots, P_{s}(Y)$ then $R_{m+1}=k\left[F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right]$ where $f_{i}=q^{-1} \cdot P_{i}\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}\right)$. It is proved that $R_{m+1}$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra. Now, if ever $R_{m}=R_{m+1}$ for some $m$ then $\operatorname{ker}(D)=R_{m}$.

## 3 Main theorem

Definition 3.1. A derivation $D:=a_{1}(X) \partial_{X_{1}}+\ldots+a_{n}(X) \partial_{X_{n}}$ is called

1. monomial if each $a_{i}(X)$ is a monomial.
2. triangular if $a_{i}(X) \in k\left[X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a_{n} \in k$.

In the theorem below we use the following notations: $D:=\lambda_{1} X_{2}^{a} X_{3}^{b} X_{4}^{c} \partial_{X_{1}}+\lambda_{2} X_{3}^{d} X_{4}^{e} \partial_{X_{2}}+\lambda_{3} X_{4}^{f} \partial_{X_{3}}+\lambda_{4} \partial_{4}$ where $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_{i} \in k$. This is the general triangular monomial $k$-derivation. Furthermore we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}:=X_{4}^{F}\left(X_{1}-\sum_{i=0}^{a} \mu_{i} X_{2}^{a-i} X_{3}^{b+1+i(d+1)} X_{4}^{i(e-f)+c-f}\right) \\
& r_{2}:=X_{4}^{G}\left(X_{2}-\frac{1}{d+1} \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}} X_{4}^{e-f} X_{3}^{d+1}\right) \\
& r_{5}:=X_{4}^{-l}\left(\frac{1}{d+1} \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}} r_{1}^{\alpha}-\mu_{a} r_{2}^{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- $G=\max \{0, f-e\}, F=\max \{0, f a+f-a e-c\}$
- $\mu_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(\left(\frac{a-j+1}{b+1+j(d+1)}\right)\left(\frac{-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)^{i}\right) \frac{\lambda_{1}}{(b+1) \lambda_{3}}$
- $\alpha:=\frac{1}{E}(b+1+a(d+1)), \beta=\frac{1}{E}(d+1)$ in which $E=\operatorname{gcd}(b+1+a(d+1), d+1)$
- $l$ is some integer.

The only new part of the following theorem is the case $\lambda_{4}=0, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \neq 0$. For completeness sake the generators of the kernel of $D$ for this case have been written down exactly.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A:=k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]$ and let $D$ be a monomial triangular $k$-derivation on $A$.

1. If $\lambda_{4} \neq 0$ then $\operatorname{ker}(D)=k\left[\exp (-s D)\left(X_{1}\right), \exp (-s D)\left(X_{2}\right), \exp (-s D)\left(X_{3}\right)\right]$ where $s=\lambda_{4}^{-1} X_{4}$;
2. If $\lambda_{4}=0$ and $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}=0$ then $\operatorname{ker}(D)=k\left[F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right]$ for some $F_{i}$;
3. If $\lambda_{4}=0, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \neq 0$, ae $+c-f a-f<0$ and $e-f<0$, then $\operatorname{ker}(D)=k\left[X_{4}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{5}\right]$ where $r_{i}$ as above;
4. If $\lambda_{4}=0, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \neq 0$, ae $+c-f a-f \geq 0$ or $e-f \geq 0$ then $\operatorname{ker}(D)=k\left[X_{4}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$ where $r_{i}$ as above.

Proof. (1): We use the algorithm described in section 2, and use the same notations. If $\lambda_{4} \neq 0$ then take $p=X_{4}, q=\lambda_{4}$ (and $l=1$ ) and $s=\lambda^{-1} q$. Now $R_{0}=k\left[\exp (-s D)\left(X_{1}\right), \exp (-s D)\left(X_{2}\right), \exp (-s D)\left(X_{3}\right), q\right]$. But since $q$ is invertible in $k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]$ any new step won't introduce any new elements. Hence $R_{0}=R_{1}$ and the kernel is as stated.
(2): For this result we refer to [Daigle,Freudenburg, 1998].
(3): We will apply the algorithm described in section 2 again. Note $D\left(X_{3}\right)=\lambda_{3} X_{4}^{f}$ and define $q=X_{4}$ and $s=X_{3} / D\left(X_{3}\right)$. Now when we want to calculate

$$
r_{i}:=q^{e_{i}} \exp (-s D)\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

We know $a e+c-f a-f<0$ and $e-f<0$.
Claim: In this case one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} X_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{i} X_{2}^{a-i} X_{3}^{b+1+i(d+1)} X_{4}^{(a-i)(f-e)} . \\
& r_{2}=X_{4}^{f-e} X_{2}-\frac{1}{d+1} \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}} X_{3}^{d+1} \\
& r_{3}=0 \\
& r_{4}=X_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{i}$ is as in the theorem. The only thing which needs to be proved of this claim is that the formula for $r_{1}$ is correct. By the lemma following this proof we are done. Let $R_{0}:=k\left[r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right]=k\left[r_{1}, r_{2}, X_{4}\right]$. We want to calculate $R_{1}$. For such a $g \in R_{1}$ we must have $X_{4}^{l} g=G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ for some $G\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \in k\left[U_{1}, U_{2}\right], l \geq 1$. Hence $G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=0\left(\bmod X_{4}\right)$. So $G\left(r_{1}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right), r_{2}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right)\right)=0$. Hence $G\left(\mu_{a} X_{3}^{b+1+a(d+1)}, \frac{1}{d+1} \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}} X_{3}^{d+1}\right)=0$. If $G$ is taken of minimal degree then it must be of the form $\left(c_{1} U_{1}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(c_{2} U_{2}\right)^{\beta}$ where $\alpha=\frac{1}{E}(d+1), \beta=\frac{1}{E}(b+1+a(d+1))$ in which $E=\operatorname{gcd}(b+1+a(d+1), d+1)$ and $c_{1}=\frac{1}{\mu_{a}}, c_{2}=(d+1) \frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}$. Hence we can take a maximal $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X_{4}^{-l} G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \in A$. Say $r_{5}:=X_{4}^{-l} G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=X_{4}^{-l}\left(c_{1} r_{1}^{\alpha}-c_{2} r_{2}^{\beta}\right)$. Since $l$ is taken as large as possible we have $r_{5}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right) \neq 0$. We now leave it to the reader to verify that $r_{5} \bmod \left(X_{4}\right)$ depends on $X_{2}$ (a real detailed proof would be very tedious: as a hint, notice that $r_{5} \bmod \left(X_{4}\right)$ is the lowest degree term with respect to $X_{4}$ of $\left.G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right)$. It is easy to see that for any $\widetilde{G} \in k\left[U_{1}, U_{2}\right]$ satisfying $\widetilde{G}\left(r_{1}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right), r_{2}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right)\right)=0 G$ divides $\widetilde{G}$. Hence $R_{1}=k\left[X_{4}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{5}\right]$. Now let us attempt to construct $R_{2}$. Suppose we have $H \in k\left[U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}\right]$ such that $H\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{5}\right)=X_{4}(\ldots)$. Then $H\left(r_{1}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right), r_{2}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right), r_{5}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right)\right)=0$. But since $r_{5}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right)$ depends on $X_{2}$ this means that $H$ is independent of $U_{3}$ and that we have a polynomial from our previous step. Hence $R_{2}=R_{1}$ and thus $\operatorname{ker}(D)=R_{1}=k\left[X_{4}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}\right]$.
(4): This case (in fact: these 3 cases) can be handled with similar arguments as in (3). For example, $e-f \geq 0$ and $a e+c-f a-f \geq 0$ brings up the problem of finding a polynomial $G$ such that $G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=X_{4}(\ldots)$ which means $0=G\left(r_{1}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right), r_{2}\left(\bmod X_{4}\right)\right)$. But in this case $r_{1}$ depends on $X_{1}$ while $r_{2}$ doesn't. Hence in this case one has $R_{0}=R_{1}$. In fact, in all remaining cases one has $R_{0}=R_{1}$. Hence, triangular monomial derivations have finite kernel of at most 4 generators exactly as stated in the theorem.

## Lemma 3.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} \exp (-s D)\left(X_{1}\right)= \\
& X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} X_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{i} X_{2}^{a-i} X_{3}^{b+1+i(d+1)} X_{4}^{(a-i)(f-e)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(\left(\frac{a-j+1}{b+1+j(d+1)}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)^{i}\right) \frac{\lambda_{1}}{(b+1) \lambda_{3}}$.
Proof. One can ofcourse compute that the formula is correct, but that is not easy. We will use another method here. The ideas presented in this proof can be found in a more explained setting in [Maubach], especially the grading-theory used below. Define two degree functions on $A$ by means of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d e g_{1}\left(X_{1}^{t_{1}} X_{2}^{t_{2}} X_{3}^{t_{3}} X_{4}^{t_{4}}\right)=t_{3}+(d+1) t_{2}+(a(d+1)+b+1) t_{1} \\
& d e g_{2}\left(X_{1}^{t_{1}} X_{2}^{t_{2}} X_{3}^{t_{3}} X_{4}^{t_{4}}\right)=t_{4}+f t_{3}+(d f+e) t_{2}+(a d f+a e+b f+c) t_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and define a multidegree $\operatorname{grad}:=\left(\operatorname{deg}_{1}, d e g_{2}\right)$ on $A$. So if we define $A_{n, m}$ as the linear $k$-span of the monomials $M$ satisfying $\operatorname{grad}(M)=(n, m)$ then $A:=\bigoplus_{(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} A_{n, m}$. Furthermore, a nice property of this grading is that $D\left(A_{n, m}\right) \subseteq A_{n-1, m}$, which can be easily checked. Using these properties it is an easy exercise to prove that for every monomial $M$ occuring in $X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} \exp (s D)\left(X_{1}\right)$ we have $\operatorname{grad}(M)=\operatorname{grad}\left(X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} X_{1}\right)$. Now if we restrict our map $D$ to the linear space $A_{n, m}$ where $\operatorname{grad}\left(X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} X_{1}\right)=(n, m)$ then $D$ induces a linear map $l$ from $A_{n, m}$ to $A_{n-1, m}$. Then since $X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} \exp (-s D)\left(X_{1}\right) \in A_{n, m}$ we have $A_{n, m}^{D}=k e r(l)$. The matrix of $l$ with respect to the basis

$$
\left\{X_{1} X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c}, X_{2}^{a} X_{3}^{b+1} X_{4}^{a(f-e)}, X_{2}^{a-1} X_{3}^{b+1+(d+1)} X_{4}^{(a-1)(f-e)}, \ldots, X_{3}^{b+1+a(d+1)}\right\}
$$

of $A_{n, m}$ and the basis

$$
\left\{X_{2}^{a} X_{3}^{b} X_{4}^{a(f-e)}, X_{2}^{a-1} X_{3}^{b+d+1} X_{4}^{(a-1)(f-e)}, \ldots, X_{3}^{b+a(d+1)}\right\}
$$

of $A_{n-1, m}$ we denote by $\mathcal{M}$. It has entries $m_{1,1}=\lambda_{1}, m_{i, i}=(a+1-i) \lambda_{2}$ for $i \geq 2, m_{i, i+1}=(b+1+(i-1)(d+1)) \lambda_{3}$ for $i \geq 1$ and zeros elsewhere. It has dimension $(a+2) \times(a+1)$. The matrix has corank 1 and si of maximal rank. Hence the kernel is one dimensional. Some calculation proves that the kernel is spanned by $e_{1}-\sum_{i=0}^{a} \mu_{i} e_{i+2}$ where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{a+2}$ is the standard basis and $\mu_{i}$ is exactly as previously described. Hence $A_{n, m}^{D}$ is one dimensional and generated by $X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} X_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{i} X_{2}^{a-i} X_{3}^{b+1+i(d+1)} X_{4}^{(a-i)(f-e)}$. We know that $X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} \exp (-s D)\left(X_{1}\right)$ is in $A_{n, m}$ and also in $\operatorname{ker}(D)$. Hence

$$
X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} \exp (-s D)\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{4}^{f a+f-a e-c} X_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{i} X_{2}^{a-i} X_{3}^{b+1+i(d+1)} X_{4}^{(a-i)(f-e)}
$$

Now let us end, very poetically, with the title.
Corollary 3.4. Triangular monomial derivations on $k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]$ have kernel generated by at most four elements.
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