#### A generalisation of the Erdős–Nešetřil conjecture\*

Ross J. Kang



Radboud University Nijmegen

Leiden 12/2016

<sup>\*</sup>This research was made possible thanks to a Van Gogh grant.















*matching* : set of non-interfering edges

edge-colouring : edge partition into matchings



matching : set of non-interfering edges

edge-colouring : edge partition into matchings

 $\mathit{chromatic}\ \mathit{index}\ \chi'$  : least number of parts needed

Strengthen notion of matching: transmission gives stronger interference



Strengthen notion of matching: transmission gives stronger interference



Strengthen notion of matching: transmission gives stronger interference





strong matching : set of edges that induce a matching



strong matching : set of edges that induce a matching



strong matching : set of edges that induce a matching strong edge-colouring : edge partition into strong matchings strong chromatic index  $\chi'_s$  : least number of parts needed

# A basic question

Let  $\Delta(G)$  denote the maximum degree in a graph G.

What is the worst value among those G with  $\Delta(G) = d$ ?

#### A basic question

Let  $\Delta(G)$  denote the maximum degree in a graph G.

What is the worst value among those G with  $\Delta(G) = d$ ?

I.e.

What is 
$$\chi'(d) := \sup\{\chi'(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$$
?

What is  $\chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$ ?

Chromatic index

What is  $\chi'(d) := \sup\{\chi'(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$ ?

#### Chromatic index

What is 
$$\chi'(d) := \sup\{\chi'(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$$
?

Trivial:  $\chi'(d) \le 2(d-1) + 1 = 2d - 1$ . Greedy.



Easy:  $\chi'(d) \ge d$ . All edges around a vertex must get different colours.

#### Chromatic index

What is 
$$\chi'(d) := \sup\{\chi'(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$$
?

Trivial:  $\chi'(d) \le 2(d-1) + 1 = 2d - 1$ . Greedy.



Easy:  $\chi'(d) \ge d$ . All edges around a vertex must get different colours. Classic:  $\chi'(d) \le d + 1$ . Recolouring argument by Gupta and by Vizing (1960s).

What is  $\chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$ ?

What is 
$$\chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$$
?

Trivial:  $\chi'_{s}(d) \leq 2d(d-1) + 1 = 2d^{2} - 2d + 1$ . Greedy.



Lower bound?

Better upper bound?

What is 
$$\chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$$
?

Example:  $\chi'_s(d) \ge 5d^2/4$ , d even.



What is 
$$\chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$$
?

Example:  $\chi'_s(d) \ge 5d^2/4$ , d even.



Conjecture (Erdős & Nešetřil 1980s)  $\chi'_{s}(d) \leq 5d^{2}/4.$ 

What is  $\chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$ ?

Example:  $\chi'_s(d) \ge 5d^2/4$ , d even.



Conjecture (Erdős & Nešetřil 1980s)

 $\chi_s'(d) \le 5d^2/4$ . (Or even just  $\chi_s'(d) \le (2-\varepsilon)d^2$  for some absolute  $\varepsilon > 0$ ?)

```
What is \chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}?
```

This remains wide open, except

Theorem (Molloy & Reed 1997)

 $\chi'_{s}(d) \leq (2-\varepsilon)d^{2}$  for some absolute  $\varepsilon > 0$ . ( $\varepsilon \ll 0.002$ .)

What is 
$$\chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}$$
?

This remains wide open, except

Theorem (Molloy & Reed 1997)  $\chi'_{s}(d) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)d^{2}$  for some absolute  $\varepsilon > 0$ . ( $\varepsilon \ll 0.002$ .)

Theorem (Andersen 1992, Horák, He & Trotter 1993)  $\chi_{s}'(3) = 10.$ 

Confirms first non-trivial case. Running example certifies sharpness.

```
What is \chi'_s(d) := \sup\{\chi'_s(G) \mid \Delta(G) = d\}?
```

This remains wide open, except

Theorem (Molloy & Reed 1997)

 $\chi'_{s}(d) \leq (2-\varepsilon)d^{2}$  for some absolute  $\varepsilon > 0$ . ( $\varepsilon \ll 0.002$ .)

Lemma (sparse neighbourhoods colouring)

If every neighbourhood is sparse enough and degree bound is large enough, then vertices can be coloured with < 1 factor lower than the trivial number.

Lemma (square of line graph neighbourhood sparsity)

The auxiliary graph implicit in strong edge-colouring of bounded degree graph has sparse enough neighbourhoods.









matching in G



matching in  $G \equiv$  stable set in L(G)

The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G has vertices corresponding to G-edges and edges if the two corresponding G-edges have a common G-vertex.



matching in  $G \equiv$  stable set in L(G)

edge-colouring in G

The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G has vertices corresponding to G-edges and edges if the two corresponding G-edges have a common G-vertex.



matching in  $G \equiv$  stable set in L(G)

edge-colouring in  $G \equiv$  vertex-colouring in L(G)

The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G has vertices corresponding to G-edges and edges if the two corresponding G-edges have a common G-vertex.



matching in  $G \equiv$  stable set in L(G)

edge-colouring in  $G \equiv$  vertex-colouring in L(G)

strong matching in  ${\it G}$ 

strong edge-colouring in  ${\it G}$
The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G has vertices corresponding to G-edges and edges if the two corresponding G-edges have a common G-vertex.



matching in  $G \equiv$  stable set in L(G)

edge-colouring in  $G \equiv$  vertex-colouring in L(G)

strong matching in  $G \equiv$  stable set in  $(L(G))^2$ 

strong edge-colouring in  $G \equiv$  vertex-colouring in  $(L(G))^2$ 

The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G has vertices corresponding to G-edges and edges if the two corresponding G-edges have a common G-vertex.



maximum degree  $\Delta$  of G

The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G has vertices corresponding to G-edges and edges if the two corresponding G-edges have a common G-vertex.



maximum degree  $\Delta$  of  $G \equiv$  clique number  $\omega$  of L(G)

The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G has vertices corresponding to G-edges and edges if the two corresponding G-edges have a common G-vertex.



maximum degree  $\Delta$  of  $G \equiv$  clique number  $\omega$  of L(G)

Gupta-Vizing:  $\chi'(G) = \chi(L(G)) \in \{\omega(L(G)), \omega(L(G)) + 1\}.$ 

The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G has vertices corresponding to G-edges and edges if the two corresponding G-edges have a common G-vertex.



maximum degree  $\Delta$  of  $G \equiv$  clique number  $\omega$  of L(G)

Gupta-Vizing:  $\chi'(G) = \chi(L(G)) \in \{\omega(L(G)), \omega(L(G)) + 1\}.$ Molloy-Reed:  $\chi'_s(G) = \chi((L(G))^2) \le (2 - \varepsilon)\omega(L(G))^2.$ 

In a line graph, every (vertex) neighbourhood partitions into two cliques.



In a line graph, every (vertex) neighbourhood partitions into two cliques.



In a line graph, every (vertex) neighbourhood partitions into two cliques.



Call any G with this property quasiline.

Any quasiline graph, and thus any line graph, contains no claw.



Call any G with this property claw-free.

Any quasiline graph, and thus any line graph, contains no claw.



Call any G with this property claw-free.

line graphs  $\subseteq$  quasiline graphs  $\subseteq$  claw-free graphs

Any quasiline graph, and thus any line graph, contains no claw.



Call any G with this property claw-free.

line graphs  $\subsetneq$  quasiline graphs  $\subsetneq$  claw-free graphs

Any quasiline graph, and thus any line graph, contains no claw.



Call any G with this property claw-free.

line graphs  $\subsetneq$  quasiline graphs  $\subsetneq$  claw-free graphs How do line graph results extend to claw-free graphs?

edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Gupta, Vizing (1960s):  $\chi(G) \in \{\omega, \omega + 1\}$  if G line graph.

edge-colouring of graph  $\rightsquigarrow$  colouring of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\rightsquigarrow$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Gupta, Vizing (1960s):  $\chi(G) \in \{\omega, \omega + 1\}$  if G line graph.

Chudnovsky & Ovetsky (2007):  $\chi(G) \leq 3\omega/2$  if G quasiline. Sharp.

edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Gupta, Vizing (1960s):  $\chi(G) \in \{\omega, \omega + 1\}$  if G line graph.

Chudnovsky & Ovetsky (2007):  $\chi(G) \leq 3\omega/2$  if G quasiline. Sharp.

Chudnovsky & Seymour VI (2010):  $\chi(G) \le 2\omega$  if G connected with stable set of size 3. Sharp.

Without stable set condition,  $\chi(G) \leq \omega^2$  but  $\chi(G)$  can be  $\Omega(\omega^2/\log \omega)$  as  $\omega \to \infty$  in suitable Ramsey graphs.

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\rightsquigarrow$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\rightsquigarrow$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Erdős & Nešetřil (1980s):  $\chi(G^2) \le 5\omega^2/4$  if G line graph? Molloy & Reed (1997):  $\chi(G^2) \le (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$  if G line graph.

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Erdős & Nešetřil (1980s):  $\chi(G^2) \leq 5\omega^2/4$  if G line graph? Molloy & Reed (1997):  $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$  if G line graph.

Does  $\chi(G^2)$  get worse approaching claw-free from line (like for  $\chi(G)$ )?

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\rightsquigarrow$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\rightsquigarrow$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\rightsquigarrow$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\rightsquigarrow$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)

 $\chi(G^2) \leq (2-\varepsilon)\omega^2.$ 

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)

 $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$ . Same small unspecified  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$ . Same small unspecified  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Conjecture (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq 5\omega^2/4.$ 

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$ . Same small unspecified  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Conjecture (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+) $\chi(G^2) \leq 5\omega^2/4.$ 

Theorem (Cames van Batenburg & K 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq 10 \text{ if } \omega = 3.$ 

strong edge-colouring of graph  $\sim$  colouring of square of claw-free graph maximum degree of graph  $\sim$  clique number of claw-free graph

What is the worst  $\chi(G^2)$  among those claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ ?

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$ . Same small unspecified  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Conjecture (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \le 5\omega^2/4$ .  $\rightarrow$  Suffices to prove this for G line graph of multigraph.

Theorem (Cames van Batenburg & K 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq 10 \text{ if } \omega = 3.$ 

# Greedy colouring

Recall "trivial" bound max degree+1, colouring greedily one by one. What if we colour the smallest degree element last? Recall "trivial" bound max degree+1, colouring greedily one by one. What if we colour the smallest degree element last?

Lemma (double greedy)

Fix  $K \ge 0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_2$  graph classes. Assume every  $G \in \mathcal{C}_2$  has  $\chi(G^2) \le K + 1$ . Assume  $\mathcal{C}_1$  contains singleton, closed under vertex-deletion and for any  $G \in \mathcal{C}_1$ 

- G belongs to  $\mathbb{C}_2$ , or
- there is vertex v ∈ G with square degree deg<sub>G2</sub>(v) ≤ K such that those G-neighbours x with deg<sub>G2</sub>(x) > K + 2 induce a clique in (G \ v)<sup>2</sup>.

Then every  $G \in \mathcal{C}_1$  has  $\chi(G^2) \leq K + 1$ .

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$  for claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ .

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$  for claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ .

Lemma (claw-free  $\rightarrow$  quasiline)

For claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ , either G is quasiline or there is  $v \in G$  with  $\deg_{G^2}(v) \leq \omega^2 + (\omega + 1)/2$  s.t. neighbours induce clique in  $(G \setminus v)^2$ .

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$  for claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ .

Lemma (claw-free  $\rightarrow$  quasiline)

For claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ , either G is quasiline or there is  $v \in G$  with  $\deg_{G^2}(v) \le \omega^2 + (\omega + 1)/2$  s.t. neighbours induce clique in  $(G \setminus v)^2$ .

Lemma (quasiline  $\rightarrow$  line graph of multigraph)

For quasiline G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ , either G is line graph of multigraph or there is  $v \in G$  with  $\deg_{G^2}(v) \leq \omega^2 + \omega$  s.t. neighbours x with  $\deg_{G^2}(x) > \omega^2 + \omega$  induce clique in  $(G \setminus v)^2$ .

Theorem (de Joannis de Verclos, K & Pastor 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$  for claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ .

Lemma (claw-free  $\rightarrow$  quasiline)

For claw-free G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ , either G is quasiline or there is  $v \in G$  with  $\deg_{G^2}(v) \le \omega^2 + (\omega + 1)/2$  s.t. neighbours induce clique in  $(G \setminus v)^2$ .

Lemma (quasiline  $\rightarrow$  line graph of multigraph)

For quasiline G with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ , either G is line graph of multigraph or there is  $v \in G$  with  $\deg_{G^2}(v) \leq \omega^2 + \omega$  s.t. neighbours x with  $\deg_{G^2}(x) > \omega^2 + \omega$  induce clique in  $(G \setminus v)^2$ .

Lemma (line graph of multigraph)

 $\chi(G^2) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)\omega^2$  if G line graph of multigraph with  $\omega(G) = \omega$ .

Theorem (Cames van Batenburg & K 2016+)

 $\chi(G^2) \leq 10$  if G claw-free with  $\omega(G) = 3$ .

Theorem (Cames van Batenburg & K 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq 10$  if G claw-free with  $\omega(G) = 3$ .

Lemma

If G connected claw-free with  $\omega(G) = 3$ , then

- G is icosahedron;
- G is line graph of a 3-regular graph; or
- there is  $v \in G$  with  $\deg_{G^2}(v) \leq 9$  s.t.  $\deg_{G^2}(x) \leq 11$  for all neighbours x.



Theorem (Cames van Batenburg & K 2016+)  $\chi(G^2) \leq 10$  if G claw-free with  $\omega(G) = 3$ .

Lemma

If G connected claw-free with  $\omega(G) = 3$ , then

- G is icosahedron;
- G is line graph of a 3-regular graph; or
- there is  $v \in G$  with  $\deg_{G^2}(v) \leq 9$  s.t.  $\deg_{G^2}(x) \leq 11$  for all neighbours x.



Similar techniques to achieve optimal reduction for  $\omega(G) = 4$ .

For further consideration

The Erdős-Nešetřil conjecture itself!

For further consideration

The Erdős-Nešetřil conjecture itself!

Other optimisation/extremal problems where claw-free reduces to (multi)line?
## For further consideration

The Erdős-Nešetřil conjecture itself!

Other optimisation/extremal problems where claw-free reduces to (multi)line?

Superclasses of claw-free graphs?

## For further consideration

The Erdős-Nešetřil conjecture itself!

Other optimisation/extremal problems where claw-free reduces to (multi)line?

Superclasses of claw-free graphs?

For  $t \ge 3$ , how does  $\chi(G^t)$  behave in terms of  $\omega(G)$  for claw-free G? (For line graph G and large fixed t this is already a difficult problem.) Announcement

26 and 27 January 2017

## STAR Workshop on Random Graphs.

in Utrecht

Speakers include:

Mihyun Kang (Graz), Marián Boguña (Barcelona), Nick Wormald (Melbourne), Vincent Tassion (Zürich).

## Thank you!