

Arrangements of pseudocircles and circles


Ross J. Kang*




Utrecht University

EuroComb 2013
Pisa, 11 September

A line arrangement



A pseudoline arrangement



Pseudoline arrangements

A *pseudoline* is the image of a line under a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^2 .

A *pseudoline arrangement* satisfies the following.

1. No two pseudolines intersect more than once.
2. When two pseudolines meet, they must cross.

Pseudoline arrangements

A *pseudoline* is the image of a line under a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^2 .

A *pseudoline arrangement* satisfies the following.

1. No two pseudolines intersect more than once.
2. When two pseudolines meet, they must cross.

A *simple pseudoline arrangement* further satisfies the following.

3. Every two pseudolines intersect.
4. No point lies on three pseudolines.

Pseudoline arrangements

A *pseudoline* is the image of a line under a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^2 .

A *pseudoline arrangement* satisfies the following.

1. No two pseudolines intersect more than once.
2. When two pseudolines meet, they must cross.

A *simple pseudoline arrangement* further satisfies the following.

3. Every two pseudolines intersect.
4. No point lies on three pseudolines.


The study of such objects apparently goes back at least to 1826 (J. Steiner, vol. 1 of Crelle's).

Pseudoline arrangements

Naturally, one wonders if every pseudoline arrangement is equivalent/homeomorphic to a line arrangement?

Pseudoline arrangements

Naturally, one wonders if every pseudoline arrangement is equivalent/homeomorphic to a line arrangement?




F.W. Levi (1926). Hint: consult Pappus of Alexandria (c. 340 AD).

Pseudoline arrangements

Naturally, one wonders if every *simple* pseudoline arrangement is equivalent/homeomorphic to a line arrangement?

Pseudoline arrangements

Naturally, one wonders if every *simple* pseudoline arrangement is equivalent/homeomorphic to a line arrangement?



Ringel (1956).

Small pseudoline arrangements are stretchable

Goodman and Pollack (1980), after a conjecture of Grünbaum, showed every arrangement of eight pseudolines is equivalent to a line arrangement. In other words, every arrangement of eight pseudolines is *stretchable*.

Richter-Gebert (1989) showed Ringel's example is the unique non-stretchable simple arrangement of nine pseudolines.

STRETCHABILITY

STRETCHABILITY is the computational problem of deciding, given a pseudoline arrangement, if it is equivalent to a line arrangement.

SIMPLE STRETCHABILITY is the same problem with input restricted to simple arrangements.

STRETCHABILITY

STRETCHABILITY is the computational problem of deciding, given a pseudoline arrangement, if it is equivalent to a line arrangement.

SIMPLE STRETCHABILITY is the same problem with input restricted to simple arrangements.

Theorem (Mnëv, 1988, cf. Shor, 1991)


SIMPLE STRETCHABILITY is NP-hard.

Note 1: The theorem may be viewed as a corollary to a deep topological theorem of Mnëv, but Shor gave a simpler and direct proof.


Note 2: In fact, SIMPLE STRETCHABILITY is complete for the computational class “existential theory of the reals”[†].

[†]Given an existential first-order sentence over the real numbers, is it true?

A circle arrangement



A pseudocircle arrangement



Pseudocircle arrangements

A *pseudocircle* is a Jordan curve.

A *pseudocircle arrangement* satisfies the following.

1. No two pseudocircles intersect more than twice.
2. When two pseudocircles meet, they must cross.

Pseudocircle arrangements

A *pseudocircle* is a Jordan curve.

A *pseudocircle arrangement* satisfies the following.

1. No two pseudocircles intersect more than twice.
2. When two pseudocircles meet, they must cross.

A *simple pseudocircle arrangement* further satisfies the following.

3. No point lies on three pseudocircles.


Note for context: one may interpret simple line arrangements as arrangements of great circles on a sphere.

Pseudocircle arrangements

Naturally, one wonders if every (simple) pseudocircle arrangement is equivalent/homeomorphic to a circle arrangement, i.e. *circleable*?

Pseudocircle arrangements

Naturally, one wonders if every (simple) pseudocircle arrangement is equivalent/homeomorphic to a circle arrangement, i.e. *circleable*?



Linhart and Ortner (2005) conjectured this to be the smallest non-circleable arrangement.


Small pseudocircle arrangements are circleable

Theorem (K and Müller)


Every pseudocircle arrangement on four pseudocircles is circleable.

The (lengthy) case analysis to prove this is much more involved than Goodman and Pollack's (compact) argument for pseudoline arrangements, but fortunately it is shortened by the use of circle inversions.

Circle inversions



Circle inversions



Fun facts about an inversion in a circle C with centre p : It

- exchanges the interior and exterior of C ;
- maps circles through p to lines, circles not through p to circles, lines through p to lines, lines not through p to circles;
- is conformal.

CIRCLEABILITY

CIRCLEABILITY is the computational problem of deciding, given a pseudocircle arrangement, if it is equivalent to a circle arrangement.

CONVEX CIRCLEABILITY is the same problem with input restricted to simple arrangements of convex pseudocircles.

CIRCLEABILITY

CIRCLEABILITY is the computational problem of deciding, given a pseudocircle arrangement, if it is equivalent to a circle arrangement.

CONVEX CIRCLEABILITY is the same problem with input restricted to simple arrangements of convex pseudocircles.


Theorem (K and Müller)

CONVEX CIRCLEABILITY is NP-hard.


CIRCLEABILITY reductions

Starting with a simple pseudoline arrangement, perform an inversion on a circle with centre not on any pseudoline.


CIRCLEABILITY reductions




CIRCLEABILITY reductions



CIRCLEABILITY reductions



CIRCLEABILITY reductions



CONVEXIBILITY

CONVEXIBILITY is the computational problem of deciding, given a pseudocircle arrangement, if it is equivalent to an arrangement of convex pseudocircles.

SIMPLE CONVEXIBILITY is the same problem with input restricted to simple arrangements of pseudocircles.

Bultena, Grünbaum and Ruskey (1998) asked for the complexity.

CONVEXIBILITY

CONVEXIBILITY is the computational problem of deciding, given a pseudocircle arrangement, if it is equivalent to an arrangement of convex pseudocircles.


SIMPLE CONVEXIBILITY is the same problem with input restricted to simple arrangements of pseudocircles.

Bultena, Grünbaum and Ruskey (1998) asked for the complexity.


Theorem (K and Müller)

SIMPLE CONVEXIBILITY is NP-hard.


CONVEXIBILITY reductions



CONVEXIBILITY reductions



CONVEXIBILITY reductions



A non-convexible arrangement

Linhart and Ortner (2008) asked for a non-convexible arrangement:

Take Ringel's construction and perform the last reductions to obtain a non-convexible arrangement of eighteen pseudocircles.

What is the smallest one?

One more pseudocircle result

We learned of the following conjecture, of Russian folklore, from Artem Pyatkin.

Given an arrangement of convex pseudocircles, the corresponding intersection graph can be realised as the intersection graph of a collection of circles.

(The intersection graph has vertex set the collection of pseudocircles, two vertices adjacent if the corresponding pseudocircles intersect.)

One more pseudocircle result

We learned of the following conjecture, of Russian folklore, from Artem Pyatkin.


Given an arrangement of convex pseudocircles, the corresponding intersection graph can be realised as the intersection graph of a collection of circles.

(The intersection graph has vertex set the collection of pseudocircles, two vertices adjacent if the corresponding pseudocircles intersect.)

Theorem (K and Müller)

The following depicts a counter-example to the above conjecture.

One more pseudocircle result



Open problems

1. What are all the smallest non-circleable (convex) pseudocircle arrangements?
2. What is the size of a smallest non-convexible (simple) arrangement of pseudocircles?
3. What is the size of a smallest convex pseudocircle arrangement, the corresponding intersection graph of which cannot be realised as the intersection graph of a collection of circles?
4. What is the computational complexity of recognising if a convex pseudocircle arrangement is realisable as the intersection graph of a collection of circles?

An announcement

Utrecht Graphs Workshop!

31 October and 1 November 2013

Preliminary programme includes Maria Axenovich, Aart Blokhuis, Hajo Broersma, Louis Esperet, Tom Kaiser, Dan Král', Alex Scott, Benny Sudakov, Carsten Thomassen.

To register, email ross.kang@gmail.com.

There is limited space for short, contributed talks.

<http://www.staff.science.uu.nl/~Kang0002/UGW2013.html>

(Or from webpages of organisers, Ross Kang and Tobias Müller.)