# (Almost) rigid rings and infinitely generated invariants.

Stefan Maubach

October 2007

#### Some Notations

If A is a ring, then DER(A), LND(A) is set of (locally nilpotent) derivations on A.  $LND^*(A) := LND(A) \setminus \{0\}.$  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]} := \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ 

A ring A is called rigid if  $LND(A) = \{0\}$ . Example:  $\mathbb{C}$ .

A ring A is called rigid if  $LND(A) = \{0\}$ . Example:  $\mathbb{C}$ . A ring A is called almost rigid if all  $D \in LND^*(A)$  have the same kernel. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . "Almost rigid"  $\iff$ "ML(A) = HD(A)"

A ring A is called rigid if  $LND(A) = \{0\}$ . Example:  $\mathbb{C}$ . A ring A is called almost rigid if all  $D \in LND^*(A)$  have the same kernel. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . "Almost rigid"  $\iff$ "ML(A) = HD(A)" A ring A is called not rigid at all if it is not (almost) rigid. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ .

- A ring A is called rigid if  $LND(A) = \{0\}$ . Example:  $\mathbb{C}$ . A ring A is called almost rigid if all  $D \in LND^*(A)$  have the same kernel. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . "Almost rigid"  $\iff$
- "ML(A) = HD(A)"
- A ring A is called not rigid at all if it is not (almost) rigid. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ .
- If you grab a "random" prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ , then it *seems* like  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}/\mathfrak{p}$  is with chance 1 a rigid ring. (Perhaps even replace "prime ideal" by "radical ideal".)

- A ring A is called rigid if  $LND(A) = \{0\}$ . Example:  $\mathbb{C}$ . A ring A is called almost rigid if all  $D \in LND^*(A)$  have the same kernel. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . "Almost rigid"  $\iff$
- "ML(A) = HD(A)"
- A ring A is called not rigid at all if it is not (almost) rigid. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ .
- If you grab a "random" prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ , then it *seems* like  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}/\mathfrak{p}$  is with chance 1 a rigid ring.
- (Perhaps even replace "prime ideal" by "radical ideal".)
- I.e. rigid rings are important as they seem to be "almost all rings".

- A ring A is called rigid if  $LND(A) = \{0\}$ . Example:  $\mathbb{C}$ . A ring A is called almost rigid if all  $D \in LND^*(A)$  have the same kernel. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . "Almost rigid"  $\iff$
- "ML(A) = HD(A)"
- A ring A is called not rigid at all if it is not (almost) rigid. Example:  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ .
- If you grab a "random" prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ , then it *seems* like  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}/\mathfrak{p}$  is with chance 1 a rigid ring.
- (Perhaps even replace "prime ideal" by "radical ideal".)
- I.e. rigid rings are important as they seem to be "almost all rings".
- But it is not that easy to prove that something is rigid!

#### Method 1: Makar-Limanov techniques Consider $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/\mathfrak{p}$ . How to show that A is rigid?

#### Method 1: Makar-Limanov techniques

Consider  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/\mathfrak{p}$ . How to show that A is rigid? Choose a smart *degree function* on A, given by a *grading* on  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ . Write  $\widehat{f}$  for "highest degree part of f".

#### Method 1: Makar-Limanov techniques

Consider  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/\mathfrak{p}$ . How to show that A is rigid? Choose a smart *degree function* on A, given by a *grading* on  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ . Write  $\widehat{f}$  for "highest degree part of f". If  $\widehat{A} := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/\mathfrak{p}$  is rigid, then A rigid!

#### Example

#### Let

 $A := \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] = \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]/(X^aY^b + Z^c + XYZ + X + Y + Z)$ where  $a, b, c \ge 2$ . Choose a degree function on  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$ such that top degree part is  $X^aY^b + Z^c$ .

**Theorem:** there exist no homogeneous nonzero LNDs on  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]/(X^aY^b + Z^c).$ 

Corollary: there exist no nonzero LNDs on

$$\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]/(X^aY^b + Z^c).$$

Corollary: there exist no nonzero LNDs on A.

Method 2: parametrization techniques

Method 2: parametrization techniques Let A be a domain,  $D \in LND(A)$ .

Method 2: parametrization techniques Let A be a domain,  $D \in LND(A)$ . If  $D \neq 0$ , then we embed  $A \longrightarrow K[S]$ . Here K is algebraic closure of  $A^D$ . S = p/D(p), where  $p \in A$ is a preslice  $(D(p) \neq 0, D^2(p) = 0)$ . This is simple but incredibly useful!!

Method 2: parametrization techniques Let A be a domain,  $D \in LND(A)$ . If  $D \neq 0$ , then we embed  $A \longrightarrow K[S]$ . Here K is algebraic closure of  $A^D$ . S = p/D(p), where  $p \in A$ is a preslice  $(D(p) \neq 0, D^2(p) = 0)$ . This is simple but incredibly useful!! Let me give some examples:

If  $A = k[a_1, ..., a_n]$  domain,  $D \in LND^*(A)$ ,  $A \longrightarrow K[S]$  embedding.

If  $A = k[a_1, ..., a_n]$  domain,  $D \in \text{LND}^*(A)$ ,  $A \longrightarrow K[S]$ embedding. Then each  $a_i = f_i(S) \in K[S]$ .

If  $A = k[a_1, ..., a_n]$  domain,  $D \in LND^*(A)$ ,  $A \longrightarrow K[S]$ embedding. Then each  $a_i = f_i(S) \in K[S]$ . **Theorem:** Let  $D \in LND(A)$  such that  $D^n(a) = \lambda a$  for some  $a, b \in A$ . Then D(a) = 0. (Most often this theorem is stated for n = 1.)

If  $A = k[a_1, ..., a_n]$  domain,  $D \in LND^*(A)$ ,  $A \longrightarrow K[S]$ embedding. Then each  $a_i = f_i(S) \in K[S]$ . **Theorem:** Let  $D \in LND(A)$  such that  $D^n(a) = \lambda a$  for some  $a, b \in A$ . Then D(a) = 0. (Most often this theorem is stated for n = 1.) **Proof:**  $f^{(n)} = gf$  can only be true if g = 0 or f = 0.

If  $A = k[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$  domain,  $D \in \text{LND}^*(A)$ ,  $A \longrightarrow K[S]$ embedding. Then each  $a_i = f_i(S) \in K[S]$ . **Theorem:** Let  $D \in LND(A)$  such that  $D^n(a) = \lambda a$  for some  $a, b \in A$ . Then D(a) = 0. (Most often this theorem is stated for n = 1.) **Proof:**  $f^{(n)} = gf$  can only be true if g = 0 or f = 0. Problem on a domain reduced to a problem on polynomials on K[S].

If  $A = k[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$  domain,  $D \in \text{LND}^*(A)$ ,  $A \longrightarrow K[S]$ embedding. Then each  $a_i = f_i(S) \in K[S]$ . **Theorem:** Let  $D \in LND(A)$  such that  $D^n(a) = \lambda a$  for some <u> $a, b \in A$ . Then</u> D(a) = 0. (Most often this theorem is stated for  $n = \underline{1}$ .) **Proof:**  $f^{(n)} = gf$  can only be true if g = 0 or f = 0. Problem on a domain reduced to a problem on polynomials on K[S].

Let's do another one!

Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation satisfying aD(b) = cbD(a) for some "generic"  $a, b, c \in A$ . Then D(a) = D(b) = 0.

Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation satisfying aD(b) = cbD(a) for some "generic"  $a, b, c \in A$ . Then D(a) = D(b) = 0. **Theorem:** Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation that

satisfies  $\lambda bD(a) = caD(b)$ , where  $\lambda \in A^D$ ,  $a, b, c \in A$ , and  $c/\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then D = 0.

Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation satisfying aD(b) = cbD(a) for some "generic"  $a, b, c \in A$ . Then D(a) = D(b) = 0.

**Theorem:** Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation that satisfies  $\lambda bD(a) = caD(b)$ , where  $\lambda \in A^D$ ,  $a, b, c \in A$ , and  $c/\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then D = 0.

**Proof:** Becomes  $\lambda gf' = hfg'$  where  $f, g, h \in K[S], \lambda \in K$ .

Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation satisfying aD(b) = cbD(a) for some "generic"  $a, b, c \in A$ . Then D(a) = D(b) = 0.

**Theorem:** Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation that satisfies  $\lambda bD(a) = caD(b)$ , where  $\lambda \in A^D$ ,  $a, b, c \in A$ , and  $c/\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then D = 0.

**Proof:** Becomes  $\lambda gf' = hfg'$  where  $f, g, h \in K[S], \lambda \in K$ . Suppose f, g are not constant, then h is constant.

Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation satisfying aD(b) = cbD(a) for some "generic"  $a, b, c \in A$ . Then D(a) = D(b) = 0. **Theorem:** Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation that

satisfies  $\lambda bD(a) = caD(b)$ , where  $\lambda \in A^D$ ,  $a, b, c \in A$ , and  $c/\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then D = 0.

**Proof:** Becomes  $\lambda gf' = hfg'$  where  $f, g, h \in K[S], \lambda \in K$ . Suppose f, g are not constant, then h is constant. If deg(f) = n, deg(g) = m, then highest degree term:  $n\lambda S^{n+m-1} = mhS^{n+m-1}$ .

Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation satisfying aD(b) = cbD(a) for some "generic"  $a, b, c \in A$ . Then D(a) = D(b) = 0.

**Theorem:** Let A be a domain and let D be a derivation that satisfies  $\lambda bD(a) = caD(b)$ , where  $\lambda \in A^D$ ,  $a, b, c \in A$ , and  $c/\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then D = 0.

**Proof:** Becomes  $\lambda gf' = hfg'$  where  $f, g, h \in K[S], \lambda \in K$ . Suppose f, g are not constant, then h is constant. If deg(f) = n, deg(g) = m, then highest degree term:  $n\lambda S^{n+m-1} = mhS^{n+m-1}$ . Thus  $h/\lambda = n/m \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Contradiction, so f, g constant.

#### Always Mason's theorem!

**Mason's Theorem:** Let  $f, g, h \in K[X]$  not all constant, gcd(f, g, h) = 1 and f + g = h. Then  $deg(f) < \mathcal{N}(fgh)$  ( $\mathcal{N}$  is number of zeroes). **Generalization:** (de Bondt) Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in K[X]$  not all constant,  $f_1 + \ldots + f_n = 0$ , and some requirement replacing gcd(f, g, h) = 1. Then  $deg(f_1) < (n - 2)\mathcal{N}(f_1f_2 \cdots f_n)$ .

## The Typical Example: Brieskorn-Catalan-Fermat

Let 
$$A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(X_1^{d_1} + \ldots + X_n^{d_n}), n \ge 3$$
. If $\frac{1}{d_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{d_n} \le \frac{1}{n-2}$ 

then A is rigid.

Well, first: a motivation.

Well, first: a motivation. In a paper of *Gurjar, Masuda, Miyanishi, Russell*:

Question: Can the Derksen invariant be infinitely generated?

Well, first: a motivation. In a paper of *Gurjar, Masuda, Miyanishi, Russell*:

**Question:** Can the Derksen invariant be infinitely generated? Ok, now example:

Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain,  $F_i \in \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ .

Well, first: a motivation. In a paper of *Gurjar, Masuda, Miyanishi, Russell*:

**Question:** Can the Derksen invariant be infinitely generated? Ok, now example:

Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain,  $F_i \in \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ . If

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{d_n} \le \frac{1}{n-2}$$

(+some extra requirement) then any  $D \in LND(A)$  satisfies  $D(F_1) = \ldots = D(F_n) = 0.$ 

Well, first: a motivation. In a paper of *Gurjar*, *Masuda*, *Miyanishi*, *Russell*:

**Question:** Can the Derksen invariant be infinitely generated? Ok, now example:

Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain,  $F_i \in \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ . If

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{d_n} \le \frac{1}{n-2}$$

(+some extra requirement) then any  $D \in LND(A)$  satisfies  $D(F_1) = \ldots = D(F_n) = 0.$ 

IDEA: take one of those examples of LNDs on  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$  that have infinitely generated kernel, and *force* this to be the *only* derivation that exists!

Known: Robert's derivation:  $D_R := X^3 \partial_S + Y^3 \partial_T + Z^3 \partial_U + X^2 Y^2 Z^2 \partial_V$  has infinitely generated kernel.

Known: Robert's derivation:  $D_R := X^3 \partial_S + Y^3 \partial_T + Z^3 \partial_U + X^2 Y^2 Z^2 \partial_V$  has infinitely generated kernel. Let  $F_1 := X^3 T - Y^3 S$ ,  $F_2 := X^3 U - Z^3 S$ ,  $F_3 := Y^2 Z^2 S - XV$ .

Known: Robert's derivation:  $D_R := X^3 \partial_S + Y^3 \partial_T + Z^3 \partial_U + X^2 Y^2 Z^2 \partial_V$  has infinitely generated kernel. Let  $F_1 := X^3 T - Y^3 S$ ,  $F_2 := X^3 U - Z^3 S$ ,  $F_3 := Y^2 Z^2 S - XV$ . If  $D(F_1) = D(F_2) = D(F_3) = 0$ , then  $D = D_R$  or D = 0.

Known: Robert's derivation:  $D_{\rm R} := X^3 \partial_{\rm S} + Y^3 \partial_{\rm T} + Z^3 \partial_{\rm U} + X^2 Y^2 Z^2 \partial_{\rm V}$  has infinitely generated kernel. Let  $F_1 := X^3 T - Y^3 S$ ,  $F_2 := X^3 U - Z^3 S$ ,  $F_3 := Y^2 Z^2 S - XV$ . If  $D(F_1) = D(F_2) = D(F_3) = 0$ , then  $D = D_R$  or D = 0. Let's make a ring where Robert's derivation is the only one that exists!

Robert's derivation:  $X^3\partial_5 + Y^3\partial_7 + Z^3\partial_U + X^2Y^2Z^2\partial_V$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3T - Y^3S, F_2 := X^3U - Z^3S, F_3 := Y^2Z^2S - XV$ .

Robert's derivation:  $X^3 \partial_S + Y^3 \partial_T + Z^3 \partial_U + X^2 Y^2 Z^2 \partial_V$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3 T - Y^3 S, F_2 := X^3 U - Z^3 S, F_3 := Y^2 Z^2 S - XV$ . Let's just try this and hope it works!

Robert's derivation:  $X^3\partial_S + Y^3\partial_T + Z^3\partial_U + X^2Y^2Z^2\partial_V$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3T - Y^3S, F_2 := X^3U - Z^3S, F_3 := Y^2Z^2S - XV$ . Let's just try this and hope it works! Actually, this is where the Real Work starts!

Robert's derivation:  $X^3\partial_S + Y^3\partial_T + Z^3\partial_U + X^2Y^2Z^2\partial_V$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3T - Y^3S$ ,  $F_2 := X^3U - Z^3S$ ,  $F_3 := Y^2Z^2S - XV$ . Let's just try this and hope it works! Actually, this is where the Real Work starts! A is domain?

Robert's derivation:  $X^3\partial_S + Y^3\partial_T + Z^3\partial_U + X^2Y^2Z^2\partial_V$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3T - Y^3S$ ,  $F_2 := X^3U - Z^3S$ ,  $F_3 := Y^2Z^2S - XV$ . Let's just try this and hope it works! Actually, this is where the Real Work starts! A is domain? Yes, for some  $d_i$ .

Robert's derivation:  $X^3\partial_S + Y^3\partial_T + Z^3\partial_U + X^2Y^2Z^2\partial_V$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3T - Y^3S$ ,  $F_2 := X^3U - Z^3S$ ,  $F_3 := Y^2Z^2S - XV$ . Let's just try this and hope it works! Actually, this is where the Real Work starts! A is domain? Yes, for some  $d_i$ . A is UFD?

Robert's derivation:  $X^3\partial_S + Y^3\partial_T + Z^3\partial_U + X^2Y^2Z^2\partial_V$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3T - Y^3S$ ,  $F_2 := X^3U - Z^3S$ ,  $F_3 := Y^2Z^2S - XV$ . Let's just try this and hope it works! Actually, this is where the Real Work starts! A is domain? Yes, for some  $d_i$ . A is UFD? Yes, but quite nontrivial!

Robert's derivation:  $X^3 \partial_{S} + Y^3 \partial_{T} + Z^3 \partial_{U} + X^2 Y_{-}^2 Z^2 \partial_{V}$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3 T - Y^3 S, F_2 := X^3 U - Z^3 S, F_3 := Y^2 Z^2 S - XV.$ Let's just try this and hope it works! Actually, this is where the Real Work starts! A is domain? Yes, for some  $d_i$ . A is UFD? Yes, but quite nontrivial! A stays infinitely generated?

Robert's derivation:  $X^3 \partial_{S} + Y^3 \partial_{T} + Z^3 \partial_{U} + X^2 Y_{-}^2 Z^2 \partial_{V}$ . Let  $A := \mathbb{C}^{[n]}/(F_1^{d_1} + \ldots + F_n^{d_n})$  be a domain, where  $F_1 := X^3 T - Y^3 S, F_2 := X^3 U - Z^3 S, F_3 := Y^2 Z^2 S - XV.$ Let's just try this and hope it works! Actually, this is where the Real Work starts! A is domain? Yes, for some  $d_i$ . A is UFD? Yes, but quite nontrivial! A stays infinitely generated? Yes - but this is also very nontrivial, and a tad technical.

Drop UFD!

Drop UFD! Idea: take  $D = T^3 \partial_X - T^2 \partial_Y$  on  $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3][X, Y]$ .

Drop UFD! Idea: take  $D = T^3 \partial_X - T^2 \partial_Y$  on  $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3][X, Y]$ . Define  $A := \mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y, z] :=$  $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - T^4(T^2X + T^3Y)^2 - 1)$ .

Drop UFD! Idea: take  $D = T^3 \partial_X - T^2 \partial_Y$  on  $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3][X, Y]$ . Define  $A := \mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y, z] :=$   $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - T^4(T^2X + T^3Y)^2 - 1)$ . Now  $0 = D(1) = D(z^2 - T^4(T^2X + T^3Y)^2) =$  $D((z - T^2(T^2X + T^3Y))(z + T^2(T^2X + T^3Y)))$ 

Drop UFD! Idea: take  $D = T^3 \partial_X - T^2 \partial_Y$  on  $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3][X, Y]$ . Define  $A := \mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y, z] :=$   $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - T^4(T^2X + T^3Y)^2 - 1)$ . Now  $0 = D(1) = D(z^2 - T^4(T^2X + T^3Y)^2) =$   $D((z - T^2(T^2X + T^3Y))(z + T^2(T^2X + T^3Y)))$  so  $0 = D(z) = D(T^2(T^2X + T^3Y))$ 

Drop UFD! Idea: take  $D = T^3 \partial_X - T^2 \partial_Y$  on  $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3][X, Y]$ . Define  $A := \mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y, z] :=$  $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - T^4(T^2X + T^3Y)^2 - 1)$ . Now  $0 = D(1) = D(z^2 - T^4(T^2X + T^3Y)^2) =$  $D((z - T^2(T^2X + T^3Y))(z + T^2(T^2X + T^3Y)))$  so  $0 = D(z) = D(T^2(T^2X + T^3Y))$  etc...D is multiple of  $T^3\partial_{\mathbf{x}} - T^2\partial_{\mathbf{y}}$ 

Now easy:  $A^D = \mathbb{C}[T, z, X + TY] \cap A$  not finitely generated.