

HABILITATIONSSCHRIFT

CONSTRUCTIVE LOGIC AND
COMPUTATIONAL LATTICES

eingereicht an der Technischen Universität Wien

Fakultät für Mathematik

von

SEBASTIAAN A. TERWIJN

Canisiusgasse 18 / 7

1090 Wien

Österreich

terwijn@logic.at

S. A. Terwijn
Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry
Technical University of Vienna
Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/E104
1040 Vienna, Austria
terwijn@logic.at

The investigations in this thesis were supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF under projects P18713-N12 and P17503-N12 and Lise Meitner grant M699-N05.

Copyright © 2007 by Sebastiaan A. Terwijn

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 CONSTRUCTIVE LOGIC AND THE MEDVEDEV LATTICE	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 The Medvedev lattice	6
2.3 Logic and computation	8
2.4 Irreducible elements	9
2.5 Autoreducibility	12
3 THE MEDVEDEV LATTICE OF COMPUTABLY CLOSED SETS	15
3.1 Introduction	15
3.2 Medvedev reducibility and sets of positive measure	17
3.3 \mathfrak{P} is not a Heyting algebra	20
3.4 Logic, Π_1^0 classes, and PA-complete sets	22
4 KRIPKE MODELS, DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES, AND MEDVEDEV DEGREES	27
4.1 Introduction	27
4.2 A variant of Kripke semantics	30
4.3 Kripke semantics and the Medvedev lattice	37
5 INTERMEDIATE LOGICS AND FACTORS OF THE MEDVEDEV LATTICE	39
5.1 Introduction	39
5.2 Questions and summary of results	42
5.3 Lattice theoretic preliminaries	44
5.4 A sketch of Skvortsova's proof	46
5.5 Intermediate logics contained in the logic of the weak law of excluded middle	48

5.6	Closed sets	53
5.7	Bounding join-irreducible degrees	54
5.8	Linearity	57
5.9	An algebraic characterization of KP	61
6	ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE MEDVEDEV LATTICE	65
6.1	Introduction	65
6.2	Intervals in the Medvedev lattice	67
6.3	Notes about chains and antichains in $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$	75
6.4	Chains in \mathfrak{M}	76
6.5	Embeddings into \mathfrak{M}	78
6.6	The Muchnik lattice	80
7	THE FINITE INTERVALS OF THE MUCHNIK LATTICE	83
7.1	Introduction	83
7.2	More on chains and antichains	88
7.3	The finite intervals of \mathfrak{M}_w	90
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	107

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

*Wenn man aber einmal mit Logik beginnt, wo ein
Gedanke von selbst aus dem vorangehenden folgt,
weiß man zum Schluß nie, wie das endet.*

(R. Musil, *Der Mann ohne Eigenschaften*, p234)

It is almost ten years since I wrote my thesis, and I never intended to write another one. However, my colleagues from Vienna kept insisting that I write a habilitation thesis, and since the work was already done there did not seem much reason to refuse. So here it is.

According to Nietzsche¹ it is possible to deduce the social background of a scientist from his work. He offers the Darwinists as an example: Since these were all struggling for life in poor shabby England, no wonder they became Darwinists! In the case of the work presented in this thesis, one can at least deduce from it that the author must have been in contact with both constructivists and computability theorists.

My interest in constructive logic and intuitionism dates from the time that Anne Troelstra refused to grade a set of exercises in which I had borrowed a notation from Kreisel. In subsequent years my interest drifted into other directions while studying computability theory in Heidelberg, but the questions surrounding computability and constructivity never left my mind, until finally I started working on some particular incarnations of them during a visit to Rosalie Iemhoff in San Diego during the years 2001–2002. I was prompted by a quotation from Rogers [35, p289], saying that Medvedev showed that the identities of the Medvedev lattice \mathfrak{M} are the theorems of IPC, the intuitionistic propositional calculus. When I saw this I thought it was a great result, and wondered why nobody had ever pointed it out to me. However, when I tried to prove it I quickly found that the result is false. Since in the exercise section Rogers himself already

¹F. Nietzsche, *Die fröhliche Wissenschaft*, Alfred Köner Verlag, 1956, p248.

points to the possibility of considering factors of the Medvedev lattice, I decided to try to prove the next best thing, namely that there is a factor \mathfrak{M}/G which has IPC as its logic. At this point I had the excellent idea of contacting Andrea Sorbi, who subsequently informed me that he had had the exact same sequence of events around the time that he wrote his thesis, and that he had found out that Skvortsova had already proven the result we were after (cf. Theorem 2.3.2 below). However, in the meanwhile I was already too much absorbed by the relations between constructive logic and computational lattices to be seriously discouraged in my studies, and hence I continued to pursue further intriguing questions from this area, at the same time continuously learning more and more about intuitionistic and other constructive logics.

Now that I am able to present a substantial body of work on this topic in the form of this habilitation thesis, I would like to thank everybody with whom I had, at some point or other, discussions about topics relating to the following chapters, including Klaus Ambos-Spies, Matthias Baaz, Lev Beklemishev, Nick Bezhanishvili, Dick de Jongh, Martin Goldstern, Rosalie Iemhoff, Jakob Kellner, Georg Kreisel, George Metcalfe, Pierluigi Minari, Yiannis Moschovakis, Jaap van Oosten, Steve Simpson, Ted Slaman, Andrea Sorbi, Jouko Väänänen, Wim Veldman, Yde Venema, Yang Yue, and Domenico Zambella. Your help and comments have been much appreciated.