## The (-function and the Riemann Hypothesis

In the same paper Euler not only computed the sum  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ , but also for all even numbers  $s = 2, 4, 6, \ldots, 26$  he computed the value of

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

He found  $\zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$ ,  $\zeta(6) = \frac{\pi^6}{945}$ , ... Furthermore it is not difficult to see that for each s > 1 the value of  $\zeta(s)$  is finite (compare  $\zeta(s)$  with  $\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^s} dx$ ).

It was the great mathematician Bernhard Riemann (1826-1866) who extended the  $\zeta$ function for all *complex* numbers s with Re(s) > 1 and then showed that this function could be extended analytically to the whole complex plane, minus s = 1. The  $\zeta$ -function turned out to have zeros at s = -2, s = -4, s = -6,..., but it also has other zeros. All the zeros Riemann computed turned out to lay on the line  $Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

This led him to formulate, what is nowadays known as, the most famous unsolved problem in Mathematics.

**Riemann Hypothesis** All (non-trivial) zeros of the  $\zeta$ -function lay on the line  $Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

The Riemann Hypothesis is one of the seven so-called *Millennium Problems* of the Clay Mathematics Institute (http://www.claymath.org/millennium/).

The first to solve each one of these problem receives one million dollars from the CMI. In order, for almost all readers, to get a formulation of the Riemann Hypothesis which does not need complex numbers and highbrow mathematics, we give the following easy to understand reformulation of the Riemann Hypothesis.

## **Riemann Hypothesis for Dummies**

A prime number p is positive integer greater than 1 which has no other divisors than pand 1. So for example 3, 5, 7 are prime numbers, but 9 and 15 are not (since  $9 = 3 \times 3$ and  $15 = 3 \times 5$ ).

Prime numbers can be considered as the atoms of all positive integers in the sense that each positive integer greater than 1 is a product of a finite number of prime numbers.

For example  $14 = 2 \times 7$ ,  $50 = 2 \times 5 \times 5$ ,  $62 = 2 \times 31$  etc. In the number 50 the prime 5 appears more than once. In the sequel such numbers as 50 will be neglected. More precisely we only consider so-called *square-free* numbers, i.e. numbers in which all prime numbers are different. So for example  $15 = 3 \times 5$  and  $70 = 2 \times 5 \times 7$  are square free, while  $40 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 5$  and  $75 = 2 \times 5 \times 5$  are not square free.

Now we call a square free number P-even (Prime-even) if it is a product of an even number of different prime numbers. Similarly we call a square free number *P*-odd if it is a product of an odd number of different primes. So for example 70 is P-odd, while 15 is P-even.

Finally we define the so-called *Mertens function* M(x) for all real numbers  $x \ge 1$ , as follows

$$M(x)$$
 = the difference between the number of *P*-even  
integers  $\leq x$  and the number of *P*-odd integers  $\leq x$ .

For example M(34) = 9 - 10 = -1, since the *P*-even integers  $\leq 34$  are  $6 (= 2 \times 3)$ , 10  $(= 2 \times 5)$ , 14  $(= 2 \times 7)$ , 22  $(= 2 \times 11)$ , 26  $(= 2 \times 13)$ , 34  $(= 2 \times 17)$ , 15  $(= 3 \times 5)$ , 21  $(= 3 \times 7)$ , 33  $(= 3 \times 11)$ , while the *P*-odd integers  $\leq 34$  are 2, 3, 7, 11, 13, 17, 23, 29, 31 and 30  $(= 2 \times 3 \times 5)$ .

Now the Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the following statement:

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive number  $C_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$|M(x)| = C_{\varepsilon} x^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}.$$