

## Chapter VI. The Picard scheme of an abelian variety.

### § 1. Relative Picard functors.

To place the notion of a dual abelian variety in its context, we start with a short discussion of relative Picard functors. Our goal is to sketch some general facts, without much discussion of proofs.

Given a scheme  $X$  we write

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of line bundles on } X\},$$

which has a natural group structure. (If  $\tau$  is either the Zariski, or the étale, or the fppf topology on  $\mathrm{Sch}/X$  then we can also write  $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = H^1_\tau(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , viewing the group scheme  $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$  as a  $\tau$ -sheaf on  $\mathrm{Sch}/X$ ; see Exercise ??.)

If  $C$  is a complete non-singular curve over an algebraically closed field  $k$  then its Jacobian  $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$  is an abelian variety parametrizing the degree zero divisor classes on  $C$  or, what is the same, the degree zero line bundles on  $C$ . (We refer to Chapter 14 for further discussion of Jacobians.) Thus, for every  $k \subset K$  the degree map gives a homomorphism  $\mathrm{Pic}(C_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Jac}(C)(K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(C_K) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In view of the importance of the Jacobian in the theory of curves one may ask if, more generally, the line bundles on a variety  $X$  are parametrized by a scheme which is an extension of a discrete part by a connected group variety.

If we want to study this in the general setting of a scheme  $f: X \rightarrow S$  over some basis  $S$ , we are led to consider the contravariant functor  $P_{X/S}: (\mathrm{Sch}/S)^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  given by

$$P_{X/S}: T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(X_T) = H^1(X \times_S T, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

However, one easily finds that this functor is not representable (unless  $X = \emptyset$ ). The reason for this is the following. Suppose  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a Zariski covering of  $S$  and  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$  such that the restrictions  $L|_{X \times_S U_\alpha}$  are trivial. Then it is not necessarily the case that  $L$  is trivial. This means that  $P_{X/S}$  is not a sheaf for the Zariski topology on  $\mathrm{Sch}/S$ , hence not representable. (See also Exercise (6.1).)

The previous arguments suggest that in order to arrive at a functor that could be representable we should first sheafify (or “localize”)  $P_{X/S}$  with respect to some topology.

**(6.1) Definition.** The *relative Picard functor*  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}: (\mathrm{Sch}/S)^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  is defined to be the fppf sheaf (on  $(S)_{\mathrm{FPPF}}$ ) associated to the presheaf  $P_{X/S}$ . An  $S$ -scheme representing  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  (if such a scheme exists) is called the *relative Picard scheme* of  $X$  over  $S$ .

Concretely, if  $T$  is an  $S$ -scheme then we can describe an element of  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}(T)$  by giving an fppf covering  $T' \rightarrow T$  and a line bundle  $L$  on  $X_T \times_T T'$  such that the two pull-backs of  $L$  to

$X_T \times_T (T' \times_T T')$  are isomorphic. Now suppose we have a second datum of this type, say an fppf covering  $U' \rightarrow T$  and a line bundle  $M$  on  $X_T \times_T U'$  whose two pull-backs to  $X_T \times_T (U' \times_T U')$  are isomorphic. Then  $(T' \rightarrow T, L)$  and  $(U' \rightarrow T, M)$  define the same element of  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}(T)$  if there is a common refinement of the coverings  $T'$  and  $U'$  over which the bundles  $L$  and  $M$  become isomorphic.

As usual, if  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  is representable then the representing scheme is unique up to  $S$ -isomorphism; this justifies calling it *the* Picard scheme.

**(6.2)** Let us study  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  in some more detail in the situation that

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \text{the structure morphism } f: X \rightarrow S \text{ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated,} \\ f_*(O_{X \times_S T}) = O_T \text{ for all } S\text{-schemes } T, \\ f \text{ has a section } \varepsilon: S \rightarrow X. \end{cases}$$

For instance, this holds if  $S$  is the spectrum of a field  $k$  and  $X$  is a complete  $k$ -variety with  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$  (see also Exercise ??); this is the case we shall mostly be interested in.

Rather than sheafifying  $P_{X/S}$  we may also rigidify the objects we are trying to classify. This is done as follows. If  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X_T$  for some  $S$ -scheme  $T$  then, writing  $\varepsilon_T: T \rightarrow X_T$  for the section induced by  $\varepsilon$ , by a *rigidification of  $L$  along  $\varepsilon_T$*  we mean an isomorphism  $\alpha: O_T \xrightarrow{\sim} \varepsilon_T^* L$ . (In the sequel we shall usually simply write  $\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon_T$ .)

Let  $(L_1, \alpha_1)$  and  $(L_2, \alpha_2)$  be line bundles on  $X_T$  with rigidification along  $\varepsilon$ . By a homomorphism  $h: (L_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \alpha_2)$  we mean a homomorphism of line bundles  $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  with the property that  $(\varepsilon^* h) \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ . In particular, an endomorphism of  $(L, \alpha)$  is given by an element  $h \in \Gamma(X_T, O_{X_T}) = \Gamma(T, f_*(O_{X_T}))$  with  $\varepsilon^*(h) = 1$ . By the assumption that  $f_*(O_{X_T}) = O_T$  we therefore find that rigidified line bundles on  $X_T$  have no nontrivial automorphisms.

Now define the functor  $P_{X/S, \varepsilon}: (\text{Sch}/S)^0 \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  by

$$P_{X/S, \varepsilon}: T \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isomorphism classes of rigidified} \\ \text{line bundles } (L, \alpha) \text{ on } X \times_S T \end{array} \right\},$$

with group structure given by

$$\begin{aligned} (L, \alpha) \cdot (M, \beta) &= (L \otimes M, \gamma), \\ \gamma &= \alpha \otimes \beta: O_T = O_T \otimes_{O_T} O_T \rightarrow \varepsilon^* L \otimes_{O_T} \varepsilon^* M = \varepsilon^*(L \otimes M). \end{aligned}$$

If  $h: T' \rightarrow T$  is a morphism of  $S$ -schemes and  $(L, \alpha)$  is a rigidified line bundle on  $X \times_S T$  then  $P_{X/S, \varepsilon}(h): P_{X/S, \varepsilon}(T) \rightarrow P_{X/S, \varepsilon}(T')$  sends  $(L, \alpha)$  to  $(L', \alpha')$ , where  $L' = (\text{id}_X \times h)^* L$  and where  $\alpha': O_{T'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varepsilon_{T'}^* L' = h^*(\varepsilon_T^* L)$  is the pull-back of  $\alpha$  under  $h$ .

Suppose  $P_{X/S, \varepsilon}$  is representable by an  $S$ -scheme. On  $X \times_S P_{X/S, \varepsilon}$  we then have a universal rigidified line bundle  $(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$ ; it is called the *Poincaré bundle*. The universal property of  $(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$  is the following: if  $(L, \alpha)$  is a line bundle on  $X \times_S T$  with rigidification along the section  $\varepsilon$  then there exists a unique morphism  $g: T \rightarrow P_{X/S, \varepsilon}$  such that  $(L, \alpha) \cong (\text{id}_X \times g)^*(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$  as rigidified bundles on  $X_T$ .

Under the assumptions  $(*)$  on  $f$  it is not so difficult to prove the following facts. (See for example BLR, § 8.1 for details.)

(i) For every  $S$ -scheme  $T$  there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(T) \xrightarrow{\text{Pl}_T^*} \text{Pic}(X_T) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}(T). \quad (1)$$

This can be viewed as a short exact sequence obtained from a Leray spectral sequence. The existence of a section is not needed for this.

(ii) For every  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X_T)/\mathrm{pr}_T^*\mathrm{Pic}(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{X/S,\varepsilon}(T)$$

obtained by sending the class of a line bundle  $L$  on  $X_T$  to the bundle  $L \otimes f^*\varepsilon^*L^{-1}$  with its canonical rigidification.

(iii) The functor  $P_{X/S,\varepsilon}$  is an fppf sheaf. (Descent theory for line bundles.)

Combining these facts we find that  $P_{X/S,\varepsilon} \cong \mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  and that these functors are given by

$$T \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{Pic}(X_T)}{\mathrm{pr}_T^*\mathrm{Pic}(T)} = \frac{\{\text{line bundles on } X_T\}}{\{\text{line bundles of the form } f^*L, \text{ with } L \text{ a line bundle on } T\}}.$$

In particular, the exact sequence (1) extends to an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X_T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}(T) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

It also follows that  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  equals the Zariski sheaf associated to  $P_{X/S}$ .

**(6.3)** Returning to the general case (i.e., no longer assuming that  $f$  satisfies the conditions  $(*)$  in (6.2)), one finds that  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  cannot be expected to be representable unless we impose further conditions on  $X/S$ . (See Exercise ?? for an example.) The most important general results about representability all work under the assumption that  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is proper, flat and of finite presentation. We quote some results:

(i) If  $f$  is flat and projective with geometrically integral fibres then  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  is representable by a scheme, locally of finite presentation and separated over  $S$ . (Grothendieck, FGA, Exp. 232.)

(ii) If  $f$  is flat and projective with geometrically reduced fibres, such that all irreducible components of the fibres of  $f$  are geometrically irreducible then  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  is representable by a scheme, locally of finite presentation (but not necessarily separated) over  $S$ . (Mumford, unpublished.)

(iii) If  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$  is the spectrum of a field and  $f$  is proper then  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  is representable by a scheme that is separated and locally of finite type over  $k$ . (Murre [1], using a theorem of Oort [1] to reduce to the case that  $X$  is reduced.)

If we further weaken the assumptions on  $f$ , e.g., if in (ii) we omit the condition that the irreducible components of the fibres are geometrically irreducible, then we may in general only hope for  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  to be representable by an algebraic space over  $S$ . Also if we only assume  $X/S$  to be proper, not necessarily projective, then in general  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  will be an algebraic space rather than a scheme. For instance, in Grothendieck's FGA, Exp. 236 we find the following criterion.

(iv) If  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is proper and locally of finite presentation with geometrically integral fibres then  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}$  is a separated algebraic space over  $S$ .

We refer to ??, ?? for further discussion.

**(6.4) Remark.** Let  $X$  be a complete variety over a field  $k$ , let  $Y$  be a  $k$ -scheme and let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X \times Y$ . The existence of maximal closed subscheme  $Y_0 \hookrightarrow Y$  over which  $L$  is trivial, as claimed in (2.4), is an immediate consequence of the existence of  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$ . Namely, the line bundle  $L$  gives a morphism  $Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$  and  $Y_0$  is simply the fibre over the zero section of  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$  under this morphism. (We use the exact sequence (1); as remarked earlier this does not require the existence of a rational point on  $X$ .)

Let us now turn to some basic properties of  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  in case it is representable. Note that  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  comes with the structure of an  $S$ -group scheme, so that the results and definitions of Chapter 3 apply.

**(6.5) Proposition.** *Assume that  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is proper, flat and of finite presentation, with geometrically integral fibres. As discussed above,  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  is a separated algebraic space over  $S$ . (Those who wish to avoid algebraic spaces might add the hypothesis that  $f$  is projective, as in that case  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  is a scheme.)*

(i) *Write  $\mathcal{T}$  for the relative tangent sheaf of  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  over  $S$ . Then the sheaf  $e^* \mathcal{T}$  (“the tangent space of  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  along the zero section”) is canonically isomorphic to  $R^1 f_* O_X$ .*

(ii) *Assume moreover that  $f$  is smooth. Then every closed subscheme  $Z \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}$  which is of finite type over  $S$  is proper over  $S$ .*

For a proof of this result we refer to BLR, Chap. 8.

**(6.6) Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a proper variety over a field  $k$ .*

(i) *The tangent space of  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  at the identity element is isomorphic to  $H^1(X, O_X)$ . Further,  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}^0$  is smooth over  $k$  if and only if  $\dim \text{Pic}_{X/S}^0 = \dim H^1(X, O_X)$ , and this always holds if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ .*

(ii) *If  $X$  is smooth over  $k$  then all connected components of  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$  are complete.*

*Proof.* This is immediate from (6.5) and the results discussed in Chapter 3 (notably (3.17) and (3.20)). As we did not prove (6.5), let us here give a direct explanation of why the tangent space of  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  at the identity element is isomorphic to  $H^1(X, O_X)$ , and why the components of  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$  are complete.

Let  $S = \text{Spec}(k[\varepsilon])$ , where  $k[\varepsilon]$  is the ring of dual numbers over  $k$ . Note that  $X$  and  $X_S$  have the same underlying topological space. On this space we have a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow O_X \xrightarrow{h} O_{X_S}^* \xrightarrow{\text{res}} O_X^* \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $h$  is given on sections by  $f \mapsto \exp(\varepsilon f) = 1 + \varepsilon f$  and where  $\text{res}$  is the natural restriction map. On cohomology in degree zero this gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow k[\varepsilon]^* \longrightarrow k^* \longrightarrow 1$$

where the maps are given by  $f \mapsto 1 + \varepsilon f$  and  $a + \varepsilon b \mapsto a$ . On cohomology in degree 1 we then find an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(X, O_X) \xrightarrow{h} \text{Pic}(X_S) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Pic}(X). \quad (3)$$

Concretely, if  $\gamma \in H^1(X, O_X)$  is represented, on some open covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ , by a Čech 1-cocycle  $\{f_{\alpha\beta} \in O_X(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)\}$  then  $h(\gamma)$  is the class of the line bundle on  $X_S$  which is trivial on each  $U_\alpha$  (now to be viewed as an open subset of  $X_S$ ) and with transition functions  $1 + \varepsilon f_{\alpha\beta}$ .

Write  $T$  for the tangent space of  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$  at the identity element. We can describe  $T$  as the kernel of the restriction map  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}(k)$ ; see Exercise 1.3. If  $\gamma \in H^1(X, O_X)$  then  $h(\gamma)$  restricts to the trivial class on  $X$ . Hence  $\gamma$  defines an element of  $T$ , and this gives a linear map  $\xi: H^1(X, O_X) \rightarrow T$ . As  $\text{Pic}(S) = \{1\}$  it follows from the exact sequences (1) and (3) that  $\xi$  is injective.

So far we have not used anything about  $X$ . To prove that  $\xi$  is also surjective it suffices to show that  $\dim(H^1(X, O_X)) = \dim(T)$ . Both numbers do not change if we extend the ground

field. Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that  $X(k)$  is non-empty, so that assumptions (\*) in (6.2) are satisfied. Then the surjectivity of the map  $\xi$  follows from the exact sequence (2). This proves that  $H^1(X, O_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} T$ .

Next let us explain why the components of  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  are complete. We already know that  $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$  is a group scheme, locally of finite type over  $k$ . By Propositions (3.12) and (3.17), all connected components are separated and of finite type over  $k$ . To show that they are complete, we may extend the ground field; hence we can again assume that the assumptions (\*) in (6.2) are satisfied. In this situation we apply the valuative criterion for properness. Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra which is a dvr. Let  $K$  be its fraction field, and suppose we have a  $K$ -valued point of  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ , say represented by a line bundle  $L$  on  $X_K$ . We want to show that  $L$  extends to a line bundle on  $X_R$ . Since  $X/k$  is smooth,  $L$  is represented by a Weil divisor. But if  $P \subset X_K$  is any prime divisor then the closure of  $P$  inside  $X_R$  is a prime divisor of  $X_R$ . It follows that  $L$  extends to a line bundle on  $X_R$ .  $\square$

**(6.7) Remark.** If  $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$  then  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$  is in general not reduced, even if  $X$  is smooth and proper over  $k$ . An example illustrating this will be given in (7.31) below.

**(6.8)** Let  $C$  be a complete curve over a field  $k$ . Then  $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$  is a group scheme, locally of finite type over  $k$ ; see (6.3). We claim that  $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$  is smooth over  $k$ . To see this we may extend the ground field and assume that  $C(k) \neq \emptyset$ , so that the assumptions (\*) in (6.2) are satisfied. Because  $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$  is locally of finite type over  $k$ , it suffices to show that any point of  $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$  with values in  $R_0 := k[t]/(t^n)$  can be lifted to a point with values in  $R := k[t]/(t^{n+1})$ . But if we have a line bundle  $L_0$  on  $C \otimes_k R_0$  then the obstruction for extending  $L_0$  to a line bundle on  $C \otimes_k R$  lies in  $H^2(C, O_C)$ , which is zero because  $C$  is a curve.

In particular, we find that the identity component  $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$  is a group variety over  $k$ . If in addition we assume that  $C$  is smooth then by Cor. (6.6)  $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$  is complete, and is therefore an abelian variety. In this case we usually write  $\text{Jac}(C)$  for  $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ ; it is called the *Jacobian* of  $C$ . Jacobians will be further discussed in Chapter 14. We remark that the term ‘‘Jacobian of  $C$ ’’, for a complete and smooth curve  $C/k$ , usually refers to the abelian variety  $\text{Jac}(C) := \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$  together with its natural principal polarisation.

**(6.9) Remark.** Suppose  $X$  is a smooth proper variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Recall that two divisors  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are said to be algebraically equivalent (notation  $D_1 \sim_{\text{alg}} D_2$ ) if there exist (i) a smooth  $k$ -variety  $T$ , (ii) codimension 1 subvarieties  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  of  $X \times_k T$  which are flat over  $T$ , and (iii) points  $t_1, t_2 \in T(k)$ , such that  $D_1 - D_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i)_{t_1} - (Z_i)_{t_2}$  as divisors on  $X$ ; here  $(Z_i)_t := Z_i \cap (X \times \{t\})$ , viewed as a divisor on  $X$ . Translating this to line bundles we find that  $D_1 \sim_{\text{alg}} D_2$  precisely if the classes of  $L_1 = O_X(D_1)$  and  $L_2 = O_X(D_2)$  lie in the same connected component of  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ . (Note that the components of the reduced scheme underlying  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$  are smooth  $k$ -varieties.) The discrete group  $\pi_0(\text{Pic}_{X/k}) = \text{Pic}_{X/k} / \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$  is therefore naturally isomorphic to the *Néron-Severi group*  $\text{NS}(X) := \text{Div}(X) / \sim_{\text{alg}}$ . For a more precise treatment, see section (7.24).

## § 2. Digression on graded bialgebras.

In our study of duality, we shall make use of a structure result for certain graded bialgebras.

Before we can state this result we need to set up some definitions.

Let  $k$  be a field. (Most of what follows can be done over more general ground rings; for our purposes the case of a field suffices.) Consider a graded  $k$ -module  $H^\bullet = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^n$ . An element  $x \in H^\bullet$  is said to be homogeneous if it lies in  $H^n$  for some  $n$ , in which case we write  $\deg(x) = n$ . By a graded  $k$ -algebra we shall mean a graded  $k$ -module  $H^\bullet$  together with a unit element  $1 \in H^0$  and an algebra structure map (multiplication)  $\gamma: H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet$  such that

- (i) the element 1 is a left and right unit for the multiplication;
- (ii) the multiplication  $\gamma$  is associative, i.e.,  $\gamma(x, \gamma(y, z)) = \gamma(\gamma(x, y), z)$  for all  $x, y$  and  $z$ ;
- (iii) the map  $\gamma$  is a morphism of graded  $k$ -modules, i.e., it is  $k$ -linear and for all homogeneous elements  $x$  and  $y$  we have that  $\gamma(x, y)$  is homogeneous of degree  $\deg(x) + \deg(y)$ .

If no confusion arises we shall simply write  $xy$  for  $\gamma(x, y)$ .

A homomorphism between graded  $k$ -algebras  $H_1^\bullet$  and  $H_2^\bullet$  is a  $k$ -linear map  $f: H_1^\bullet \rightarrow H_2^\bullet$  which preserves the gradings, with  $f(1) = 1$  and such that  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$  for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $H_1^\bullet$ .

We say that the graded algebra  $H^\bullet$  is graded-commutative if

$$xy = (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}yx$$

for all homogeneous  $x, y \in H^\bullet$ . (In some literature this is called anti-commutativity, or sometimes even commutativity.) The algebra  $H^\bullet$  is said to be connected if  $H^0 = k \cdot 1$ ; it is said to be of finite type over  $k$  if  $\dim_k(H^n) < \infty$  for all  $n$  (which is weaker than saying that  $H^\bullet$  is finite-dimensional).

If  $H_1^\bullet$  and  $H_2^\bullet$  are graded  $k$ -algebras then the graded  $k$ -module  $H_1^\bullet \otimes_k H_2^\bullet$  inherits the structure of a graded  $k$ -algebra: for homogeneous elements  $x, \xi \in H_1^\bullet$  and  $y, \eta \in H_2^\bullet$  one sets  $(x \otimes y) \cdot (\xi \otimes \eta) = (-1)^{\deg(y)\deg(\xi)} \cdot (x\xi \otimes y\eta)$ . As an exercise the reader may check that  $H^\bullet$  is graded-commutative if and only if the map  $\gamma: H^\bullet \otimes H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet$  is a homomorphism of graded  $k$ -algebras. The field  $k$  itself shall be viewed as a graded  $k$ -algebras with all elements of degree zero.

**(6.10) Definition.** A *graded bialgebra over  $k$*  is a graded  $k$ -algebra  $H^\bullet$  together with two homomorphisms of  $k$ -algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: H^\bullet &\rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet && \text{called co-multiplication,} \\ \varepsilon: H^\bullet &\rightarrow k && \text{the identity section,} \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$(\mu \otimes \text{id}) \circ \mu = (\text{id} \otimes \mu) \circ \mu: H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet$$

and

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \mu = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \mu: H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet$$

(using the natural identifications  $H^\bullet \otimes_k k = H^\bullet = k \otimes_k H^\bullet$ ).

**(6.11) Examples.** (i) If all elements of  $H^\bullet$  have degree zero, i.e.,  $H^\bullet = H^0$ , then we can ignore the grading and we “almost” find back the definition of a Hopf algebra as in (3.9). The main distinction between Hopf algebras and bialgebras is that for the latter we do not require an antipode.

(ii) If  $V$  is a vector space over  $k$  then we can form the exterior algebra  $\wedge^\bullet V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \wedge^n V$ . The multiplication is given by the “exterior product”, i.e.,

$$(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_r) \cdot (y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_s) = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_r \wedge y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_s.$$

By definition we have  $\wedge^0 V = k$ .

A  $k$ -linear map  $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  induces a homomorphism of graded algebras  $\wedge^\bullet V_1 \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet V_2$ . Furthermore, there is a natural isomorphism  $\wedge^\bullet(V \oplus V) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\wedge^\bullet V) \otimes (\wedge^\bullet V)$ . Therefore, the diagonal map  $V \rightarrow V \oplus V$  induces a homomorphism  $\mu: \wedge^\bullet V \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet V \otimes \wedge^\bullet V$ . Taking this as co-multiplication, and defining  $\varepsilon: \wedge^\bullet V \rightarrow k$  to be the projection onto the degree zero component we obtain the structure of a graded bialgebra on  $\wedge^\bullet V$ .

(iii) If  $H_1^\bullet$  and  $H_2^\bullet$  are two graded bialgebras over  $k$  then  $H_1^\bullet \otimes_k H_2^\bullet$  naturally inherits the structure of a graded bialgebra; if  $a \in H_1^\bullet$  with  $\mu_1(a) = \sum x_i \otimes \xi_i$  and  $b \in H_2^\bullet$  with  $\mu_2(b) = \sum y_j \otimes \eta_j$  then the co-multiplication  $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  is described by

$$\mu(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{\deg(y_j)\deg(\xi_i)} (x_i \otimes y_j) \otimes (\xi_i \otimes \eta_j).$$

(iv) Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be indeterminates. We give each of them a degree  $d_i = \deg(x_i) \geq 1$  and we choose  $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then we can define a graded-commutative  $k$ -algebra  $H^\bullet = k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  generated by the  $x_i$ , subject to the conditions  $x_i^{s_i} = 0$ . Namely, we take the monomials

$$m = x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} \cdots \quad (r_i \neq 0 \text{ for finitely many } i)$$

as a  $k$ -basis, with  $\deg(m) = r_1 d_1 + r_2 d_2 + \cdots$ , and where we set  $x_i^{s_i} = 0$ . Then there is a unique graded-commutative multiplication law such that  $\gamma(x_i, x_j) = x_i x_j$  for  $i \leq j$ , and with this multiplication  $k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  becomes a graded  $k$ -algebra. Note that  $k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \rangle$  is naturally isomorphic to  $k\langle x_1 \rangle \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k \langle x_N \rangle$ .

It is an interesting question whether  $k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  can have the structure of a bialgebra. It turns out that the existence of such a structure imposes conditions on the numbers  $d_i$  and  $s_i$ . Let us first do the case of one generator; the case of finitely many generators will follow from this together with Borel’s theorem to be discussed next. So, we consider a graded  $k$ -algebra  $H^\bullet = k\langle x \mid x^s = 0 \rangle$  with  $\deg(x) = d > 0$ . Suppose that  $H^\bullet$  has the structure of a bialgebra. Then:

*conditions on  $s$ :*

$\text{char}(k) = 0, d \text{ odd}$	$s = 2$
$\text{char}(k) = 0, d \text{ even}$	$s = \infty$
$\text{char}(k) = 2$	either $s = \infty$ or $s = 2^n$ for some $n$
$\text{char}(k) = p > 2, d \text{ odd}$	$s = 2$
$\text{char}(k) = p > 2, d \text{ even}$	either $s = \infty$ or $s = p^n$ for some $n$

For a proof of this result (in fact a more general version of it) we refer to Milnor and Moore [1], § 7. Note that the example given in (ii) is of the form  $k\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  where all  $x_i$  have  $d_i = 1$  and  $s_i = 2$ .

**(6.12) Theorem.** (Borel-Hopf structure theorem) *Let  $H^\bullet$  be a connected, graded-commutative bialgebra of finite type over a perfect field  $k$ . Then there exist graded bialgebras  $H_i^\bullet$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) and an isomorphism of bialgebras*

$$H^\bullet \cong H_1^\bullet \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k H_r^\bullet$$

such that the algebra underlying  $H_i^\bullet$  is generated by one element, i.e., the algebras  $H_i^\bullet$  are of the form  $k\langle x_i \mid x_i^{s_i} = 0 \rangle$ , with  $\deg(x_i) = d_i > 0$ .

For a proof of this result, which is due to A. Borel, we refer to Borel [1] or Milnor and Moore [1].

**(6.13) Corollary.** *Let  $H^\bullet$  be as in (6.12). Assume there is an integer  $g$  such that  $H^n = (0)$  for all  $n > g$ . Then  $\dim_k(H^1) \leq g$ . If  $\dim_k(H^1) = g$  then  $H^\bullet \cong \wedge^\bullet H^1$  as graded bialgebras.*

*Proof.* Decompose  $H^\bullet = H_1^\bullet \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k H_r^\bullet$  as in (6.12). Note that  $\dim_k(H^1)$  equals the number of generators  $x_i$  such that  $d_i = 1$ . Now  $x_1 \cdots x_r$  ( $:= x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r$ ) is a nonzero element of  $H^\bullet$  of degree  $d_1 + \cdots + d_r$ . Therefore  $d_1 + \cdots + d_r \leq g$ , which implies  $\dim_k(H^1) \leq g$ . Next suppose  $\dim_k(H^1) = g$ , so that all generators  $x_i$  have degree 1. If  $x_i^2 \neq 0$  for some  $i$  then  $x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_i^2 x_{i+1} \cdots x_r$  is a nonzero element of degree  $g + 1$ , contradicting our assumptions. Hence  $x_i^2 = 0$  for all  $i$  which means that  $H^\bullet \cong \wedge^\bullet H^1$ .  $\square$

**(6.14)** Let us now turn to the application of the above results to our study of abelian varieties. Given a  $g$ -dimensional variety  $X$  over a field  $k$ , consider the graded  $k$ -module

$$H^\bullet = H^\bullet(X, O_X) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^g H^n(X, O_X).$$

Cup-product makes  $H^\bullet$  into a graded-commutative  $k$ -algebra, which is connected since  $X$  is connected.

In case  $X$  is a group variety the group law induces on  $H^\bullet$  the structure of a graded bialgebra. Namely, via the Künneth formula  $H^\bullet(X \times_k X, O_{X \times X}) \cong H^\bullet(X, O_X) \otimes_k H^\bullet(X, O_X)$  (which is an isomorphism of graded  $k$ -algebras), the group law  $m: X \times_k X \rightarrow X$  induces a co-multiplication

$$\mu: H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet.$$

For the identity section  $\varepsilon: H^\bullet \rightarrow k$  we take the projection onto the degree zero component, which can also be described as the map induced on cohomology by the unit section  $e: \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow X$ . Now the statement that these  $\mu$  and  $e$  make  $H^\bullet$  into a graded bialgebra over  $k$  becomes a simple translation of the axioms in (1.2) satisfied by  $m$  and  $e$ .

As a first application of the above we thus find the estimate  $\dim_k(H^1(X, O_X)) \leq g$  for a  $g$ -dimensional group variety  $X$  over a field  $k$ . (Note that  $\dim_k(H^1(X, O_X))$  does not change if we pass from  $k$  to an algebraic closure; we therefore need not assume  $k$  to be perfect.) For abelian varieties we shall prove in (6.18) below that we in fact have equality.

We summarize what we have found.

**(6.15) Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a group variety over a field  $k$ . Then  $H^\bullet(X, O_X)$  has a natural structure of a graded  $k$ -bialgebra. We have  $\dim_k(H^1(X, O_X)) \leq \dim(X)$ .*

To conclude this digression on bialgebras, let us introduce one further notion that will be useful later.

**(6.16) Definition.** Let  $H^\bullet$  be a graded bialgebra with comultiplication  $\mu: H^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes_k H^\bullet$ . Then an element  $h \in H^\bullet$  is called a *primitive* element if  $\mu(h) = h \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h$ .

**(6.17) Lemma.** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $k$  vector space, and consider the exterior algebra  $\wedge^\bullet V$  as in (6.11). Then  $V = \wedge^1 V \subset \wedge^\bullet V$  is the set of primitive elements in  $\wedge^\bullet V$ .*

*Proof.* We follow Serre [1]. Since the co-multiplication  $\mu$  is degree-preserving, an element of a graded bialgebra  $H^\bullet$  is primitive if and only if all its homogeneous components are primitive. Thus we may restrict our attention to homogeneous elements of  $\wedge^\bullet V$ .

It is clear that the non-zero elements of  $\wedge^0 V = k$  are not primitive. Further we see directly from the definitions that the elements of  $\wedge^1 V = V$  are primitive. Let now  $y \in \wedge^n V$  with  $n \geq 2$ . Write

$$[(\wedge^\bullet V) \otimes (\wedge^\bullet V)]^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \wedge^p V \otimes \wedge^q V,$$

and write  $\mu(y) = \sum \mu(y)^{p,q}$  with  $\mu(y)^{p,q} \in \wedge^p V \otimes \wedge^q V$ . For instance, one easily finds that  $\mu(y)^{n,0} = y = \mu(y)^{0,n}$  via the natural identifications  $\wedge^n V \otimes k = \wedge^n V = k \otimes \wedge^n V$ . Similarly, we find that the map  $y \mapsto \mu(y)^{1,n-1}$  is given (on decomposable tensors) by

$$v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} v_i \otimes (v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n).$$

It follows that for  $\lambda \in V^*$  the composition  $\wedge^n V \rightarrow V \otimes \wedge^{n-1} V \rightarrow \wedge^{n-1} V$  given by  $y \mapsto (\lambda \otimes \text{id})(\mu(y)^{1,n-1})$  is just the interior contraction  $y \mapsto y \lrcorner \lambda$ . The assumption that  $y$  is primitive and  $n \geq 2$  implies that  $\mu(y)^{1,n-1} = 0$  so we find  $y \lrcorner \lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in V^*$ . This only holds for  $y = 0$ .  $\square$

### § 3. The dual of an abelian variety.

From now on, let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S = \text{Spec}(k)$  be an abelian variety over a field  $k$ . We shall admit from the general theory that  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$  is a group scheme over  $k$  with projective connected components. One of the main results of this section is that  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$  is reduced, and is therefore again an abelian variety.

Note that  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$  also represents the functor  $P_{X/k,0}$  of line bundles with rigidification along the zero section. As above, the identification between the two functors is given by sending the class of a line bundle  $L$  on  $X \times_k T$  to the class of  $L \otimes \text{pr}_T^* e^* L^{-1}$  with its canonical rigidification along  $\{0\} \times T$ . (In order to avoid the notation  $0^* L$  we write  $e$  for the zero section of  $X_T$ .) In particular, we have a Poincaré bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $X \times_k \text{Pic}_{X/k}$  together with a rigidification  $\alpha: \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}_{X/k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}|_{\{0\} \times \text{Pic}_{X/k}}$ .

If  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$  we have the associated Mumford bundle  $\Lambda(L)$  on  $X \times X$ . In order to distinguish the two factors  $X$ , write  $X^{(1)} = X \times \{0\}$  and  $X^{(2)} = \{0\} \times X$ . Viewing  $\Lambda(L)$  as a family of line bundles on  $X^{(1)}$  parametrised by  $X^{(2)}$  we obtain a morphism

$$\varphi_L: X = X^{(2)} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}$$

which is the unique morphism with the property that  $(\text{id}_X \times \varphi_L)^* \mathcal{P} \cong \Lambda(L)$ . On points, the morphism  $\varphi_L$  is of course given by  $x \mapsto [t_x^* L \otimes L^{-1}]$ , just as in (2.10). We have seen in (2.10), as a consequence of the Theorem of the Square, that  $\varphi_L$  is a homomorphism. Further we note that  $\varphi_L$  factors through  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ , as  $X$  is connected and  $\varphi_L(0) = 0$ .

**(6.18) Theorem.** Let  $X$  be an abelian variety over a field  $k$ . Then  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$  is reduced, hence it is an abelian variety. For every ample line bundle  $L$  the homomorphism  $\varphi_L: X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$  is an isogeny with kernel  $K(L)$ . We have  $\dim(\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0) = \dim(X) = \dim_k H^1(X, O_X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be an ample line bundle on  $X$ . By Lemma (2.17),  $\varphi_L$  has kernel  $K(L)$ . Since  $K(L)$  is a finite group scheme it follows that  $\dim(\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0) \geq \dim(X)$ . Combining this with (6.6) and (6.15) we find that  $\dim(\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0) = \dim(X) = \dim_k H^1(X, O_X)$  and that  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$  is reduced.  $\square$

**(6.19) Definition and Notation.** The abelian variety  $X^t := \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$  is called the *dual* of  $X$ . We write  $\mathcal{P}$ , or  $\mathcal{P}_X$ , for the Poincaré bundle on  $X \times X^t$  (i.e., the restriction of the Poincaré bundle on  $X \times \text{Pic}_{X/k}$  to  $X \times X^t$ ). If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homomorphism of abelian varieties over  $k$  then we write  $f^t: Y^t \rightarrow X^t$  for the induced homomorphism, called the *dual* of  $f$  or the *transpose* of  $f$ . Thus,  $f^t$  is the unique homomorphism such that

$$(\text{id} \times f^t)^* \mathcal{P}_X \cong (f \times \text{id})^* \mathcal{P}_Y$$

as line bundles on  $X \times Y^t$  with rigidification along  $\{0\} \times Y^t$ .

**(6.20) Remark.** We do not yet know whether  $f \mapsto f^t$  is additive; in other words: if we have two homomorphisms  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ , is then  $(f+g)^t$  equal to  $f^t + g^t$ ? Similarly, is  $(n_X)^t$  equal to  $n_{X^t}$ ? We shall later prove that the answer to both questions is “yes”; see (7.17). Note however that such relations certainly do not hold on all of  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ ; for instance, we know that if  $L$  is a line bundle with  $(-1)^*L \cong L$  then  $n^*L \cong L^{n^2}$  which is in general not isomorphic to  $L^n$ .

## Exercises.

**(6.1)** Show that the functor  $P_{X/S}$  defined in §1 is never representable, at least if we assume  $X$  to be a non-empty scheme.

**(6.2)** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two abelian varieties over a field  $k$ .

(i) Write  $i_X: X \rightarrow X \times Y$  and  $i_Y: Y \rightarrow X \times Y$  for the maps given by  $x \mapsto (x, 0)$  and  $y \mapsto (0, y)$ , respectively. Show that the map  $(i_X^t, i_Y^t): (X \times Y)^t \rightarrow X^t \times Y^t$  that sends a class  $[L] \in \text{Pic}_{(X \times Y)/k}^0$  to  $([L|_{X \times \{0\}}], [L|_{\{0\} \times Y}])$ , is an isomorphism. [Note: in general it is certainly not true that the full Picard scheme  $\text{Pic}_{X \times Y/k}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Pic}_{X/k} \times \text{Pic}_{Y/k}$ .]

(ii) Write

$$p: X \times Y \times X^t \times Y^t \longrightarrow X \times X^t \quad \text{and} \quad q: X \times Y \times X^t \times Y^t \longrightarrow Y \times Y^t$$

for the projection maps. Show that the Poincaré bundle of  $X \times Y$  is isomorphic to  $p^* \mathcal{P}_X \otimes q^* \mathcal{P}_Y$ .

**(6.3)** Let  $L$  be a line bundle on an abelian variety  $X$ . Consider the homomorphism  $(1, \varphi_L): X \rightarrow X \times X^t$ . Show that  $(1, \varphi_L)^* \mathcal{P}_X \cong L \otimes (-1)^*L$ .

**(6.4)** The goal of this exercise is to prove the restrictions listed in (iv) of (6.11). We consider a graded bialgebra  $H^\bullet$  over a field  $k$ , with co-multiplication  $\mu$ . We define the height of an element  $x \in H^\bullet$  to be the smallest positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n = 0$ , if such an  $n$  exists, and to be  $\infty$  if  $x$  is not nilpotent.

- (i) If  $y \in H^\bullet$  is an element of odd degree, and  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ , show that  $y^2 = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $x \in H^\bullet$  is primitive, show that  $\mu(x^n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i \otimes x^{n-i}$ . Conclude that if  $x$  has height  $n < \infty$  then  $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$  and  $n$  is a power of  $p$ .
- (iii) If  $H^\bullet = k\langle x \mid x^s = 0 \rangle$  with  $\text{deg}(x) = d$ , show that  $x$  is a primitive element. Deduce the restrictions on the height of  $x$  listed in (iv) of (6.11).