

## 2 Cohomology of groups

This section intends to give a very first introduction to group cohomology. In particular, we want to discuss another setting in which Ext-groups carry meaningful information.

**2.1 Definition.** Let  $G$  be a group, which in general will be non-abelian. By a  $G$ -module we mean an abelian group  $A$ , written additively, together with an action  $\rho: G \times A \rightarrow A$  of  $G$  on  $A$  by group automorphisms. In more detail, if we write  $g * a$  for  $\rho(g, a)$  we should have:

- (1)  $e * a = a$  and  $g_1 * (g_2 * a) = (g_1 g_2) * a$  for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $a \in A$ ;
- (2)  $g * (a_1 + a_2) = (g * a_1) + (g * a_2)$  and  $g * (-a) = -(g * a)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$ .

The first simply expresses that we have an action of  $G$  on the set  $A$ ; the second expresses that this is an action of  $G$  by group automorphisms of  $A$ .

**2.2 Remark.** If  $A$  is an abelian group then to give  $A$  the structure of a  $G$ -module is the same as giving a homomorphism of groups  $\theta: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ . The correspondence is given by the rule  $\theta(g)(a) = g * a$ .

**2.3 Definition.** If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $G$ -modules then a morphism of  $G$ -modules  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a group homomorphism with the property that  $g * f(a) = f(g * a)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A$ .

**2.4 Remark.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  be the group ring of  $G$ . A  $G$ -module is then nothing else but a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. Indeed, if we have a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module  $A$  then we already know what we mean by  $g * a$  (which of course is often written as  $g \cdot a$ ). Conversely, if  $A$  is a  $G$ -module then it has the structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module by the rule

$$\left( \sum_{g \in G} m_g \cdot g \right) \cdot a = \sum_{g \in G} m_g \cdot (g * a).$$

(Since  $A$  is abelian, if we have elements  $a_i \in A$  and integers  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we know what we mean by  $\sum m_i \cdot a_i$ .) Under this correspondence, a morphism of  $G$ -modules is the same as a morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules. In what follows we will freely switch between the two notions and rather than introducing a new notation  $G\text{-Mod}$  for the category of  $G$ -modules, we will identify this category with the category  $\mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-Mod}$  of (left)  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules. As we will see, in examples the purely group-theoretic notion of a  $G$ -module will sometimes be more natural than its module-theoretic equivalent, which is the reason why we have introduced it.

**2.5** If  $A$  is an abelian group, we can give it the trivial structure of a  $G$ -module for which  $g * a = a$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A$ . This gives a fully faithful “inclusion functor”  $i: \mathbf{Ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-Mod}$ .

This functor can also be understood as follows. For any group  $G$  we have the augmentation homomorphism

$$\epsilon: \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{given by} \quad \sum_{g \in G} m_g \cdot g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} m_g,$$

which is a homomorphism of rings. Thinking of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as the group ring of the trivial group,  $\epsilon$  is the homomorphism induced by the unique homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \{1\}$ . The inclusion functor  $i$  is the induced functor between module categories.

The kernel of  $\epsilon$  is called the augmentation ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ ; we denote it by  $I_G$ . As one readily checks, it is generated, as an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ , by the elements  $g - 1$ .

**2.6 Definition.** If  $A$  is a  $G$ -module, we define its subgroup of  $G$ -invariants  $A^G \subset A$  by

$$A^G = \{a \in A \mid g * a = a \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

One readily verifies that  $A^G$  is indeed a subgroup of  $A$  and that a homomorphism of  $G$ -modules  $A \rightarrow B$  restricts to a homomorphism of groups  $A^G \rightarrow B^G$ . This gives us a functor

$$(\ )^G: \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

**2.7 Proposition.** *The functor  $(\ )^G$  is left exact.*

*Proof.* We can suggest three proofs: (1) Verify left exactness by hand, which is not hard. (2) Note that the natural isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(\mathbb{Z}, A) \cong A$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$(2.7.1) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-Mod}}(\mathbb{Z}, A) \cong A^G$$

(where  $\mathbb{Z}$  always denotes  $\mathbb{Z}$  with its trivial  $G$ -module structure), which gives an isomorphism of functors  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-Mod}}(\mathbb{Z}, -) \cong (\ )^G$ . Then use that if  $R$  is a ring and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$  is left exact. (3) Note that  $(\ )^G$  is right adjoint to the above inclusion-functor  $i$ .  $\square$

**2.8 Remark.** By construction,  $\mathbb{Z}[G]/I_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules. Hence we see from (2.7.1) that if we think of  $A$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module,  $A^G \subset A$  is the subgroup of elements that are annihilated by the augmentation ideal  $I_G$ .

**2.9 Definition.** Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A$  be a  $G$ -module. Then we define the cohomology in degree  $n$  of  $G$  with coefficients in  $A$ , notation  $H^n(G, A)$ , to be

$$H^n(G, A) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^n(\mathbb{Z}, A),$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is given the trivial  $G$ -module structure.

**2.10 Remark.** By the general properties of Ext-groups, this defines functors

$$H^n(G, -): \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab},$$

and if  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence of  $G$ -modules, we have an associated long exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(G, A') \rightarrow H^0(G, A) \rightarrow H^0(G, A'') \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G, A') \\ \rightarrow H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, A'') \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G, A') \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

**2.11 Example.** As a very first example, for  $n = 0$  we find

$$H^0(G, A) = A^G$$

by (2.7.1).

**2.12 Example.** Let  $G = \langle \gamma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$  be an infinite cyclic group. In this case the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ ; the isomorphism is given by  $\gamma^i \mapsto t^i$ . The augmentation ideal  $I_G \subset \mathbb{Z}[G]$  is generated by  $\gamma - 1$ . As  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  is a domain, it follows that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

is short exact. From the associated long exact sequence and the fact that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^n(\mathbb{Z}[G], A) = 0$  for  $n > 0$  because  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  is (obviously) a free  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, we then find

$$\begin{cases} H^0(G, A) = A^G \\ H^1(G, A) = A/(\gamma - 1) \cdot A \\ H^n(G, A) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

**2.13 Example.** Let  $G = \langle \gamma \rangle$  denote a cyclic group of order  $n$ . (So  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .) In this case the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^n - 1)$ , via the map that sends  $\gamma^i$  to the class of  $t^i$ . The augmentation ideal  $I_G$  is the ideal generated by  $\gamma - 1$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ , consider the *norm element*

$$N = 1 + \gamma + \gamma^2 + \cdots + \gamma^{n-1}.$$

Clearly  $(\gamma - 1) \cdot N = \gamma^n - 1 = 0$ . But in fact we have something better, namely that the sequences

$$\mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \mathbb{Z}[G] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{Z}[G]$$

are both exact. It follows that the complex

$$R_\bullet : \quad \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \mathbb{Z}[G] \longrightarrow 0$$

together with the augmentation map  $\epsilon: R_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. This gives

$$\begin{cases} H^0(G, A) = \text{Ker}(\gamma - 1: A \rightarrow A) = A^G \\ H^n(G, A) = \text{Ker}(N: A \rightarrow A) / \text{Im}(\gamma - 1: A \rightarrow A) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ H^n(G, A) = A^G / \text{Im}(N: A \rightarrow A) & \text{for } n \geq 2 \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

We will use this in later examples.

**2.14** For an arbitrary group  $G$  we have seen in Exercise ?? an explicit free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, namely the complex

$$B_\bullet(G) : \quad \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G^3] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}[G^2] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}[G] \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $B_n(G) = \mathbb{Z}[G^{n+1}]$ , viewed as a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module via the diagonal action  $g * (g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) = (gg_0, gg_1, \dots, gg_n)$ , and with differentials  $d: \mathbb{Z}[G^{n+1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G^n]$  given by

$$d(g_0, \dots, g_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \cdot (g_0, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_n).$$

We are going to use this to give an explicit description of the cohomology groups of  $G$  with coefficients in a  $G$ -module  $A$ . This will be particularly useful in low degrees.

The basic observation is that we can identify

$$(2.14.1) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G^{n+1}], A) \cong \text{Map}(G^n, A).$$

This can in fact be done in many ways, and the one that we are going to use does not seem the simplest possible; however, it leads to an explicit description of group cohomology that is very useful in practice. We will work with the identification (2.14.1) given by sending  $f: \mathbb{Z}[G^{n+1}] \rightarrow A$  to the map  $\phi: G^n \rightarrow A$  given by

$$\phi(g_1, \dots, g_n) = f(1, g_1, g_1g_2, g_1g_2g_3, \dots, g_1g_2 \cdots g_n).$$

In the reverse direction,  $\phi: G^n \rightarrow A$  is sent to the  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -homomorphism  $f: \mathbb{Z}[G^{n+1}] \rightarrow A$  given by

$$f(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) = \phi(g_0^{-1}g_1, g_1^{-1}g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}^{-1}g_n).$$

With these identifications (2.14.1) the cochain complex  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(B_\bullet(G), A)$  can be identified with a cochain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Map}(G^0, A) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(G, A) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(G^2, A) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Note that each  $\text{Map}(G^n, A)$  is naturally an abelian group, via the addition in  $A$ . Direct calculation shows that the differentials  $d^n: \text{Map}(G^n, A) \rightarrow \text{Map}(G^{n+1}, A)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^n(\phi)(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ = g_1 * \phi(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \cdot \phi(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} \cdot \phi(g_1, \dots, g_n). \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion of this is that

$$H^n(G, A) = \frac{\text{Ker}(d^n: \text{Map}(G^n, A) \rightarrow \text{Map}(G^{n+1}, A))}{\text{Im}(d^{n-1}: \text{Map}(G^{n-1}, A) \rightarrow \text{Map}(G^n, A))},$$

where the differentials  $d^n$  are given by the above formula.

**2.15 Examples.** Let us consider some examples in low degree.

Of course,  $G^0 = \{1\}$  so that a map  $G^0 \rightarrow A$  is given by an element  $a \in A$ . The differential  $d^0: \text{Map}(G^0, A) = A \rightarrow \text{Map}(G, A)$  sends  $a$  to the map  $g \mapsto g * a - a$ . In degree  $n = 0$  we therefore find

$$H^0(G, A) = \text{Ker}(d^0) = A^G,$$

in agreement with what we have found before.

The next differential is  $d^1: \text{Map}(G, A) \rightarrow \text{Map}(G^2, A)$ . It sends a map  $\phi: G \rightarrow A$  to  $d^1(\phi): G^2 \rightarrow A$  given by

$$d^1(\phi)(g_1, g_2) = g_1 * \phi(g_2) - \phi(g_1 g_2) + \phi(g_1).$$

This gives

$$H^1(G, A) = \frac{\{\phi: G \rightarrow A \mid \phi(g_1 g_2) = g_1 * \phi(g_2) + \phi(g_1)\}}{\{\phi: G \rightarrow A \mid \text{there exists an } a \in A \text{ such that } \phi(g) = g * a - a \text{ for all } g \in G\}}$$

The maps that appear in the numerator are called *crossed homomorphisms* from  $G$  to  $A$ . As we have already studied  $\text{Ext}^1$ -groups in the previous section, we will not elaborate on this. We do note, however, that if  $G$  acts trivially on  $A$  we simply get  $H^1(G, A) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}}(G, A)$ .

The differential  $d^2: \text{Map}(G^2, A) \rightarrow \text{Map}(G^3, A)$  sends a map  $\phi: G^2 \rightarrow A$  to  $d^2(\phi): G^3 \rightarrow A$  given by

$$d^2(\phi)(g_1, g_2, g_3) = g_1 * \phi(g_2, g_3) - \phi(g_1 g_2, g_3) + \phi(g_1, g_2 g_3) - \phi(g_1, g_2).$$

This gives

$$H^2(G, A) = \frac{\{\phi: G^2 \rightarrow A \mid \phi(g_1 g_2, g_3) - \phi(g_1, g_2 g_3) = g_1 * \phi(g_2, g_3) - \phi(g_1, g_2)\}}{\{\phi: G \rightarrow A \mid \exists \psi: G \rightarrow A \text{ such that } \phi(g_1, g_2) = g_1 * \psi(g_2) - \psi(g_1 g_2) + \psi(g_1)\}}$$

which already looks rather mysterious.

**2.16 Group extensions and  $H^2$ .** Just as in the previous section we have related  $\text{Ext}^1$  modules to extensions of modules, we are here going to relate  $H^2(G, A)$  to a problem about extensions of groups.

The starting point for this is that if  $G$  and  $A$  are groups then by an extension of  $G$  by  $A$  we mean a short exact sequence of groups

$$(2.16.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1.$$

Note: “short exact” simply means that  $i$  is injective,  $\pi$  is surjective and  $\text{Im}(i) = \text{Ker}(\pi)$ . Similar to the definition for extensions of modules, we will view two such extensions as equivalent if they fit in a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id}_A & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \text{id}_G & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i'} & \Gamma' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & G & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and the existence of such a diagram implies that  $f$  is an isomorphism of groups.

In the rest of the discussion we assume that the group  $A$  is abelian. This has an important consequence. Namely, given an extension (2.16.1) we obtain a natural structure of a  $G$ -module on  $A$ . For this, choose a *set-theoretic* section  $s: G \rightarrow \Gamma$  of the map  $\pi$ , i.e., a map  $s$  such that  $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_G$ . Such a section exists, simply because  $\pi$  is surjective. Note, however, that in general we cannot find a homomorphic section  $s$ . Given this section  $s$  we obtain an action of  $G$  on  $A$  by

$$(2.16.2) \quad g * a = s(g) \cdot a \cdot s(g)^{-1}.$$

Explanation: we identify  $A$  with  $\ker(\pi)$  via  $i$ , and we calculate  $s(g) \cdot a \cdot s(g)^{-1}$  inside the group  $\Gamma$ . Then we observe that this element lies in  $A$  because  $\pi(s(g) \cdot a \cdot s(g)^{-1}) = g \cdot 1 \cdot g^{-1} = 1$ . One readily checks that (2.16.2) indeed defines a  $G$ -module structure on  $A$ . Moreover, this  $G$ -module structure is independent of the chosen section  $s$ . Indeed, any other section is of the form  $\sigma(g) = \alpha(g) \cdot s(g)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a map from  $G$  to  $A$ . But then we find that

$$\sigma(g) \cdot a \cdot \sigma(g)^{-1} = \alpha(g) \cdot (s(g) \cdot a \cdot s(g)^{-1}) \cdot \alpha(g)^{-1} = s(g) \cdot a \cdot s(g)^{-1}$$

because  $A$  is abelian.

The problem that we are interested in is to describe, given a group  $G$  and a  $G$ -module  $A$ , all extensions of  $G$  by  $A$  up to equivalence. Since we have now fixed the structure of a  $G$ -module on  $A$  this means that we want to consider all extensions (2.16.1) for which the resulting  $G$ -module structure (2.16.2) is the given one.

There is always at least one such extension. Namely, if we describe the  $G$ -module structure on  $A$  as a homomorphism  $\theta: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$  (see Remark 2.2) we can form the semi-direct product  $A \rtimes_{\theta} G$ . Recall that  $A \rtimes_{\theta} G$  is the set of pairs  $(a, g) \in A \times G$ , with group structure given by

$$(a_1, g_1) \cdot (a_2, g_2) = (a_1 + \theta(g_1)(a_2), g_1 g_2).$$

(We write the group structure on  $A$  additively.) The maps  $i: A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\theta} G$  given by  $a \mapsto (a, 1)$  and  $\pi: A \rtimes_{\theta} G \rightarrow G$  given by  $(a, g) \mapsto g$  are homomorphisms that realize  $A \rtimes_{\theta} G$  as an extension of  $G$  by  $A$ . To see that the corresponding  $G$ -module structure on  $A$  is the one given by  $\theta$  note that in this case  $\pi$  has a homomorphic section, namely  $s: G \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\theta} G$  given by  $g \mapsto (0, g)$ . Since in  $A \rtimes_{\theta} G$  we have

$$(0, g) \cdot (a, 1) \cdot (0, g^{-1}) = (\theta(g)(a), 1)$$

we see that, indeed, the  $G$ -module structure on  $A$  is the one we started with.

Already at this point it is an easy exercise to show that an extension  $\Gamma$  is equivalent to  $A \rtimes_{\theta} G$  if and only if there exists a homomorphic section of  $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ . So we may think of the semi-direct product as being the “trivial” extension of  $G$  by  $A$  (similar to split extensions of modules) and ask whether there are other, non-equivalent extensions. This is an important question in group theory that can be answered using group cohomology.

**2.17 Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $A$  be a  $G$ -module. Then the set of equivalence classes of extensions of  $G$  by  $A$  (with its given  $G$ -module structure) is in natural bijection with  $H^2(G, A)$ . Under this bijection the semi-direct product  $A \rtimes_{\theta} G$  corresponds with the zero class in  $H^2(G, A)$ .*

We will not give the full details of the proof but only explain the key idea, which is a simple one. Namely, given an extension (2.16.1) we choose a (set-theoretic) section  $s: G \rightarrow \Gamma$  of  $\pi$ , and we measure how far  $s$  is from being a homomorphism. This leads us to consider the map  $\phi_s: G^2 \rightarrow A$  given by

$$\phi_s(g_1, g_2) = s(g_1 g_2) \cdot s(g_2)^{-1} \cdot s(g_1)^{-1}.$$

Note that the RHS is calculated in  $\Gamma$  and defines an element of  $A$  because it lies in the kernel of  $\pi$ . (In what follows we identify  $A$  with the subgroup  $\text{Ker}(\pi) \subset \Gamma$ .) We claim that  $d^2(\phi_s) = 0$ , or what is the same, that

$$-\phi_s(g_1, g_2 g_3) + \phi_s(g_1 g_2, g_3) = g_1 * \phi_s(g_2, g_3) - \phi_s(g_1, g_2)$$

for all  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ . The LHS (calculated in the group  $\Gamma$ , which is written multiplicatively) is given by

$$s(g_1) s(g_2 g_3) s(g_1 g_2 g_3)^{-1} s(g_1 g_2 g_3) s(g_3)^{-1} s(g_1 g_2)^{-1};$$

the RHS is

$$s(g_1) s(g_2 g_3) s(g_3)^{-1} s(g_2)^{-1} s(g_1)^{-1} s(g_1) s(g_2) s(g_1 g_2)^{-1}$$

and we readily see that these two expressions indeed give the same. Therefore,  $\phi_s$  defines a class in  $H^2(G, A)$ . This class is independent of the choice of a section  $s$ , for if  $\sigma$  is another section then  $\sigma(g) = \alpha(g) \cdot s(g)$  for some map  $\alpha: G \rightarrow A$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\sigma}(g_1, g_2) &= \alpha(g_1 g_2) \cdot s(g_1 g_2) \cdot s(g_2)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(g_2)^{-1} \cdot s(g_1)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(g_1)^{-1} \\ &= \alpha(g_1 g_2) \cdot \phi_s(g_1, g_2) \cdot (s(g_1) * \alpha(g_2)^{-1}) \cdot \alpha(g_1)^{-1} \\ &= \phi_s(g_1, g_2) - [s(g_1) * \alpha(g_2) - \alpha(g_1 g_2) + \alpha(g_1)] \\ &= \phi_s(g_1, g_2) - d^1(\alpha)(g_1, g_2) \end{aligned}$$

where in the third step we switch from multiplicative notation (in the group  $\Gamma$ ) to additive notation (in  $A$ ). Hence we see that  $\phi_s$  and  $\phi_{\sigma}$  define the same class in  $H^2(G, A)$ .

This construction gives a map from the set of equivalence classes of extensions of  $G$  by the  $G$ -module  $A$  to  $H^2(G, A)$ , and the more precise form of the theorem is that this map is a bijection. Note that indeed the semi-direct product  $A \rtimes_{\theta} G$  is mapped to the zero class, as clearly  $\phi_s = 0$  if (and only if)  $s$  is a homomorphism.

**2.18 Example.** Let  $p$  be a prime number and let us classify all extensions of  $C_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  by itself. Note that by basic group theory every group of order  $p^2$  has a normal subgroup of order  $p$  and can therefore be obtained as an extension of  $C_p$  by itself.

As  $\text{Aut}(C_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  is cyclic of order  $p - 1$ , there is no other  $C_p$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  other than the trivial one. (In case you find this confusing, note that a  $C_p$ -module structure is the structure of a module over the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[C_p]$ . Of course  $C_p$  has a natural structure of a module over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  but that is something different.) By what we have found in Example 2.13,

$$H^2(C_p, C_p) \cong C_p.$$

(With notation as in Example 2.13 the norm element  $N$  acts as multiplication by  $p$ , which in this case is 0.)

There are two extensions that immediately come to mind: the trivial extension  $C_p \times C_p$  and the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow C_p \xrightarrow{i} (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi} C_p \longrightarrow 1,$$

with  $i$  given by  $a \bmod p \mapsto p \cdot a \bmod p^2$ . However, if we take  $c \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  and in this sequence change the map  $i$  to  $c \cdot i$  given by  $a \bmod p \mapsto p \cdot ca \bmod p^2$  then this gives a different equivalence class of extensions. One can check that this gives all possible classes in  $H^2(C_p, C_p) \cong C_p$ . The conclusion, therefore, is that every group of order  $p^2$  is isomorphic to  $C_p \times C_p$  or to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**2.19 Example.** Let  $C_2 = \{1, \iota\}$  and  $C_4$  denote the cyclic groups of order 2 and 4, respectively. As  $\text{Aut}(C_4) \cong C_2$ , there are two possible  $C_2$ -module structures on  $C_4$ : the trivial one, and the one for which  $\iota$  acts as  $-\text{id}$  on  $C_4$ .

Let us first take the trivial  $C_2$ -module structure on  $C_4$ . By Example 2.13 we have  $H^2(C_2, C_4) \cong C_4/2C_4$ . (The norm element  $N$  acts as multiplication by 2.) We easily see the two corresponding extensions: the product group  $C_4 \times C_2$  and the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow C_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

If we take the non-trivial  $C_2$ -module structure  $\theta: C_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_4)$  then we find that  $H^2(C_2, C_4) \cong 2C_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . (In this case the norm element acts trivially.) Again we can see two extensions:

$$0 \longrightarrow \langle r \rangle \longrightarrow D_4 \xrightarrow{\det} \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $D_4 = \langle r, s \rangle$  is the dihedral group of order 8 (with  $r \in D_4$  the rotation, of order 4), and

$$0 \longrightarrow \langle i \rangle \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow 1$$

with  $Q = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$  the quaternion group of order 8. The dihedral group is of course the semidirect product  $C_4 \rtimes_{\theta} C_2$ . The quaternion group  $Q$  is not semi-direct, as all its elements of order 2 lie in the subgroup  $\langle i \rangle \subset Q$ , so that the map  $Q \rightarrow C_2$  has no homomorphic section.