

## Finitely generated modules over a PID

*Lemma.* Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ . If  $R^m \cong R^n$  as  $R$ -modules then  $m = n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$  be a maximal ideal, and let  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $R^m \cong R^n$  implies that  $k^m \cong R^m/\mathfrak{m} \cdot R^m \cong R^n/\mathfrak{m} \cdot R^n \cong k^n$  as  $k$ -modules; hence  $m = n$ .  $\square$

*Proposition 1.* Let  $R$  be a PID. If  $M \subset R^n$  is a submodule, then  $M$  is free of rank  $\leq n$ .

*Proof.* Induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 0$  being trivial. Assume  $n \geq 1$  and the proposition is true in lower rank. Let  $\pi: R^n \rightarrow R$  be the projection onto the last factor, write  $R^{n-1}$  for the kernel, and let  $M' = M \cap R^{n-1}$ . If  $\pi(M) = 0$  then  $M \subset R^{n-1}$  and we are done. As  $R$  is a PID, if  $\pi(M) \neq 0$  then  $\pi(M) \subset R$  is a principal ideal. Choose an element  $\mu \in M$  such that  $\pi(\mu)$  generates  $\pi(M)$ . By induction, there exists a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  for  $M'$  as an  $R$ -module, with  $r \leq n - 1$ . If  $m \in M$ , we have  $\pi(m) = r \cdot \pi(\mu)$  for some  $r \in R$ , and then  $m - r\mu \in M'$ . Hence  $e_1, \dots, e_r, \mu$  generate  $M$ . They are also linearly independent, for  $\sum c_i e_i + d\mu = 0$  implies  $d = 0$  by applying  $\pi$ , and we already know that  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  are linearly independent. Hence in this case  $M$  is free of rank  $r + 1 \leq n$ .  $\square$

If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with coefficients in  $R$ , we simply write  $\text{Coker}(A)$  for the cokernel of the map  $R^n \rightarrow R^m$  given by  $A$ .

*Corollary.* Let  $M$  be a f.g. module over a PID  $R$ . Then there exists an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  with coefficients in  $R$ , for some  $m$  and  $n$ , such that  $M \cong \text{Coker}(A)$ .

*Proof.* As  $M$  is finitely generated, there exists a surjective map  $p: R^m \rightarrow M$ . By the proposition, there exists  $n \leq m$  and an isomorphism  $R^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(p)$ . Then  $M \cong \text{Coker}(A)$ , where  $A$  is the matrix of the composite map  $R^n \rightarrow \text{Ker}(p) \hookrightarrow R^m$ .  $\square$

In what follows, let  $R$  be a PID. Any two non-zero elements of  $R$  have a gcd that is well-determined up to multiplication by a unit:  $c$  is said to be a gcd of  $a$  and  $b$  if  $(c) = (a, b)$ . Note that, by definition, this implies that  $c$  can be written as  $c = x \cdot a + y \cdot b$  for some  $x, y \in R$ .

*Proposition 2.* Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers and  $A = (a_{ij})$  an  $m \times n$  matrix with coefficients in  $R$ . Then there exist  $P \in \text{GL}_m(R)$  and  $Q \in \text{GL}_n(R)$  such that  $P \cdot A \cdot Q$  is a diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(r_1, \dots, r_t, 0, \dots, 0)$  with non-zero  $r_i \in R$  such that  $r_i$  divides  $r_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$ .

Note that “diag” here means an  $m \times n$  diagonal matrix, so not necessarily square.

*Proof.* Let  $E(A)$  be the set of all matrices of the form  $P \cdot A \cdot Q$  with invertible  $P$  and  $Q$ . Note: if  $B \in E(A)$  then  $E(B) = E(A)$ . Further, if  $B \in E(A)$  then any matrix obtained from  $B$  by permuting rows and columns is again in  $E(A)$ . Also, any matrix obtained from  $B$  by elementary row or column operations is again in  $E(A)$ .

Let  $V \subset R$  be the subset of all elements that occur as matrix coefficient in some matrix in  $E(A)$ . We may assume  $V$  contains non-zero elements, for otherwise  $A = 0$  and there is nothing to prove. Let  $\beta \in V$  be any non-zero element for which  $(\beta)$  is as large as possible. In other words:

there is no  $C$  in  $E(A)$  that has a matrix coefficient  $c_{ij}$  that strictly divides  $\beta$ , i.e., for which  $(\beta) \subsetneq (c_{ij})$ . (Such an element  $\beta$  exists because  $R$  is a noetherian ring. Alternatively, use that  $R$  is a UFD.) Using row and column permutations we find that there exists a  $B = (b_{ij}) \in E(A)$  such that  $b_{1,1} = \beta$ .

We claim that  $\beta$  divides all  $b_{1,j}$  and all  $b_{i,1}$ . Indeed, suppose  $\beta$  does not divide some  $b_{1,j}$ . For simplicity of exposition, suppose it is  $b_{1,2}$ . Let  $c$  be a gcd of  $\beta = b_{1,1}$  and  $b_{1,2}$ , and choose  $x, y \in R$  such that  $c = x \cdot \beta + y \cdot b_{1,2}$ . Write  $\beta = r \cdot c$  and  $b_{1,2} = s \cdot c$ , so that  $1 = x \cdot r + y \cdot s$ . Let  $Q \in \text{GL}_n(R)$  the matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} x & -s & & & \\ y & r & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $B \cdot Q \in E(A)$  and as  $c$  is a matrix coefficient of  $B \cdot Q$  we arrive at a contradiction with our choice of  $\beta$ . In a similar way we see that  $\beta$  divides all  $b_{i,1}$ . By row and column operations, this gives a matrix in  $E(A)$  of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & A_2 & & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_2$  is an  $(m-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix.

By induction, we find that  $E(A)$  contains a matrix  $\text{diag}(\beta, r_2, \dots, r_t, 0, \dots, 0)$  with  $r_i$  dividing  $r_{i+1}$ . It only remains to be shown that  $\beta$  divides  $r_2$ . For this, choose  $x, y \in R$  such that  $c = x \cdot \beta + y \cdot r_2$  is a gcd of  $\beta$  and  $r_2$ . Then it follows from the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ c & r_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

that  $E(A)$  contains a matrix in which  $c$  occurs as coefficient. By our choice of  $\beta$  we must have  $(\beta) = (c)$ , which means that  $\beta | r_2$ .  $\square$

*Theorem.* Let  $R$  be a PID. Let  $M$  be a f.g. module over  $R$ . Then there exist integers  $r, t \geq 0$  and a chain of ideals  $R \neq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I_t \neq (0)$ , all uniquely determined, such that

$$M \cong R^r \oplus R/I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/I_t$$

as  $R$ -modules.

*Proof.* The existence follows from the Corollary to Proposition 1 together with Proposition 2; here we note that if  $B = P \cdot A \cdot Q$  then  $P: R^m \xrightarrow{\sim} R^m$  induces an isomorphism  $\text{Coker}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coker}(B)$ .

For the uniqueness, suppose  $M = R^r \oplus R/I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/I_t$  and  $N = R^\rho \oplus R/J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/J_u$  are isomorphic, where we assume  $R \neq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I_t \neq (0)$  and  $R \neq J_1 \supseteq J_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq J_u \neq (0)$ . Then  $R/I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/I_t = \text{Tors}(M)$  is isomorphic to  $R/J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/J_u = \text{Tors}(N)$  and  $R^r \cong M/\text{Tors}(M) \cong N/\text{Tors}(N) \cong R^\rho$ . The latter already implies that  $r = \rho$ . It remains to

treat the case  $r = \rho = 0$ . If  $m \in M$ , its annihilator  $\text{ann}(m) = \{r \in R \mid rm = 0\}$  is an ideal of  $R$ , and  $I_1$  is the largest proper ideal of  $R$  that occurs among these ideals. This characterizes  $I_1$ , and hence  $I_1 = J_1$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal containing  $I_1$  then  $t$  is the dimension of  $M/\mathfrak{m}M$  over the residue field  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ ; this characterizes  $t$ , and hence  $t = u$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of all maximal ideals of  $R$ . Every non-zero ideal  $I \subset R$  can be written in a unique way as  $I = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)}$  with exponents  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I) \geq 0$  that are 0 for almost all  $\mathfrak{p}$ . By how we have chosen the  $I_i$  and  $J_i$ , we have  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_i) \leq v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_{i+1})$  and  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(J_i) \leq v_{\mathfrak{p}}(J_{i+1})$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, t-1$ . If  $I_q \neq J_q$  for some  $q$ , choose  $q$  maximal with this property. There exists  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_q) \neq v_{\mathfrak{p}}(J_q)$ , and by symmetry we may assume  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_q) > v_{\mathfrak{p}}(J_q)$ . If  $P$  is an  $R$ -module and  $a \geq 0$  then  $\mathfrak{p}^a \cdot P/\mathfrak{p}^{a+1} \cdot P$  is a vector space over the residue field  $k = R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Moreover, in case  $P = R/I$  for some non-zero ideal  $I$  we find that  $\mathfrak{p}^a \cdot P/\mathfrak{p}^{a+1} \cdot P$  is zero if  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I) < a$  and is 1-dimensional over  $k$  if  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I) \geq a$ . Therefore, if we take  $a = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_q)$  then we find that  $\dim_k(\mathfrak{p}^a \cdot M/\mathfrak{p}^{a+1} \cdot M) > \dim_k(\mathfrak{p}^a \cdot N/\mathfrak{p}^{a+1} \cdot N)$ ; contradiction. This shows that  $I_q = J_q$  for all  $q$ .  $\square$