

CATEGORIES AND HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

Exercises for May 17

Exercise 1.

- (a) If R is a PID and M is a finitely generated R -module, show that M has a free resolution of length at most 1. By this we mean that M has a free resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_2 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

with $F_i = 0$ for all $i > 1$.

- (b) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, and consider the R -module $M = R/2R \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Show that

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} R \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} R \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} R \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} R \longrightarrow 0$$

is a free resolution of M . Also show that M does not admit a free resolution of finite length. [*Hint*: Suppose F_\bullet is a free resolution of M , with quasi-isomorphism $\alpha: F_\bullet \rightarrow M$. Use induction on i to show that $\text{Coker}(F_{i+1} \rightarrow F_i)$ contains elements x such that $2x = 0$ and $x \notin 2 \cdot \text{Coker}(F_{i+1} \rightarrow F_i)$.]

Exercise 2. Let R be the ring $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x^2 - y^3)$, and let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be the maximal ideal generated by (the classes of) x and y . Consider the R -module R/\mathfrak{m} . Write down an *explicit* free resolution of M .

Exercise 3. Let n be an integer with $n \geq 2$, and let K_\bullet be the complex of abelian groups given by

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with terms \mathbb{Z} in degrees 1 and 0. Let L_\bullet be the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

whose only non-zero term $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is placed in degree 0. Finally, let $f: K_\bullet \rightarrow L_\bullet$ be the natural morphism of complexes, with $f: K_0 \rightarrow L_0$ the canonical map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Prove that f is a quasi-isomorphism but that it is not a homotopy-equivalence of complexes.

Exercise 4. Let R be a ring. Let K_\bullet , L_\bullet and M_\bullet be complexes of R -modules, and suppose given morphisms of complexes $f: K_\bullet \rightarrow L_\bullet$ and $g: L_\bullet \rightarrow M_\bullet$. We say that the resulting sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K_\bullet \xrightarrow{f} L_\bullet \xrightarrow{g} M_\bullet \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of complexes if in every degree i the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_i \xrightarrow{f} L_i \xrightarrow{g} M_i \longrightarrow 0$$

is short exact.

- (a) Suppose we have a short exact sequence of complexes as above. Prove that for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have an induced diagram of R -modules with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Coker}(d: K_{i+1} \rightarrow K_i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(d: L_{i+1} \rightarrow L_i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(d: M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(d: K_{i-1} \rightarrow K_{i-2}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(d: L_{i-1} \rightarrow L_{i-2}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(d: M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_{i-2}) & & \end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are induced by the differentials $d: K_i \rightarrow K_{i-1}$, resp. $d: L_i \rightarrow L_{i-1}$, resp. $d: M_i \rightarrow M_{i-1}$.

- (b) Prove that the short exact sequence $(*)$ induces a long exact homology sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_i(K_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_i(f)} \mathcal{H}_i(L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_i(g)} \mathcal{H}_i(M_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\delta_i} \mathcal{H}_{i-1}(K_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}(f)} \mathcal{H}_{i-1}(L_\bullet) \longrightarrow \dots$$

for suitable boundary maps δ_i .

Exercise 5. For R a ring, let $\mathbf{C}(R\text{-Mod})$ be the category of chain complexes of R -modules and $\mathbf{Ho}(R\text{-Mod})$ the homotopy category of chain complexes (as discussed in the lecture). Let $F: \mathbf{C}(R\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ho}(R\text{-Mod})$ be the canonical functor.

- (a) Is the functor F faithful? Is it full? Is it essentially surjective?
- (b) In general, a functor $G: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ between categories is said to be *conservative* if for a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{C} we have

$$G(f) \text{ is an isomorphism} \implies f \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

Is the functor F conservative?

- (c) Give an example of a ring R and a chain complex M_\bullet that is not isomorphic to the zero object in $\mathbf{C}(R\text{-Mod})$ but is isomorphic to the zero object in $\mathbf{Ho}(R\text{-Mod})$.