

# Problems for Representations of Linear Algebraic Groups

Milan Lopuhaä

November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016

As always, all vector spaces are finite dimensional and over  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra and  $V$  and  $W$  are representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)$  is the complex vector space of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation homomorphisms  $V \rightarrow W$ , i.e. the space of linear maps  $f: V \rightarrow W$  such that  $f(x(v)) = x(f(v))$  for all  $v \in V$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

1. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie-algebra, and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{s}_n$  be its decomposition into simple factors. Let  $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Show that  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathfrak{s}_j$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and that every ideal is of this form.
2. For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , let  $V_n$  be the representation  $\text{Sym}^n(V)$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , where  $V$  is the standard representation.
  - (a) Remind yourself, or use induction to show, that  $\sum_{i=0}^n i^2 = \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^n i^2 = \frac{1}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{1}{6}n$ .
  - (b) Let  $e_1, e_2$  be the standard basis of  $V$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be the basis of  $V_n$  given by  $f_a = e_1^a \cdot e_2^{n-a}$ . Show that the action of the standard elements  $X, H, Y \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$  on  $V_n$  is given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} X(f_a) &= a \cdot f_{a+1}; \\ H(f_a) &= (2a - n) \cdot f_a; \\ Y(f_a) &= (n - a) \cdot f_{a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Show that with regards to the basis  $X, H, Y$  the form  $B_{V_n}$  is given by the matrix

$$\frac{n^3 + 3n^2 + 2n}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra.
  - (a) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple. Let  $V$  be a faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Show that  $B_V$  is nondegenerate.

(b) Give an example of a solvable  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a faithful representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $B_V$  is nondegenerate. Why does this not contradict Cartan's criterion?

4. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie-algebra, and let  $V$  be an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $W$  be a semisimple representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that all simple subrepresentations of  $W$  are isomorphic to  $V$ . Show that the map

$$\begin{aligned} V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W) &\rightarrow W \\ (v, f) &\mapsto f(v) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

5. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  be two Lie algebras, and let  $\rho_1: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$  and  $\rho_2: \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_2)$  be two representations. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2: \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2 &\rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_1 \otimes V_2) \\ (x_1, x_2) &\mapsto \rho_1(x_1) \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} + \text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \rho_2(x_2); \end{aligned}$$

This is called the *outer tensor product* of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ .

(a) Show that  $\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ .

(b) Now suppose that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ , respectively. Show that the map

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(V_1, V_1 \otimes V_2) \\ w &\mapsto (v \mapsto v \otimes w) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

(c) Show that the induced isomorphism  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1 \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(V_1, V_1 \otimes V_2)$  is the inverse to the the isomorphism  $V_1 \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(V_1, V_1 \otimes V_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1 \otimes V_2$  of problem 4.

(d) Show that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{subspaces of } V_2\} &\rightarrow \{\mathfrak{g}_1\text{-invariant subspaces of } V_1 \otimes V_2\} \\ Y &\mapsto V_1 \otimes Y \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection, with the inverse given by sending a  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ -invariant subspace  $X \subset V_1 \otimes V_2$  to the space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(V_1, X)$ , regarded as a subspace of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(V_1, V_1 \otimes V_2) \cong V_2$ . Hence every  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ -invariant subspace of  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  is of the form  $V_1 \otimes Y$  for some  $Y \subset V_2$ .

(e) Deduce that  $\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2$  is irreducible as representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ .