

# COMPUTING DISCRETE INVARIANTS OF VARIETIES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

*Notes about the Magma implementation*

**Ben Moonen**

This note provides documentation for the Magma implementation of the method described in the paper *Computing discrete invariants of varieties in positive characteristic, I: Ekedahl–Oort type of curves*. We refer to this paper by the acronym CD11.

For the time being, there is only an implementation for the case of a (non-singular) plane curve  $C$ . Such a curve is given by an equation  $f = 0$  with  $f \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  irreducible and homogeneous of some degree  $d$ . The goal of the program is to calculate the Ekedahl–Oort type of  $C$ , which is represented by a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_{2g}$ , where  $g = g(C) = (d-1)(d-2)/2$ . It is assumed that  $p > d \geq 3$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of the base field  $k$ .

The code is available in a file `EOType`, which should be loaded into Magma. The user should define a field  $k$  and a homogeneous polynomial, say  $f$ , in three variables. After this, the user can invoke the command `EOType(k,f)`, which returns a permutation that represents the Ekedahl–Oort type of the plane curve  $C = \mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ . An error message is returned if  $f$  is not homogeneous, if  $d < 3$  or  $p \leq d$ , or if  $C$  is singular.

**Acknowledgement.** The Magma implementation has been realised with much help from Wieb Bosma, who in fact wrote most of the code. I would like to express my sincerest thanks to Wieb for his patient help.

## 1. Overview of the method

The following situation is assumed:

- $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p \geq 5$ .
- $\sigma: k \rightarrow k$  is the absolute Frobenius, i.e., the map  $x \mapsto x^p$ . In CD11 the field  $k$  is assumed to be perfect, which means that  $\sigma$  is invertible. The Magma code is written in such a way that  $\sigma^{-1}$  is never used.
- $f \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  is homogeneous of degree  $d$  with  $3 \leq d < p$  such that the subscheme  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  defined by  $f = 0$  is a smooth curve over  $k$ .

After checking if the above conditions on  $f$  and  $C$  are satisfied, the function `EOType` successively calls three further functions `HWtriple`, `DieudMod`, and `WeylGrElt`, which correspond to the main steps in the calculation. The Magma code for these functions is reviewed in detail in the next sections; here is a quick summary of what we are doing.

As explained in CD11, we can associate to  $C$  a “Hasse–Witt triple”, which is a triple  $(Q, \Phi, \Psi)$  consisting of a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space, a  $\sigma$ -linear map  $\Phi: Q \rightarrow Q$ , and a  $\sigma$ -linear bijective map  $\Psi: \text{Ker}(\Psi) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\Phi)^\vee$ . The function `HWtriple` computes the Hasse–Witt triple associated with  $C$ , following the Theorem that is stated in the Introduction of CD11.

As a next step, we need to convert the Hasse–Witt triple into a Dieudonné module, following the method explained in Section 2 of CD11. This is what the function `DieudMod` does.

Finally, we need to compute the Weyl group coset that represents the isomorphism class of the Dieudonné module, under the bijective correspondence given in Theorem 2.3 of CDI1. This is done in `WeylGrElt`.

Some issues arising in the Magma implementation are the following.

- In CDI1,  $\sigma$ -linear maps play an important role. In the Magma code these are represented by ordinary matrices, with respect to some chosen bases for the spaces involved. For instance, `APhi` and `APsi` refer to matrices that represent the maps that in CDI1 are called  $\Phi$ , resp.  $\Psi$ .
- In CDI1, the Hasse–Witt triple associated with  $C$  is described using certain subspaces of the graded  $k$ -vector space

$$\mathsf{T} := k[X_0^{\pm 1}, X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1}]/L, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $L$  is the  $k$ -linear span of all monomials  $X^e = X_0^{e_0} X_1^{e_1} X_2^{e_2}$  for which at least one of the exponents  $e_i$  is non-negative. Elements of  $\mathsf{T}$  can be represented by Laurent polynomials, but at several steps in the Magma code this turns out to be inconvenient, for instance because Magma functions such as `MonomialCoefficient` are not available for Laurent polynomials. The solution we use is to multiply Laurent polynomials by a sufficiently high power of  $(X_0 X_1 X_2)$  to ensure that we obtain ordinary polynomials.

## 2. The function `HWtriple`

Inputs:

- A field  $k$ .
- An integer  $d \geq 3$ .
- A homogeneous polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d$  with coefficients in  $k$ .

Outputs:

- A string  $s$ .
- A matrix  $A(\Phi)$  of size  $g \times g$ , where  $g = (d-1)(d-2)/2$ .
- A basis  $\kappa = \{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_h\}$  of the kernel of the  $k$ -linear map  $k^g \rightarrow k^g$  given by  $A(\Phi)$ . (The integer  $h$  is not known a priori).
- A matrix  $A(\Psi)$  of size  $g \times h$ .

Before this function is called, it has been tested if  $k$ ,  $d$  and  $f$  define a situation as described at the beginning of Section 1. (If not, an error message is given.)

The purpose of the string  $s$  is only to avoid unnecessary calculations: it will be assigned one of the values `ordinary`, `superspecial` or `interesting`. In the first two cases (which are detected as soon as we have the Hasse–Witt matrix  $A(\Phi)$ ), no further work is required and we can directly output the Ekedahl–Oort type.

In the Theorem that is stated in the Introduction of CDI1 the following notation is used:

- $\mathsf{S} = k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  with its natural grading
- $\mathsf{T} = \bigoplus_{m \leq -3} \mathsf{T}_m$  is the space defined in (1.1) with its natural grading.
- $\mathsf{Q} = \mathsf{T}_{-d}$
- $\mathsf{Q}' = \{\xi \in \mathsf{T}_{-2d} \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_j} \cdot \xi = 0 \text{ in } \mathsf{T}_{-d-1}, \text{ for all } j = 0, 1, 2\}$
- $\mathsf{U} = \{\xi \in \mathsf{T}_{-3d+3} \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_j} \cdot \xi = 0 \text{ in } \mathsf{T}_{-2d+2}, \text{ for all } j = 0, 1, 2\}$

The space  $U$  is 1-dimensional, and if we choose a generator  $0 \neq u \in U$  we have an isomorphism  $S_{d-3} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q'$  by  $g \mapsto g \cdot u$ . The bilinear map  $Q \times Q' \rightarrow k$  that sends  $(q, g \cdot u)$  to the coefficient of  $(X_0 X_1 X_2)^{-1}$  in  $g \cdot q$  is a perfect pairing. Let  $\theta: Q' \xrightarrow{\sim} Q^\vee$  be the associated isomorphism.

The Hasse–Witt triple that we want to compute is the triple  $(Q, \Phi, \Psi)$ , where  $\Phi: Q \rightarrow Q$  and  $\Psi: \text{Ker}(\Phi) \rightarrow Q^\vee$  are given by

$$\Phi[A] = [f^{p-1} \cdot A^p], \quad \Psi[A] = \theta[f^{p-2} \cdot A^p].$$

A basis for the space  $Q$  is given by the classes of the monomials  $m_i^{-1} \cdot (X_0 X_1 X_2)^{-1}$ , where  $m_1, \dots, m_g$  are all monomials in  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  of degree  $d-3$ . These monomials  $m_i$  are stored in a sequence called `Md`.

Next the Hasse–Witt matrix  $A(\Phi)$  with respect to this basis is calculated. First we store  $F = f^{p-2}$ . (For  $A(\Phi)$  we need  $f^{p-1}$ ; but we again need  $f^{p-2}$  later.) The matrix coefficient  $A(\Phi)_{ij}$  is the coefficient of  $m_j^p \cdot (X_0 X_1 X_2)^{(p-1)}$  in  $f^{p-1} \cdot m_i = f \cdot F \cdot m_i$ . If  $A(\Phi)$  is either invertible (ordinary case) or zero (superspecial case), we can immediately stop.

If we are not in the ordinary or superspecial case, we go on to store a basis  $\kappa = \{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_h\}$  of the kernel of the linear map  $A(\Phi): k^g \rightarrow k^g$ . For later use, note that  $k^g$  represents the space  $Q$  through the chosen basis of  $Q$ , and that a basis of the kernel of the  $\sigma$ -linear map  $\Phi: Q \rightarrow Q$  is given by the vectors  ${}^\tau \kappa_j$ , where  $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ .

Next we store bases for the spaces  $T_{-2d+2}$  and  $T_{-3d+3}$ . As explained above, we want to work with ordinary polynomials instead of Laurent polynomials; for this reason, the elements that we use are in fact  $(X_0 X_1 X_2)^{3d-3}$  times a basis.

Then we calculate the partial derivatives  $\partial f / \partial X_i$  and we compute the matrix `Multdf` which represents the linear map  $T_{-3d+3} \rightarrow T_{-2d+2}^{\oplus 3}$  given by

$$\xi \mapsto \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_0} \cdot \xi, \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_1} \cdot \xi, \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_2} \cdot \xi \right).$$

By definition,  $U$  is the kernel of this map. We choose a generator; but for the same reason as above, what we store is not a generator  $u$  of  $U$  but rather  $\tilde{u} = (X_0 X_1 X_2)^{3d-3} \cdot u$ , which in the code is called `utilde`. The elements  $m_i \cdot u$  form a basis of the space  $Q' \cong Q^\vee$  which is dual to the chosen basis  $\{m_i^{-1} \cdot (X_0 X_1 X_2)^{-1}\}$  of  $Q$ .

The final step of `HWtriple` is the calculation of the  $g \times h$  matrix  $A(\Psi)$ . If we write  $\kappa_j$  as

$$\kappa_j = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1^{(j)} \\ \vdots \\ \kappa_g^{(j)} \end{pmatrix},$$

the  $j$ th column of the matrix  $A(\Psi)$  is obtained by solving

$$A(\Psi)_{1j} \cdot m_1 \cdot u + \dots + A(\Psi)_{gj} \cdot m_g \cdot u = f^{p-2} \cdot \left( \kappa_1^{(j)} \cdot m_1^{-p} \cdot X^{-p \cdot 1} + \dots + \kappa_g^{(j)} \cdot m_g^{-p} \cdot X^{-p \cdot 1} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

(This is an equation in  $T_{-2d}$ , and  $X^{-p \cdot 1}$  means  $(X_0 X_1 X_2)^{-p}$ . Note that the  $j$ th column of the matrix  $A(\Psi)$  is the vector  $\Psi({}^\tau \kappa_j)$ ; as  $\Psi: \text{Ker}(\Phi) \rightarrow Q'$  is given by  $[A] \mapsto [f^{p-2} \cdot A^p]$ , this leads to equation (2.1) for the coefficients of  $A(\Psi)$ .)

Let  $c = (2d-1)(2d-2)/2$ , which is the number of monomials in  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  of degree  $2d-3$ , and let  $M_1, \dots, M_c$  be those monomials. Because Magma's default is to let matrices act from the right, (2.1) is written as the matrix equation

$${}^t A(\Psi) \cdot B = \kappa \cdot C, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $B$  and  $C$  are the matrices of size  $g \times c$  whose rows express the  $m_i \cdot \mathbf{u}$  (resp. the  $f^{p-2} \cdot m_i^{-p} \cdot (X_0 X_1 X_2)^{-p}$ ) as vectors with respect to the basis  $\{M_j^{-1} \cdot (X_0 X_1 X_2)^{-1}\}_{j=1, \dots, c}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{-2d}$ , and where  $\kappa$  now is the matrix of size  $h \times g$  whose rows give the vectors  $\kappa_j$ . Concretely,  $B_{ji}$  is the coefficient of  $(X_0 X_1 X_2)^{3d-4}$  in  $M_i \cdot m_j \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ , and  $C_{ji}$  is the coefficient of  $(X_0 X_1 X_2)^{p-1} \cdot m_j^p$  in  $f^{p-2} \cdot M_i$ . (Recall that  $F = f^{p-2}$  has been calculated before and that we have stored  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (X_0 X_1 X_2)^{3d-3} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ .) Then (2.2) is solved using Magma's function `IsConsistent`.

### 3. The function DieudMod

Inputs:

- A field  $k$  and a positive integer  $d$ .
- A matrix  $A(\Phi)$  of size  $g \times g$ , where  $g = (d-1)(d-2)/2$ .
- A basis  $\{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_h\}$  of the kernel of the  $k$ -linear map  $k^g \rightarrow k^g$  given by  $A(\Phi)$ .
- A matrix  $A(\Psi)$  of size  $g \times h$ .

Output:

- A matrix  $A(F)$  of size  $2g \times g$  whose columns are linearly independent.

#### 3.1 Steps that are carried out.

- (1) Find a subset  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{g-h}\} \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$  such that  $\text{Span}(e_i; i \in I)$  is a complement of  $\{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_h\}$  inside  $k^g$ .
- (2) To obtain the  $j$ th column of the matrix  $A(F)$ , write the standard base vector  $e_j$  in the form

$$e_j = \sum_{\mu=1}^{g-h} a_\mu \cdot e_{i_\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_\nu \cdot \kappa_\nu. \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$A(F)_{rj} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mu=1}^{g-h} a_\mu \cdot A(\Phi)_{r, i_\mu} & r = 1, \dots, g \\ \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_\nu \cdot A(\Psi)_{2g+1-r, \nu} & r = g+1, \dots, 2g. \end{cases}$$

**3.2 Technical comments.** The above is based on section 2.5 of CDI1. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_g$  be the standard basis of  $k^g$ . The goal is to give the matrix of  $F: M \rightarrow M$ , where  $M = Q \oplus Q^\vee$ . However,  $F$  factors through the projection  $M \rightarrow Q$ , so we only need to give the first  $g$  columns. We are identifying  $Q$  with  $k^g$  via the basis  $\{m_i^{-1} \cdot X^{-1}\}_{i=1, \dots, g}$ . The dual vector space  $Q^\vee$  is identified with  $Q'$  as in the paper (choice of  $0 \neq \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}$ ), and the dual basis of  $Q'$  is  $\{m_i \cdot \mathbf{u}\}_{i=1, \dots, g}$ . However, as a preparation for the next step we want to use  $e_1, \dots, e_g, \check{e}_g, \dots, \check{e}_1$  (note the order!) as a basis for  $M = Q \oplus Q^\vee$ .

We are choosing  $I \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$  in such a way that  $R_0 = \text{Span}(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is a complement of  ${}^\sigma R_1 := \text{Span}(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_h)$  inside  $k^g$ . Then  $R_0$  is also a complement of  $R_1 = \text{Ker}(\Psi) = \text{Span}({}^\tau \kappa_1, \dots, {}^\tau \kappa_h)$ . With notation as in (3.1),

$$e_j = \sum_{\mu=1}^{g-h} {}^\tau a_\mu \cdot e_{i_\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^h {}^\tau b_\nu \cdot {}^\tau \kappa_\nu.$$

is the decomposition of  $e_j$  corresponding to  $k^g = R_0 \oplus R_1$ . So the top half (first  $g$  coefficients) of the  $j$ th column of  $A(F)$  is given by the vector

$$\Phi\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{g-h} {}^\tau a_\mu \cdot e_{i_\mu}\right) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{g-h} a_\mu \cdot A(\Phi)_{*, i_\mu}.$$

Similarly, the lower half (last  $g$  coefficients) of the  $j$ th column of  $A(F)$  is given by putting the vector

$$\Psi\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^h {}^{\tau}b_{\nu} \cdot {}^{\tau}\kappa_{\nu}\right) = \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_{\nu} \cdot {}^{\tau}A(\Psi)_{*,\nu}$$

upside down (because we now use the order  $\check{e}_g, \dots, \check{e}_1$ ).

#### 4. The function `WeylGrElt`

Input:

- A field  $k$  and a positive integer  $d$ .
- A matrix  $A(F)$  of size  $2g \times g$  whose columns are linearly independent.

Output:

- An element  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{2g}$  (symmetric group on  $2g$  letters).

There are three parts in this procedure. In the first part (steps (1)–(3)) we are going to (partially) fill a table, whose initial state is the following:

$i$	0	1	2	$\dots$	$g-1$	$g$	$g+1$	$\dots$	$2g$
$\text{Basis}(i)$	$\emptyset$					the $g$ columns of $A(F)$			$\{e_1, \dots, e_{2g}\}$
$f(i)$	0								$g$

If  $\text{Basis}(i)$  is defined, it consists of a set of  $i$  linearly independent vectors in  $k^{2g}$ , and if  $f(i)$  is defined, it is an integer with  $0 \leq f(i) \leq i$ . (In the initial state,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2g}\}$  denotes the standard basis of  $k^{2g}$ .) The calculation involves finding the perpendiculars of certain subspaces  $W \subset k^{2g}$  with respect to the symplectic form on  $k^{2g}$  that is represented by the matrix (in block form)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ -J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $J$  denotes the anti-diagonal matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

of size  $g \times g$ .

In the second part (step (4)), we are going to define  $f(i)$  for all  $i$ . In the third part (step (5)) we are going to convert the sequence  $f$  into a permutation.

##### 4.1 Steps that are carried out.

- (1) Create a table as above.
- (2) Search for the first index  $i$  such that  $\text{Basis}(i)$  is defined, but  $f(i)$  is not yet defined. If there is no such  $i$  (in the range  $1, \dots, 2g$ ), go to step (4). If  $\text{Basis}(i) = \{b_1, \dots, b_i\}$ , calculate the vectors  $A(F)({}^{\sigma}b_j)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, i$ ), and let  $f(i)$  be the dimension of their  $k$ -linear span. Store the value  $f(i)$  in the table.
- (3) If  $\text{Basis}(f(i))$  is already defined, again do step (2). If  $\text{Basis}(f(i))$  is not yet defined, do the following:

- Among the vectors  $A(F)(\sigma b_1), \dots, A(F)(\sigma b_i)$ , find a maximal linearly independent subset, say  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{f(i)}\}$ , and store this collection as  $\text{Basis}(f(i))$ .
- Find a basis for the space

$$\text{Span}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{f(i)})^\perp = \left\{ y \in k^{2g} \mid {}^t\beta_j \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ -J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, f(i) \right\},$$

and store this as  $\text{Basis}(2g - f(i))$ .

After this, return to step (2).

- (4) If  $f(i)$  is defined for all  $i$ , go to step (5). Otherwise, find the first value  $a$  for which  $f(a)$  is still undefined, and let  $b$  be the next value for which  $f(b)$  is defined. Now assign the values  $f(a), \dots, f(b-1)$  as follows: it will be true that either  $f(a-1) = f(b)$  or that  $f(b) = f(a-1) + (b-a+1)$ ; in the first case, set  $f(a), f(a+1), \dots, f(b-1)$  all equal to  $f(a-1)$ , in the second case define  $f(i)$  for  $a \leq i < b$  by the rule  $f(i) = f(a-1) + (i-a+1)$ . Now repeat this step.
- (5) Let  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_g$  be the values in  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2g\}$  with the property that  $f(j) = f(j-1)$ . (There will be precisely  $g$  such values.) Let  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_g$  be the remaining values. Define a function  $w: \{1, 2, \dots, 2g\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2g\}$  by  $w(j_m) = m$  and  $w(i_m) = g + m$ . Now output the message: **The Ekedahl-Oort type of the curve is given by the Weyl group element**

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & g & g+1 & \dots & 2g \\ w(1) & w(2) & \dots & w(g) & w(g+1) & \dots & w(2g) \end{bmatrix}$$

(Further details in the output to be added.)

**Note.** In the Magma implementation, the index  $i$  in our table runs from 1 to  $2g+1$ , rather than from 0 to  $2g$ . So everything is shifted by 1.

**4.2 Technical comments.** In the table we keep track of the so-called canonical flag, as outlined in CDI1, Section 2.2. We build it using the operations  $F$  and  $\perp$ , so we avoid using the Verschiebung. (The result is the same.)

For the conversion to a Weyl group element, we follow [GSAS], Section 3.6. Note that the condition  $f(j) = f(j-1)$  is equivalent to saying that the sequence  $\eta$  that is considered in loc. cit. jumps at  $j$ .

## 5. References

- [GSAS] B. Moonen, Group schemes with additional structures and Weyl group cosets. In: *Moduli of Abelian Varieties* (C. Faber, G. van der Geer and F. Oort, eds.), Progress in Math. 195, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, 255–298.
- [CDI1] B. Moonen, Computing discrete invariants of varieties in positive characteristic, I. *Ekedahl-Oort types of curves*. Preprint, April 2020.

b.moonen@science.ru.nl

Radboud University Nijmegen, IMAPP, Nijmegen, The Netherlands