

# Representations of Algebraic Groups

Lecture of 22 Nov. 2016

Throughout :  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semisimple Lie algebra

$B: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  Killing form, non-degenerate

Have seen :  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  has Jordan decomposition  $X = X_s + X_n$  such that

$[X_s, X_n] = 0$  and in any repr.  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  we have:  
 $\rho(X_s)$  is semisimple,  $\rho(X_n)$  is nilpotent.

Running example :  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

Definition A toral Lie subalg.  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie subalg. consisting of semisimple elements. A maximal toral Lie subalg. is a toral Lie subalg that is not contained in a strictly bigger toral Lie subalg.

Example  $G$  alg. gp with  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  semisimple;  $T \subset G$  a torus; then  $\text{Lie}(T) \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is toral.

Example  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n \supset \mathfrak{h} = \left\{ \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid \sum a_i = 0 \right\}$  is toral.  
We will see that this  $\mathfrak{h}$  is maximal toral.

Lemma 1  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is toral  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is commutative.

Proof Take  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and let  $\varphi = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(X): \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  be the restriction of  $\text{ad}(X)$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Since  $X$  is semisimple,  $\varphi$  is diagonalizable. Let  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$  be an eigenvector, say  $\varphi(Y) = \lambda \cdot Y$ . Next consider  $\psi = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(Y): \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ . Again this is diagonalizable; choose a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_d$  for  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\psi(e_i) = \mu_i \cdot e_i$  with  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . On the one hand,  $\psi(X) = [Y, X] = -[X, Y] = -\varphi(Y) = -\lambda \cdot Y$ , and therefore  $\psi \circ \varphi(X) = \psi(-\lambda \cdot Y) = [Y, -\lambda \cdot Y] = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $X = \sum c_i e_i$  then

$\Phi(x) = \sum \mu_i^2 c_i \cdot e_i$ . This gives that  $\mu_i^2 c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Hence also  $\mu_i c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , and this gives that  $\Phi(x) = 0$ . So  $X$  and  $Y$  commute and  $\lambda = 0$ . As  $\lambda$  was an arbitrary eigenvalue of  $\Phi$  it follows that  $\Phi = 0$ , i.e.,  $X$  commutes with every element of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .  $\square$

Lemma 2 If  $V$  is a vector space /  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \text{End}(V)$  are semisimple endomorphisms that mutually commute ( $[A_i, A_j] = 0$ ) then there exists a basis for  $V$  on which all  $A_i$  simultaneously are in diagonal form.

Let  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be toral,  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  = dual vector space. Lemma 2 gives us a decomp.  
 $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ;  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{ Y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [X, Y] = \alpha(X) \cdot Y \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{h} \}$ .

By Lemma 1 we have  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ .

Observation For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we have  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ .

Immediate consequences:

- (1)  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \neq 0 \Rightarrow X$  is nilpotent
- (2) If  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  then  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \perp \mathfrak{g}_\beta$  w.r.t.  $B$
- (3)  $B|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$  is non-degenerate.

Proposition 1 If  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is maximal toral then  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ .

For the proof of this we refer to the book of Humphreys, Section 8.2.

From now on we assume  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is maximal toral. Define

$$R := \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0 \} \quad \text{roots of } \mathfrak{g} \text{ (w.r.t. } \mathfrak{h})$$

Remark We will work with a fixed maximal toral  $\mathfrak{h}$ . It can be shown that for the results we obtain it doesn't matter which  $\mathfrak{h}$  we take.

Example  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n \supset \mathfrak{h} = \{\text{diagonal matrices}\}$ . Define  $L_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  by  $L_i(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = a_i$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C} \cdot L_1 + \dots + \mathbb{C} \cdot L_n / \mathbb{C} \cdot (L_1 + \dots + L_n)$ . Let  $E_{ij}$  be the  $(i,j)$ -th elementary matrix. For  $i \neq j$  we find  $\mathbb{C} \cdot E_{ij} = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  with  $\alpha = L_i - L_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , where  $R = \{\text{all } L_i - L_j \text{ with } i \neq j\}$ . So necessarily  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ , which implies that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is maximal toral.

Corollary  $B|_{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is non-degenerate.

This gives us an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}^*$  by  $x \mapsto B(x, -)$ . In other words: given  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , there is a unique  $x \in \mathfrak{h}$  with  $\lambda = B(x, -)$ .

We now start deriving some properties of the set of roots:

Proposition 2 If  $\alpha \in R$  then  $-\alpha \in R$ , and the elements of  $R$  span  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

Proof Let  $0 \neq x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . There exists an element  $y \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $B(x, y) \neq 0$ . Also  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\beta \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\beta \right)$ ; write  $y = y_0 + \sum_{\beta \in R} y_\beta$ .

Then  $B(x, y) = B(x, y_{-\alpha})$  because  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \perp \mathfrak{g}_\beta$  if  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ . So  $y_{-\alpha} \neq 0$ , and therefore  $-\alpha \in R$ .

If  $\langle R \rangle \subsetneq \mathfrak{h}^*$ , there exists a  $0 \neq h \in \mathfrak{h}$  with  $\alpha(h) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in R$ . This gives  $[h, x] = \alpha(h) \cdot x = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in R$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ .

Also  $[h, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ , because  $\mathfrak{h}$  is abelian. (Lemma 1)

So  $h \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ . But  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple  $\Rightarrow Z(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ ,  $\square$ .

Theorem Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (i)  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = 1$
- (ii) The subspace  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha = [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$  is 1-dimensional and contains a unique element  $t_\alpha$  with  $\alpha(t_\alpha) = 2$ .
- (iii) If  $o \neq X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , there exists a unique element  $Y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  such that  $S_\alpha := \langle Y_\alpha, t_\alpha, X_\alpha \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie subalgebra that is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  under  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto Y_\alpha, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto t_\alpha, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto X_\alpha$ .

Proof Step 1 We have  $o \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  so there is a unique  $o \neq t_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$  with  $\alpha(H) = B(t_\alpha, H)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ . If  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  then  $[X, Y] \in \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ , so for  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$  we have  $B(H, [X, Y]) = B([H, X], Y) = B(\alpha(H) \cdot X, Y) = \alpha(H) \cdot B(X, Y)$ . It follows that  $[X, Y] = B(X, Y) \cdot t_\alpha$  and hence  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{C} \cdot t_\alpha$ .

Step 2 Given  $o \neq X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , choose  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  with  $B(X, Y) = 1$ , and hence  $[X, Y] = t_\alpha$ . Claim:  $\alpha(t_\alpha) \neq o$ . To see this, suppose  $\alpha(t_\alpha) = o$ . Consider  $n = \mathbb{C} \cdot Y + \mathbb{C} \cdot t_\alpha + \mathbb{C} \cdot X$ , which is a nilpotent Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $[n, n] = \mathbb{C} \cdot t_\alpha$  and  $[t_\alpha, n] = o$ . As  $t_\alpha \in [n, n]$  this implies (e.g., using Lie's thm on solvable Lie algebras) that for any representation  $\rho: n \rightarrow \text{op}(V)$  the endomorphism  $\rho(t_\alpha)$  is nilpotent. In particular,  $\text{ad}_g(t_\alpha)$  is nilpotent. But also  $\text{ad}_g(t_\alpha)$  is semisimple. So  $\text{ad}_g(t_\alpha) = o$ , hence  $t_\alpha = o$ , contradiction.

Step 3 By rescaling  $Y$  we may now assume that  $t_\alpha := [X, Y]$  satisfies  $\alpha(t_\alpha) = 2$ . (More precisely:  $t_\alpha = \frac{2}{\alpha(t_\alpha)} \cdot t_\alpha$ .) Then  $[X, Y] = t_\alpha$ ,  $[H, X] = \alpha(H) \cdot X = 2X$  and  $[H, Y] = -\alpha(H) \cdot Y = -2Y$ , and we see that  $S_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ .

Final step Fix  $\alpha \in R$ . We show that  $\dim(g_{-\alpha}) = 1$ . If  $\dim(g_{-\alpha}) > 1$  then there is a  $0 \neq Z \in g_{-\alpha}$  with  $B(X_\alpha, Z) = 0$ . By Step 1:  $[X_\alpha, Z] = 0$ . So  $Z$  is a highest weight vector for the action of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  on  $g_j$ . But  $[H_\alpha, Z] = -2Z$ , which is not possible for a highest weight vector.  $\square$

Example  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ ,  $R \ni \alpha = L_i - L_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ), then we can take

$$Y_\alpha = E_{ji}, \quad H_\alpha = H_{ij} := E_{ii} - E_{jj}, \quad X_\alpha = E_{ij}.$$

Remark For  $\alpha \in R$  the element  $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$  does not depend on choices. We have  $H_{-\alpha} = -H_\alpha$ . The element  $X \in g_\alpha$  may be rescaled by a factor  $c \neq 0$ ; the corresponding  $Y_\alpha$  is then rescaled by  $\bar{c}^{-1}$ .

Corollary For  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$  we have

$$B(X, Y) = \sum_{\gamma \in R} \gamma(X) \cdot \gamma(Y). \quad (*)$$

Proof Write  $R = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}$  and choose a generator  $e_i$  for  $g_{\alpha_i}$ . Let  $e_{N+1}, \dots, e_M$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then  $e_1, \dots, e_M$  is a basis for  $g_j$ , and for  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  the matrix of  $\text{adj}(X)$  on this basis is

$$\text{diag}(\alpha_1(X), \dots, \alpha_N(X), 0, \dots, 0).$$

$\square$

Consider finite dimensional repr.  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . By Lemmas 1 and 2:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\lambda \quad \text{with} \quad V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid H(v) = \lambda(H) \cdot v \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Also:  $g_\alpha(V_\lambda) \subseteq V_{\alpha+\lambda}$  for all  $\alpha \in \{0\} \cup R$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ .

If  $V_\lambda \neq 0$  then we call  $\lambda$  a weight of  $V$ .

Fix  $\alpha \in R$ , and let  $S_\alpha = \langle Y_\alpha, H_\alpha, X_\alpha \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be as before. We have  $\mathbb{C} \cdot H_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{h}$ ; this induces a projection  $p_\alpha : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda(H_\alpha)$ .

If  $\lambda$  is a weight of  $V$  then  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda+i\alpha}$  is an  $S_\alpha$ -submodule of  $V$ . It follows that the multiset of all  $\lambda+i\alpha (H_\alpha) = \lambda(H_\alpha) + 2i$ , counted with multiplicities  $\dim(V_{\lambda+i\alpha})$  is the multiset of weights of an  $sl_2$ -representation:

- $\lambda(H_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- the multiset is symmetrical under  $k \leftrightarrow -k$
- If  $\lambda+i\alpha$  and  $\lambda+j\alpha$  are weights and  $0 \leq \lambda(H_\alpha) + 2i \leq \lambda(H_\alpha) + 2j$  then  $\dim(V_{\lambda+i\alpha}) \geq \dim(V_{\lambda+j\alpha})$ .

Corollary For all  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  we have  $\alpha(H_\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proposition Let  $\alpha \in R$ . Then the only multiples of  $\alpha$  in  $R$  are  $\pm \alpha$ .

Proof Suppose  $c\alpha \in R$ . Then  $2c = c\alpha(H_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ .

We have  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_\beta) = 1$  for all  $\beta \in R$ . It follows that the  $S_\alpha$ -submodule  $V \subset \mathfrak{g}$  spanned by  $\mathfrak{g}_{c\alpha}$  is irreducible and contains all  $\mathfrak{g}_{k\alpha}$  for  $k \in \{-c, -c+1, \dots, c\} \setminus \{0\}$ . (w.l.o.g.,  $c > 0$ .) On the other hand,  $S_\alpha = \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} + \mathbb{C} \cdot H_\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is itself an  $S_\alpha$ -submodule of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  it follows that  $c=1$ . In particular,  $2\alpha \notin R$ . Hence also  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin R$ . Coming back to the submodule  $V$ , it follows that  $c \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$  is impossible.  $\square$

Next we look at a root  $\beta \in R$  with  $\beta \neq \pm\alpha$ .

Proposition Let  $\beta \in R \setminus \{\pm\alpha\}$ . Then the roots of the form  $\beta + i\alpha$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) form a progression  $\beta - p\alpha, \beta + (1-p)\alpha, \dots, \beta, \dots, \beta + (q-1)\alpha, \beta + q\alpha$  for some  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and  $B(H_\alpha) = p - q$ .

Proof We know that  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+i\alpha}$  is an  $S_\alpha$ -submodule of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\beta+i\alpha}) \leq 1$  for all  $i$ . This gives the first assertion. Further, there is an integer  $n$  with  $B - p\alpha(H_\alpha) = \beta(H_\alpha) - 2p = -n$  and  $\beta + q\alpha(H_\alpha) = \beta(H_\alpha) + 2q = n$ ; this gives  $\beta(H_\alpha) = p - q$ .  $\square$

As we have seen,  $\mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}^*$  by  $x \mapsto B(x, -)$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , let  $t_\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$  be the unique element with  $\lambda = B(t_\lambda, -)$ . We can now transfer the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}$  to a symmetric bilinear form

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\text{by } (\lambda, \mu) = B(t_\lambda, t_\mu) = \lambda(t_\mu).$$

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ . We have :

- $B(H_\alpha, H_\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $B(H_\alpha, H_\alpha) > 0$  : use  $(*)$  plus the previous Corollary
- $B(t_\alpha, H_\beta) = \alpha(H_\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $H_\alpha = \frac{2}{\alpha(t_\alpha)} \cdot t_\alpha$ .

Combining these, we find that  $\alpha(t_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and hence :

$$(\alpha, \beta) = B(t_\alpha, t_\beta) = \frac{\alpha(t_\alpha) \cdot \beta(t_\beta)}{4}, \quad B(H_\alpha, H_\beta) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Remark :

$$(\lambda, \mu) = B(t_\lambda, t_\mu) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{\alpha \in R} \alpha(t_\lambda) \cdot \alpha(t_\mu) = \sum_{\alpha \in R} (\alpha, \lambda) \cdot (\alpha, \mu). \quad (**)$$

Proposition Let  $E_Q \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear span of the roots  $\alpha \in R$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(E_Q) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ .

Equivalent :

- The canonical map  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_Q \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$  is an isomorphism.

or:

- Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in R$  be a basis for  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . If  $\beta \in R$  is written as  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \cdot \alpha_i$  then  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

Proof We use the last formulation. For any  $i$  we have:

$$(\beta, \alpha_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \cdot (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$$

This gives a system of  $r$  linear equations in the unknowns  $c_i$ , which has a unique solution, since the  $\alpha_i$  span  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  and the form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  is non-degenerate. As  $(\beta, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Q}$  for all  $i$ , we get  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . \(\square\)

Note:  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  restricts to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued form on  $E_Q$  with

$$(\lambda, \lambda) > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in E_Q \setminus \{0\} \text{ by (**) .}$$

In what follows we will mostly work inside

$$E = \mathbb{R}\text{-linear span of } R = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_Q$$

on which  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an inner product.

For  $\alpha \in R$ , let  $s_{\alpha}: E \rightarrow E$  be the orthogonal reflection in the hyperplane  $(\mathbb{R} \cdot \alpha)^{\perp} = \{y \in E \mid y(\#_{\alpha}) = 0\}$ . Concretely:

$$s_{\alpha}: x \longmapsto x - \frac{2(\alpha, x)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \cdot \alpha$$

(Of course,  $s_{\alpha}^2 = \text{id.}$ )

Theorem The reflections  $s_\alpha$  map  $R \subset E$  into itself.

Proof Let  $\beta \in R$ . Let  $\beta - p\alpha, \dots, \beta + q\alpha$  be the  $\alpha$ -string through  $\beta$ , and recall that  $\beta(t_\alpha) = p-q$ . Now:

$$\begin{aligned}s_\alpha(\beta) &= \beta - \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \cdot \alpha \\&= \beta - \frac{2\beta(t_\alpha)}{\alpha(t_\alpha)} \cdot \alpha \\&= \beta - \frac{2\beta(t_\alpha)}{\alpha(t_\alpha)} \cdot \alpha = \beta - (p-q) \cdot \alpha\end{aligned}$$

and this is indeed one of the roots in the  $\alpha$ -string.  $\square$

Corollary The subgroup  $W \subset GL(E)$  generated by the  $s_\alpha$  is finite.

Pf By the theorem  $W \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(R)$ , the permutation group of  $R$ .

As  $R$  spans  $E$ , this homomorphism is injective.  $\square$

The group  $W$  is called the Weyl group of  $R$ .

What we have proven means that  $R \subset E$  is a root system. The main point of this for us is:

- Root systems can be classified.
- A semisimple Lie algebra is fully determined by the associated root system.