

# Number Fields

Errata, version 2023-1  
update September 16, 2025

- page 52 line -14:  $p = 2$  and  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , in which case  $p$  ramifies.
- page 64 exercise 18: with  $p \nmid \text{disc}(f)$ . Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Show that
- page 64 exercise 19: and  $p^2 \nmid \text{disc}(f)$ . Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Prove that the ideal
- page 86 line 16:  $b^2 + 4a(-c) = D$
- page 127 line 13:  $(\psi(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \psi(\varepsilon_{r+s-1}))$
- page 142 exercises 5 and 6: Add: Put  $P = \max(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ . Replace  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$  by  $K_P$  and  $K_P^*$  respectively.
- page 142 exercise 8: Dedekind domains
- page 152 line 1:  $3\gamma + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^L(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3] + \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] + \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3\alpha] + \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3^2\alpha]$
- page 209 line -7: is the next lemma.
- page 320 line -1: Delete the  $\{$ : ... for all  $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{m}_0$ , (is followed by an unfortunate page break.)
- page 479 line 4: if  $\sigma \in U$
- page 479 line -10: 
$$\sum_{\substack{H^* \in \mathcal{Y}(G) \\ H^* \supseteq H}}$$
- page 485: a more detailed proof of Lemma 18.37:

PROOF. By Proposition 18.15

$$\sum_{U \in \Sigma(G)} n_U(Z \cap U) \in \text{NR}(Z).$$

For  $V \in \Sigma(Z)$  put  $m_V = \sum_{\substack{U \in \Sigma(G) \\ Z \cap U = V}} n_U$ . Then by Lemma 18.33 for each  $d \mid (Z : T)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\substack{V \in \Sigma(Z) \\ (VT:T)=d}} m_V \#(V) = \sum_{\substack{V \in \Sigma(Z) \\ (V:(V:T))=d}} \sum_{\substack{U \in \Sigma(G) \\ Z \cap U = V}} n_U \#(V) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{U \in \Sigma(G) \\ (Z \cap U):(T \cap U)=d}} n_U \#(Z \cap U). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

- page 485 line -9: of **right** cosets of  $U$  in  $G$ .
- page 485 line -8: a partition of  $U \setminus G$  into orbits of cosets.
- page 488: The proof of Proposition 18.43 refers to Theorem 18.34. However, this theorem applies only to abelian groups. For the following proof this condition is not needed.

PROOF. For  $U \in \Sigma(G)$  we have  $r_U + 2s_U = [L^U : \mathbb{Q}]$ . So

$$\sum_{U \in \Sigma(G)} n_U (r_U + 2s_U) \#(U) = \sum_{U \in \Sigma(G)} n_U [L^U : \mathbb{Q}] [L : L^U] = [L : \mathbb{Q}] \sum_{U \in \Sigma(G)} n_U = 0$$

In the proof of Theorem 7.53 the splitting of a prime ideal in an intermediate field of a Galois extension is used. This applies equally well to the splitting of infinite primes. Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be an infinite prime of  $L$ . Note that for infinite primes the inertia groups coincide with the decomposition groups. In Theorem 18.38 it is shown that  $\sum_U n_U \#(U) t_{\mathfrak{p},U} = 0$ , where  $t_U$  is the number of prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{L^U}$  above a given prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  with a given residue class degree. For infinite primes the same holds, all residue class degrees being 1. Summation over all infinite primes of  $K$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \in \Sigma(G)} n_U \#(U) (r_U + s_U) &= \sum_{U \in \Sigma(G)} n_U \#(U) \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(K)} t_{\mathfrak{p},U} \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(K)} \sum_{U \in \Sigma(G)} n_U \#(U) t_{\mathfrak{p},U} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$