

Comment on “What is a Gauge Transformation in Quantum Mechanics?”

In a recent Letter [1], Rovelli addresses a technical issue in constrained quantization which is of great potential importance for quantum gravity, Yang-Mills theories, and other fundamental theories. Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space of unconstrained states of a quantum theory with quantized first-class constraints C . Rovelli defines two vectors ψ and ϕ in \mathcal{H} to be related by a “complete gauge transformation” when $\psi - \phi \in L$, where $L \subset \mathcal{H}$ consists of all vectors of the form $\sum_i [\exp(it_i C) - 1] \rho_i$, $\rho_i \in \mathcal{H}$. He then proposes that the physical Hilbert space be $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ph}} = \mathcal{H}/L$, and he shows that when \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional, \mathcal{H}_{ph} is the same as the physical state space defined in Dirac’s well-known theory of constrained quantization.

Rovelli’s analysis of the situation in which \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional is based on his remark that “In infinite dimensions, the orthogonal complement L_\perp of a subspace L may be trivial even if L is smaller than \mathcal{H} . But \mathcal{H}/L exists nevertheless...” This is true when L is not closed, but it is not clear what the inner product on \mathcal{H}/L should be in that case, and how it is to be completed so as to become a Hilbert space.

I here wish to point out that the infinite-dimensional case may be handled [2] by modifying the inner product $\langle | \rangle$ on \mathcal{H} (which is positive definite) into a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form $\langle | \rangle_0$, which in the infinite-dimensional case is defined only on a suitable dense subspace \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{H} . This form has a nontrivial null space $\mathcal{N} = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle \psi | \psi \rangle_0 = 0 \}$, in terms of which the physical state space of the constrained system is the

closure of \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{N} in the inner product inherited from $\langle | \rangle_0$. When the dimension of \mathcal{H} is finite, the space \mathcal{N} coincides with Rovelli’s L (this is immediate if one combines the theorem on p. 4614 of [1] with the analysis in section 1.3 of [3]).

In addition, one would like to specify the action of physical observables on \mathcal{H}_{ph} ; recall that a Hilbert space as such conveys practically no physical information, since all Hilbert spaces of the same dimension are isomorphic. One may proceed by (i) defining a weak physical observable as an operator on \mathcal{H} satisfying the modified Hermiticity condition $\langle \psi | A | \varphi \rangle_0 = \langle \varphi | A | \psi \rangle_0^*$; (ii) noting that this implies that A maps \mathcal{N} into itself; (iii) concluding that A induces a well-defined operator A_{ph} on \mathcal{H}_{ph} . In cases that are well understood, this procedure indeed turns out to yield the correct physical quantum observables [3].

N. P. Landsman

Korteweg–de Vries Institute for Mathematics
University of Amsterdam, NL-1018 TV Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Received 11 August 1998

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ca, 11.15.–q

- [1] C. Rovelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4613 (1998); gr-qc/9711028; Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4530(E) (1998).
- [2] N. P. Landsman, Classical Quantum Gravity **12**, L119 (1995); gr-qc/9510033.
- [3] N. P. Landsman and K. K. Wren, Nucl. Phys. **B502**, 537 (1997); hep-th/9706178.