

M.A. Lopuhaä

Field Topologies on Algebraic Extensions of Finite Fields

Bachelorscriptie, 24 juni 2011

Scriptiebegeleiders: dr. K.P. Hart, prof.dr. H.W. Lenstra



Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Leiden

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Approximations of local bases	3
2.1	Definitions	3
2.2	Expanding approximations	4
2.3	Making topologies	6
3	Topologies with continuous automorphisms	7
3.1	Definition and basic properties	7
3.2	Expanding approximations	8
4	A field topology with nontrivial subfield topologies	9
	References	11

1 Introduction

Definition 1.1. Let K be a field, and \mathcal{T} a topology on K . We call \mathcal{T} a *field topology* if the maps

$$\begin{aligned} K \times K &\rightarrow K : (x, y) \mapsto x + y, \\ K \times K &\rightarrow K : (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y, \\ K^* &\rightarrow K^* : x \mapsto x^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

are continuous, in which $K \times K$ is given the product topology and K^* the subspace topology.

In this thesis, we will be using methods developed by Podewski [1] to prove that any infinite countable field F admits exactly $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ field topologies. In the case of an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , we can ensure that all automorphisms are continuous with respect to these topologies. Furthermore, we will show that there exists a field topology on this algebraic closure such that the subspace topology on every infinite subfield is neither discrete nor antidiscrete. This raises the question whether such a topology exists such that all automorphisms are continuous as well.

2 Approximations of local bases

This section largely reviews material from [1].

2.1 Definitions

Definition 2.1. Let K be a countably infinite field. Consider the following functions:

- $\zeta : \mathcal{P}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K) : X \mapsto X - X = \{x - y : x, y \in X\}$;
- $\eta : \mathcal{P}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K) : X \mapsto X \cdot X$;
- $\theta : \mathcal{P}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K) : X \mapsto \frac{X}{1 - (X \setminus \{1\})}$;
- $\xi_A : \mathcal{P}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K) : X \mapsto A \cdot X$, one for every $A \in K^*$.

A *family* in K is a subset \mathcal{A} of $[K]^{<\omega}$ such that every $a \in K$ is in some $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, the sets $A \cup B$, $\xi_B(A)$ and $\phi(A)$ are also in \mathcal{A} , for all $\phi \in \{\zeta, \eta, \theta\}$. Given a family \mathcal{A} in K , as \mathcal{A} is countably infinite, we can choose a sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in $\{\zeta, \eta, \theta\} \cup \{\xi_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ such that every element occurs infinitely often (we employ the set-theoretic notation $\omega = \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$). Occasionally, we will extend ϕ_n to a function $\phi_n : \mathcal{P}(K(X_1, \dots, X_l)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K(X_1, \dots, X_l))$, for some integer l . We denote $d(n) = |\{k \leq n : \phi_k \in \{\zeta, \eta, \theta\}\}|$.

Example 2.2. For any field K , the collection of finite subsets of K is a family in K . Also, if $K \subset L$ is an extension and \mathcal{A} is a family in L , then $\{A \cap K : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a family in K .

Lemma 2.3. Let $(V_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of subsets of K such that, for every $n \in \omega$:

- $0 \in V_n$;
- $1 \notin V_n$;
- $V_{n+1} \subset V_n$;
- $\phi_n(V_{n+1}) \subset V_n$.

Then $\{x + V_n : x \in K, n \in \omega\}$ is the base for a field topology on K .

We omit the straightforward proof.

Definition 2.4. An *approximation of a local base at 0*, or briefly an *approximation*, is a function $f : \omega \cup \{-1\} \rightarrow [K]^{<\omega}$ (the set of finite subsets of K) such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $0 \in f(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$;
2. $1 \in f(-1)$;
3. $f(n) \cap f(-1) = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \omega$;
4. $f(n+1) \subset f(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$;
5. $\phi_n(f(n+1)) \subset f(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$.

The set of all approximations is denoted \mathfrak{P} . The set of all approximations whose image is in a given family \mathcal{A} is denoted $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

For two approximations f and f' we define $f' \leq f$ if $f'(n) \subset f(n)$ for every $n \in \omega \cup \{-1\}$; this defines a partial order on \mathfrak{P} .

Lemma 2.5. *Let C be a chain in \mathfrak{P} , and for $n \in \omega$, define $V_n^C = \bigcup_{f \in C} f(n)$. Then*

$$\{x + V_n^C : x \in K, n \in \omega\} \quad (2.6)$$

is the basis of a field topology on K .

Again, the proof is fairly straightforward, so we omit it.

2.2 Expanding approximations

In this section, we describe the conditions under which approximations may be expanded in a way that will suit us in the coming sections.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $K \subset L$ be two fields, with \mathcal{A} and $(\phi_k)_{k \in \omega}$ defined in L . Let $f \in \mathfrak{P}_{\{A: A \in \mathcal{A}, A \subset K\}}$ and let $n \in \omega \cup \{-1\}$, such that $\phi_k \in \{\zeta, \eta, \theta\} \cup \{\xi_A : A \in \mathcal{A}, A \subset K\}$ for $k < n$. Then there exist $l \in \omega$ and a finite set $G \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_l]$ such for every finite subset $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the following are equivalent:*

1. *There exists an approximation $f' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $f \leq f'$, and $A \subset f'(n)$, and $f(m) = f'(m)$ for all $m > n$ and for $m = -1$ if $n \neq -1$.*
2. *none of the polynomials in G has a zero in A^l .*

Furthermore, if $n \in \omega$, then l and G can be chosen to be such that $l \leq 2^{d(n)}$ and every $g \in G$ is of degree $\leq 2^{d(n)}$.

Proof. For $n = -1$, given f , let $l = 1$ and $G = \{X_1 - \alpha : \alpha \in f(0)\} \in K[X_1]$. Then every function as in 1 must satisfy $f' \geq f''$, where the function $f'' : \omega \cup \{-1\} \rightarrow [L]^{<\omega}$ given by

$$f''(m) := \begin{cases} f(-1) \cup A, & \text{if } m = -1; \\ f(m), & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f(-1) \cup A \in \mathcal{A}$, so $f'' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $A \cap f(0) = \emptyset$, which is true if and only if g has no zeroes in A .

For $n \in \omega$ we use induction on n to prove the stronger statement that G and l can be found with the properties in the lemma such that $X_i - 1 \in G$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. The proof for $n = 0$ is the same to that of $n = -1$, using $l = 1$ and $G = \{X_1 - \alpha : \alpha \in f(-1)\}$; then indeed $l = 1 \leq 2^{d(0)} = 1$, and $X_1 - 1 \in G$. Now assume the theorem holds for n , and let $l \in \omega$ be an integer and G a set of polynomials, satisfying the conditions of the theorem. We find a l' and G' that work for $n+1$.

If $\phi_n = \xi_B$ for some $B \subset K$, then look at the set

$$G' = \{g(h_1, \dots, h_l) : g \in G, h_i \in \{X_i\} \cup f(n+1) \cup \xi_B(\{X_i\} \cup f(n+1))\} \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_{2l}].$$

Then by the induction hypothesis, $l \leq 2^{d(n)} = 2^{d(n+1)}$, and every polynomial in G' is of degree at most $2^{d(n)} = 2^{d(n+1)}$; furthermore, for all $1 \leq i \leq l$, $X_i - 1 \in G \subset G'$. Note that for a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$, no polynomial in G' has a zero in A^l if and only if no polynomial in G has a zero in $(A \cup f(n+1) \cup \xi_B(A \cup f(n+1)))^l$.

If $\phi_n = \zeta$ or $\phi_n = \eta$, then we take

$$G' = \{g(h_1, \dots, h_l) : g \in G, h_i \in \{X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}\} \cup f(n+1) \cup \phi_n(\{X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}\} \cup f(n+1))\}.$$

Note that G' is a subset of $K[X_1, \dots, X_{2l}]$, and that here we have polynomials in $2l \leq 2^{d(n)+1} = 2^{d(n+1)}$ variables of degree at most $2 \cdot 2^{d(n)} \leq 2^{d(n+1)}$; also, it is easy to see that for all $1 \leq i \leq 2l$, the polynomial $X_i - 1$ is in G' . Again, for a set $A \subset K$, no polynomial in G' has a zero in A^l if and only if no polynomial in G has a zero in $(A \cup f(n+1) \cup \phi_n(A \cup f(n+1)))^l$.

If $\phi_n = \theta$, then define

$$G'' = \{g(h_1, \dots, h_l) : g \in G, h_i \in \{X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}\} \cup f(n+1) \cup \theta(\{X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}\} \cup f(n+1))\}.$$

Note that G'' is a subset of $K(X_1, \dots, X_{2l})$. If we write the elements of G'' in the form j/h , with $j, h \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{2l}]$ without common factors, we take $G' = \{j : \exists h \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{2l}] \text{ such that } j/h \in G'' \text{ and } \gcd(j, h) = 1\}$. We will show that for every $(a_1, \dots, a_{2l}) \in K^{2l}$, one has $j(a_1, \dots, a_{2l}) = 0$ for some $j \in G'$ if and only if there is some $g \in G''$ such that $g(a_1, \dots, a_{2l})$ is defined and equal to 0. The ‘if’ part of the statement is obvious; as for the ‘only if’ part, if $j \in G'$ and $j(a_1, \dots, a_{2l}) = 0$, and h is such that $j/h \in G''$ and $\gcd(j, h) = 1$, then either $h(a_1, \dots, a_{2l}) = 0$, or $(j/h)(a_1, \dots, a_{2l})$ is defined and equal to 0. If we write $j/h = g(h_1, \dots, h_{2l})$ for some $g \in G''$ and $h(a_1, \dots, a_{2l}) = 0$, then some $h_i(a_1, \dots, a_{2l})$ must be undefined. This is possible only if $h_i = \theta(X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}) = \frac{X_{2i-1}}{1-X_{2i}}$ or $h_i = \frac{x}{1-X_{2i}}$ for some $x \in f(n+1)$. Either way, a_{2i} must be equal to 1. As $X_i - 1 \in G$, our construction ensures that $X_{2i} - 1 \in G'$, so (a_1, \dots, a_{2l}) is a zero of the defined $X_{2i} - 1 \in G'$. Note that here we have polynomials in $2l \leq 2^{d(n)+1} = 2^{d(n+1)}$ variables of degree at most $2 \cdot 2^{d(n)} \leq 2^{d(n+1)}$.

Now we will show that for the set G' , the statements 1 and 2 are equivalent. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that no function in G' has a zero in A^l ; hence no polynomial in G has any zeroes in $(A \cup f(n+1) \cup \phi_n(A \cup f(n+1)))^l$. Since this set is in \mathcal{A} , by the induction hypothesis there exists an approximation $f'' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $f'' \geq f$ and $A \cup f(n+1) \cup \phi_n(A \cup f(n+1)) \subset f''(n)$, and $f(m) = f''(m)$ for all $m > n$ and for $m = -1$. Now consider the function $f' : \omega \cup \{-1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$f'(m) := \begin{cases} f''(n+1) \cup A, & \text{if } m = n+1; \\ f''(m), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is an approximation in $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ which satisfies $A \subset f'(n+1)$ and $f(m) = f'(m)$ for all $m > n+1$ and for $m = -1$.

Now let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be finite such that there exists an approximation $f' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $f \leq f'$ and $A \subset f'(n+1)$ and $f'(m) = f(m)$ for all $m > n$ and for $m = -1$. Consider the function $f'' : \omega \cup \{-1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$f''(m) = \begin{cases} f(n+1), & \text{if } m = n+1; \\ f'(m), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is an approximation which satisfies $A \cup f(n+1) \cup \phi_n(A \cup f(n+1)) \subset f''(n)$, and $f''(m) = f(m)$ for all $m > n+1$ and for $m = -1$. By the induction hypothesis no polynomial in G has any zeroes in $(A \cup f(n+1) \cup \phi_n(A \cup f(n+1)))^l \subset (f''(n))^l$; but this means precisely that no polynomial in G' has any zeroes in A^l . \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let f, n, G be as in the previous theorem. If $\{0\} \in \mathcal{A}$, then for all $g \in G$, the value $g(0, \dots, 0)$ is unequal to 0.*

Proof. This follows from the previous theorem and the fact that there is an approximation f' satisfying 1 of the previous theorem for $A = \{0\}$, namely $f' = f$. \square

2.3 Making topologies

Lemma 2.8. *Using the family $\mathcal{A} = [K]^{<\omega}$, let f be an approximation in K , and let $n \in \omega \cup \{-1\}$. Then for almost all $r \in K$ (that is, for all $r \in K$ except for a finite subset), there exists an approximation $f' \geq f$ such that $r \in f'(n)$ and $f(m) = f'(m)$ for all $m > n$ and for $m = -1$ if $n \neq -1$.*

Proof. By theorem 2.6, using $L = K$, there exists a finite set of polynomials $G \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_l]$ such that there exists an approximation f' satisfying the theorem if and only if for all $g \in G$, g has no zero in $\{r\}^l$. This is true if and only if $g(r, r, \dots, r) \neq 0$ for all $g \in G$. Because $\{0\} \in \mathcal{A}$, one has $g(0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$, one has $g(X, \dots, X) \neq 0$, and hence every $g(X, \dots, X)$ has only a finite number of zeroes. \square

Now we can use the approximations to make field topologies on K . We regard every nonnegative integer as the set of its predecessors: $n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Furthermore, for two sets A and B we use the notation ${}^A B$ for the set of functions from A to B , and ${}^{<\omega} A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} {}^n A$. For every $s \in {}^{<\omega} 2$ we recursively define an approximation f^s such that for every $n \geq 1$ and $s \in {}^n 2$,

$$f^{s \upharpoonright n-1} \leq f^s,$$

where $s \upharpoonright n-1$ denotes the restriction of s to $n-1 = \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$, and

$$f^s(-1) \cap \bigcap_{t \in {}^n 2 \setminus \{s\}} f^t(n) \neq \emptyset. \quad (2.2)$$

For \emptyset , the unique element of ${}^0 2$, we define $f^\emptyset : \omega \cup \{-1\} \rightarrow [K]^{<\omega}$ by

$$f^\emptyset(m) = \begin{cases} \{1\}, & \text{if } m = -1; \\ \{0\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let $n > 0$, and assume we have defined f^s for all $s \in {}^{n-1} 2$. Let $\{s_1, \dots, s_{2^n}\}$ be an ordering of ${}^n 2$. Because of lemma 2.8 there exists an element $\alpha \in K$ such that for every $k \leq 2^n$ there exist $f_1^{s_k} \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that

$$f^{s_k \upharpoonright n-1} \leq f_1^{s_k} \text{ for all } k \leq 2^n \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\alpha \in f_1^{s_1}(-1) \cap \bigcap_{2 \leq k \leq 2^n} f_1^{s_k}(n).$$

Now analogously define recursively for every $2 \leq m \leq 2^n$, for every $k \leq 2^n$ a function $f_m^{s_k} \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that

$$f_{m-1}^{s_k} \leq f_m^{s_k} \text{ for all } k \leq 2^n$$

and

$$f_k^{s_k}(-1) \cap \bigcap_{h \neq k} f_k^{s_h}(n) \neq \emptyset.$$

Take $f^{s_k} = f_{2^n}^{s_k}$; then f^s satisfies (2.2) for every $s \in {}^n 2$. For $x \in \omega 2$, define $C_x = \{f^{x \upharpoonright n} : n \in \omega\}$. This is a chain of approximations, and hence defines a field topology \mathcal{T}_x on K . For a subset $X \subset \omega 2$, define the field topology $\mathcal{T}_X = \bigvee_{x \in X} \mathcal{T}_x$, the coarsest topology such that all the open sets of all the \mathcal{T}_x are open; this is again a field topology. In any topology such that all the sets of \mathcal{T}_g are open for all $g \in X$, finite intersections of open sets from different \mathcal{T}_g are also open. Therefore, \mathcal{T}_X is the topology generated by elements of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i$, with n some integer and every U_i open in some \mathcal{T}_g . Since the collection of these sets is closed under intersection, these elements actually constitute a basis of \mathcal{T}_X .

Lemma 2.9. *Let $X, Y \subset \omega 2$ be different. Then $\mathcal{T}_X \neq \mathcal{T}_Y$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that we can choose $h \in X \setminus Y$. Using the notation of **2.5**, $V_0^{C_h} \cap V_{-1}^{C_h}$ is empty, so one has $0 \notin \overline{V_{-1}^{C_h}}$ in \mathcal{T}_X . A basis element of \mathcal{T}_Y is of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^m g_i(n_i)$, with $n_i \in \omega$ and $g_i \in Y$. Let $n \in \omega$ be such that $n \geq n_i$ for all i and such that $h \upharpoonright n$ differs from all $g_i \upharpoonright n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\subsetneq f^{h \upharpoonright n}(-1) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m f^{g_i \upharpoonright n}(n) \\ &\subset f^{h \upharpoonright n}(-1) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m f^{g_i \upharpoonright n}(n_i) \\ &\subset V_{-1}^{C_h} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m V_{n_i}^{C_{g_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $0 \in \overline{V_{-1}^{C_h}}$ in \mathcal{T}_Y , and hence $\mathcal{T}_X \neq \mathcal{T}_Y$. \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let K be a countable field. Then there exist exactly $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ field topologies on K .*

Proof. By lemma **2.10**, there exist at least $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ field topologies on K . Because a topology is a set of subsets of K , this is also the maximum number. \square

3 Topologies with continuous automorphisms

3.1 Definition and basic properties

Definition 3.1. Let K be an algebraic extension of a countable field F , and A a subset of K . We call A *stable under* $\text{Aut}_F(K)$ if $\sigma[A] \subset A$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_F(K)$. If f is an approximation, then f is said to be *stable under* $\text{Aut}_F(K)$ if $f(n)$ is stable under $\text{Aut}_F(K)$ for every $n \in \omega \cup \{-1\}$.

The reason for looking at these approximations is stated without proof in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let C be a chain of approximations that are stable under $\text{Aut}_F(K)$. Then the action $\text{Aut}_F(K) \times K \rightarrow K : (\sigma, x) \mapsto \sigma(x)$ is continuous, where $\text{Aut}_F(K)$ is given the Krull topology (see [2], p21) and K the topology induced by C .*

Again, we omit the simple proof.

Definition 3.3. Let \mathbf{F}_q be a finite field, and let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{F}_q}$, an algebraic closure of \mathbf{F}_q . The *degree* of α is defined by

$$\deg \alpha = [\mathbf{F}_q(\alpha) : \mathbf{F}_q].$$

Note that this is equal to $\min\{n \in \omega : \alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^n}\}$, see [2], p98.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $x_n = \#\{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^n} : \deg \alpha = n\}$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{q^n} = 1.$$

Proof. Because \mathbf{F}_{q^n} has, by definition, q^n elements, we have $x_n \leq q^n$. Furthermore, $\sum_{d|n} x_d = q^n$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= q^n - \sum_{d|n, d < n} x_d \\ &\geq q^n - \sum_{d=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} q^d \\ &= q^n - \frac{q}{q-1} \left(q^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

from which the lemma follows easily. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let K be an infinite algebraic extension of a finite field F , and \mathcal{A} the family in K consisting of all finite subsets of K stable under $\text{Aut}_F(K)$. Given \mathcal{A} , let $(\phi_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence as in Definition 2.1, and f an approximation stable under $\text{Aut}_F(K)$, and $n \in \omega \cup \{-1\}$. Then there exists $l \in \omega$ and a finite $G \subset F[X_1, \dots, X_l]$ such that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- *There exists an approximation $f' \geq f$ such that f' is stable under $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_q}(K)$, $A \subset f'(n)$, and $f(m) = f'(m)$ for all $m > n$ and for $m = -1$ if $n \neq -1$.*
- *For every $g \in G$, the polynomial g has no zeroes in A^l .*

Proof. By Theorem 2.6 there exist $l \in \omega$ and $G \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_l]$ such that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$:

1. There exists an approximation $f' \geq f$ such that f' is stable under $\text{Aut}_F(K)$, $A \subset f'(n)$, and $f(m) = f'(m)$ for all $m > n$ and for $m = -1$ if $n \neq -1$.
2. Every $g' \in G'$ has no zeroes in A^l .

Let $G = \{g : g \text{ is the product of the conjugates of } g' \text{ for some } g' \in G'\}$. Then, because A is closed under $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_q}(K)$, some $g \in G$ has a zero in A^l if and only if there is some $g' \in G'$ with a zero in A^l ; this proves our lemma. \square

3.2 Expanding approximations

Lemma 3.6. *Let t and n be integers greater than or equal to 2, and G be the directed graph having the set $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ as vertices and $\{(k, k+1) : k \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}\}$ as edges, and let $a_1, \dots, a_t \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$. Then there is a $k \in A = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ such that the distance in G from k to any other point in A is at most $\lfloor \frac{t-1}{t}n \rfloor$.*

Proof. Note that for $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, the distance from a to b is $[b-a]$, where $[x]$ denotes x considered modulo n and taken between 0 and $n-1$. Let a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_t be an enumeration of the a_i in ascending order (from 0 to $n-1$), and $a'_{t+1} = a'_1$, and let $f_i = a'_{i+1} - a'_i$ for $i \leq t-1$, and $f_t = n + a'_1 - a'_t$. Then the f_i sum to n , so there must be some m such that $f_m \geq \lceil \frac{n}{t} \rceil$. For this m we have $[a'_1 - a'_{m+1}], \dots, [a'_t - a'_{m+1}] \leq \lfloor \frac{t-1}{t}n \rfloor$; to see this, note that $[a'_j - a'_{m+1}] = n + a'_j - a'_{m+1} \leq n + a'_m - a'_{m+1} \leq \lfloor \frac{t-1}{t}n \rfloor$ for $j \leq m$, and for $j > m$, it holds that $[a'_j - a'_{m+1}] = a'_j - e'_{m+1} = \sum_{i=m+1}^j f_i \leq n - f_m \leq \lfloor \frac{t-1}{t}n \rfloor$, as the f_i are nonnegative. Hence a'_{m+1} satisfies the conditions of the lemma. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let K be an infinite algebraic extension of a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , and let f be an approximation stable under $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_q}(K)$, and $m \in \omega \cup \{-1\}$. Let x_n be as in lemma 3.4, and for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\mathbf{F}_{q^n} \subset K$, let B_n be the set of $\alpha \in K$ such that $\deg \alpha = n$ and there exists $f' \in \mathfrak{P}$ stable under $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_q}(K)$ such that $f' \geq f$, $\alpha \in f'(m)$ and $f'(k) = f(k)$ for $k > m$ or $k = -1$ if $m \neq -1$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_n|}{x_n} = 1$, where n ranges over the integers such that $\mathbf{F}_{q^n} \subset K$.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in K$, the set of conjugates of α is the set $\{\alpha, \alpha^p, \alpha^{p^2}, \dots, \alpha^{p^{\deg \alpha - 1}}\}$ (see [2], p25). By lemma 3.5, there exists a finite set of polynomials $G \subset \mathbf{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_l]$ such that there exists an approximation f' satisfying the above conditions if and only if no $g \in G$ has any zeroes in $\{\alpha, \alpha^p, \alpha^{p^2}, \dots, \alpha^{p^{\deg \alpha - 1}}\}^l$; let $k = \prod_{g \in G} g$. For α of a fixed degree n , this implies that such an approximation exists if and only if α is not a zero of any polynomial of the form $k(X^{q^{e_1}}, X^{q^{e_2}}, \dots, X^{q^{e_l}})$, where $0 \leq e_1, \dots, e_l < n$. By Lemma 3.6, there is an e_m such that all the values $[e_i - e_m]$ are lesser than or equal to $\lfloor \frac{l-1}{l}n \rfloor$. Now α^{e_m} is a zero of the polynomial

$$k \left(X^{q^{[e_1 - e_m]}}, X^{q^{[e_2 - e_m]}}, \dots, X^{q^{[e_l - e_m]}} \right) \in \mathbf{F}_q[X].$$

Now α is a zero of this polynomial as well; hence, for every $x \in K$ of degree n , one has $x \in B_n$ if and only if there are no $0 \leq e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l \leq \lfloor \frac{l-1}{l}n \rfloor$ such that x is a zero of $k(X^{q^{e_1}}, X^{q^{e_2}}, \dots, X^{q^{e_l}})$.

Because $k(0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ by Corollary **2.7**, polynomials of this form are not the zero polynomial, and of degree at most $\deg(k) \cdot \max_i \{q^{e_i}\}$, so they cannot have more than $\deg(k) \cdot \max_i \{q^{e_i}\}$ zeroes. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n| &\geq q^n - \sum_{e_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l-1}{l}n \rfloor} \cdots \sum_{e_l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l-1}{l}n \rfloor} \deg(k) \cdot \max_i \{q^{e_i}\} \\ &\geq q^n - \left(\lfloor \frac{l-1}{l}n \rfloor + 1 \right)^l \cdot q^{\lfloor \frac{l-1}{l}n \rfloor} \cdot \deg(k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_n|}{x_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_n|}{q^n} \frac{q^n}{x_n} = 1$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let F be a finite field, and let K be an infinite algebraic extension of F . Then there exist $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ field topologies on K such that the action of $\text{Aut}_F(K)$ on K is continuous.*

Proof. This can be proven similarly to theorem **2.10**. Analogously, for every $l \in {}^{<\omega}2$ we define an approximation f^l stable under $\text{Aut}_F(K)$ such that (2.2) holds, starting with $f^\emptyset : \omega \cup \{-1\} \rightarrow [K]^{<\omega}$ defined as in section **2.3**. Because of theorem **3.7**, we can expand the approximations. Now we can make topologies, which analogously to lemma **2.9** are all different. \square

4 A field topology with nontrivial subfield topologies

In this section, we refine the methods in section **2.3** to construct a Hausdorff field topology on an algebraic closure of a finite field F such that for every infinite algebraic extension $F \subset L$, the induced topology on L is not discrete. We start off with some definitions:

Definition 4.1. Let $F = \mathbf{F}_q$ be a finite field, and \bar{F} an algebraic closure of F . Then we define the following subfields of \bar{F} , where p is a prime and \mathcal{P} an infinite set of primes:

$$\begin{aligned} F_p &= \{x \in \bar{F} : [F(x) : F] \text{ is a power of } p\} \\ F_{\mathcal{P}} &= \{x \in \bar{F} : [F(x) : F] \text{ is squarefree, and its prime divisors are elements of } \mathcal{P}\} \\ F_{<p} &= \{x \in \bar{F} : \text{all primes dividing } [F(x) : F] \text{ are smaller than } p\} \end{aligned}$$

To make this topology, we desire further constraints on $(\phi_n)_{n \in \omega}$: for $n \leq 2k - 3$, ϕ_n must be an element of $\{\zeta, \eta, \theta\} \cup \{\xi_A : A \subset F_{<p_k}, A \text{ finite}\}$ (we use $\mathcal{A} = [L]^{<\infty}$), where p_i denotes the i -th prime. Furthermore, $2^{d(n)}$ must be smaller than p_n . Also, let $(q_i)_{i \in \omega}$ be a sequence of primes such that $q_i \leq p_i$ for all i , and every prime occurs in $(q_i)_{i \in \omega}$ an infinite number of times.

Theorem 4.2. *Let F be a finite field. Then there exists a field topology on \bar{F} such that for any infinite subfield $L \subset \bar{F}$ the induced topology is nontrivial, i.e., neither discrete nor antidiscrete.*

For the proof of this theorem, we need two lemmas, which we will prove later on.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $F = \mathbf{F}_q$ be a finite field. Then for any infinite algebraic extension $F \subset L$, the field L must contain a subfield either of the form F_p for some prime p , or $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ for some infinite set of primes \mathcal{P} .*

Lemma 4.4. *There exists an increasing sequence of approximations $(f^n)_{n \in \omega}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- for every $k \geq 2$, the image of f^{2k-2} is contained in $F_{<p_k}$;
- for every $k \geq 3$, the image of f^{2k-3} is contained in $F_{<p_k}$;
- for every n and every $m > n$, the set $f^n(m)$ is equal to $\{0\}$;
- for every n , the set $f^n(-1)$ is equal to $\{-1\}$;

- for every $k \geq 1$, the set $f^{2k}(2k)$ is of the form $\{x\}$ for some $x \in F_{q_k}$;
- for every $k \geq 1$, the set $f^{2k-1}(2k-1)$ is of the form $\{x\}$ for some x of degree p_k .

Proof of Theorem 4.2 from 4.3 and 4.4. By Lemma 4.3, it is sufficient to construct a topology such that the induced topology on every F_p and $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ is nontrivial. This is true if and only if 0 is not an isolated point in any of those fields and the topology is not antidiscrete. Take the field topology induced by the sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of Lemma 4.4. As our construction gives neighbourhoods of 0 not containing 1, the topology will not be antidiscrete. For any prime p , elements of F_p occur in $f^{2k}(2k)$ for arbitrarily large k , so 0 will not be an isolated point in F_p . Also, for any infinite set of primes \mathcal{P} , elements of $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ occur in $f^{2k-1}(2k-1)$ for arbitrarily large k , so 0 will not be discrete in $F_{\mathcal{P}}$; hence this topology is nontrivial on any infinite subfield of \bar{F} . \square

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Define $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ as $A = \{n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1} : \mathbf{F}_{q^n} \subset L\}$. Then A is infinite and $L = \bigcup_{n \in A} \mathbf{F}_{q^n}$. Furthermore, if m and n are elements of A , then so are any of their divisors, as well as their least common multiple. This means that A is defined by the prime powers occurring in it. As A is infinite, either an unlimited number of primes must occur in A , or arbitrarily large powers of a certain prime must occur in A ; so L either has a subfield of the form $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ for a certain infinite set of primes \mathcal{P} , or a subfield of the form F_p for a certain prime p . \square

Proof of Lemma 4.4. We recursively define our approximations by setting $f^0 = f^{\emptyset}$ as defined in section 2.3; indeed the image of f^0 is contained $F_{<2} = F$. For $n = 2k$ given an approximation f^{2k-1} satisfying the conditions in the lemma, we want to choose an approximation f^{2k} such that:

- the image of f^{2k} is contained in $F_{<p_{k+1}}$;
- $f^{2k-1} \leq f^{2k}$;
- $f^{2k-1}(m) = f^{2k}(m)$ for $m = -1$ and $m > 2k$;
- $f^{2k}(2k) = \{x\}$ for some $x \in F_{q_k}$.

As $f^{2k-1}(m)$ equals $\{0\}$ for all $m > 2k-1$, condition 5 from 2.2 is implied by condition 1 for $n \geq 2k-1$; hence for $n \geq 2k-1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\phi_n = \xi_0$ for those n ; as $\phi_n \in \{\zeta, \eta, \theta\} \cup \{\xi_a : a \in F_{<p_{k+1}}\}$ for $n < 2k-1$, we may assume that ϕ_n is defined within $F_{<p_{k+1}}$. As the image of $f^{2k-1}(m)$ is contained in $F_{<p_{k+1}}$, we may apply lemma 2.8 with $K = F_{<p_{k+1}}$, $f = f^{2k-1}$ and $n = 2k$. As F_{q_k} is an infinite subfield of $F_{<p_{k+1}}$, there is an $x \in F_{q_k}$ such that f^{2k} satisfies the above conditions.

For $n = 2k-1$, given f^{2k-2} satisfying the conditions in the lemma, we wish to make f^{2k-1} such that:

- the image of f^{2k-1} is contained in $F_{<p_{k+1}}$;
- $f^{2k-2} \leq f^{2k-1}$;
- $f^{2k-2} \leq f^{2k-1}$, $f^{2k-2}(m) = f^{2k-1}(m)$ for $m > 2k-1$;
- $f^{2k-1}(2k-1) = \{x\}$ for some x of degree p_k .

To see this is possible, note that, as above, we assume without loss of generality that ϕ_n is defined within $F_{<p_{k+1}}$. Then we may apply theorem 2.6 for $K = F_{<p_k}$, $L = F_{<p_{k+1}}$ and $\mathcal{A} = [L]^{<\infty}$, to show that there exists a set of polynomials $G \subset F_{<p}[X_1, \dots, X_l]$ of degree at most $2^{d(n)}$ such that we can add x in the manner described above if and only if $g(x, x, \dots, x) \neq 0$ for all $g \in G$. But any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{p_k}}$ satisfies $[F_{<p_k}(x) : F_{<p_k}] = p_k > 2^{d(n)}$, but the degree of any $g \in G$ is at most $2^{d(n)}$, so $g(x, x, \dots, x) \neq 0$, and such an approximation exists. \square

References

- [1] Klaus-Peter Podewski, *The number of field topologies on countable fields*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 39 (1973), pp. 33-38.
- [2] Peter Stevenhagen, *Algebra 3* (2011), <http://websites.math.leidenuniv.nl/algebra/algebra3.pdf>.