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## Problem definition

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a (simple) graph.
The distance between two edges in $G$ is the number of vertices in a shortest path between them, i.e. distance in the line graph $L(G)$ of $G$. (So adjacent edges have distance 1.)

A distance-t matching of $G$ is a set of edges no two of which are within distance $t$ in $G$.


## Problem definition

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a (simple) graph.
The distance between two edges in $G$ is the number of vertices in a shortest path between them, i.e. distance in the line graph $L(G)$ of $G$. (So adjacent edges have distance 1.)

A distance-t matching of $G$ is a set of edges no two of which are within distance $t$ in $G$.


## Problem definition

A distance-t edge-colouring is an assignment of colours to edges of $G$ such that each colour class induces a distance- $t$ matching.

The distance- $t$ chromatic index $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G)$ of $G$ is the least integer $k$ such that there exists a distance- $t$ edge-colouring of $G$ using $k$ colours.

## Remarks:

- $\chi_{1}^{\prime}(G)$ is the chromatic index $\chi^{\prime}(G)$ of $G$.
- A distance-2 matching is an induced matching and so $\chi_{2}^{\prime}(G)$ is the strong chromatic index $s \chi^{\prime}(G)$ of $G$.
- $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G)=\chi\left((L(G))^{t}\right)$ where $(L(G))^{t}$ is the $t^{\text {th }}$ power of the line graph.


## Problem definition

A proposed practical motivation for $\chi_{t}^{\prime}$ :


Timeslot assignment (TDMA) for wireless sensor networks.

- Each matching in the colouring corresponds to a set of simultaneous pairwise transmissions among sensors in a particular timeslot.
- The distance requirement models the range of network interference that results from transmission between two sensors.
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## Scope of current work

Two main settings (with $\Delta$ large):
(1) $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G)$ for graphs $G$ of maximum degree $\Delta$ :

$$
\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta):=\max \left\{\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G): \Delta(G) \leq \Delta\right\} .
$$

## Scope of current work

Two main settings (with $\Delta$ large):
(1) $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G)$ for graphs $G$ of maximum degree $\Delta$ :

$$
\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta):=\max \left\{\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G): \Delta(G) \leq \Delta\right\} .
$$

(2) $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G)$ when $G$ is also prescribed to have girth at least $g$ :

$$
\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta, g):=\max \left\{\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G): \Delta(G) \leq \Delta, \operatorname{girth}(G) \geq g\right\} ;
$$

particularly, when does $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta, g)$ becomes $o\left(\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta)\right)$ in terms of $g$ ?

## Background

$t=1$.
Vizing's Theorem implies that $\chi_{1}^{\prime}(\Delta)=\Delta+1$ and $\chi_{1}^{\prime}(\Delta, g) \geq \Delta$ for all $g$.

## Background

$t=2$.
Erdős and Nešetril proposed the problem of determining $\chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta)$ in 1985. They suggested as extremal the multiplied 5 -cycle $\Longrightarrow \chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta) \geq 1.25 \Delta^{2}$. Molloy and Reed (1997) showed $\chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta) \leq 1.998 \Delta^{2}$ for large enough $\Delta$.

## Background

$t=2$.
Erdős and Nešetril proposed the problem of determining $\chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta)$ in 1985. They suggested as extremal the multiplied 5 -cycle $\Longrightarrow \chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta) \geq 1.25 \Delta^{2}$. Molloy and Reed (1997) showed $\chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta) \leq 1.998 \Delta^{2}$ for large enough $\Delta$.

The complete bipartite graphs $K_{\Delta, \Delta} \Longrightarrow \chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta, 4) \geq \Delta^{2}$. NB: Faudree, Gyárfás, Schelp, Tuza (1990) conjectured $\chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta, 4)=\Delta^{2}$. Mahdian (2000) showed $\chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta, 5)=O\left(\Delta^{2} / \log \Delta\right)$ (and in fact the stronger result for all $C_{4}$-free graphs).
A probabilistic construction shows $\chi_{2}^{\prime}(\Delta, g)=\Omega\left(\Delta^{2} / \log \Delta\right)$ for all $g \geq 5$.

A table for $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta)$ and $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta, g)(\Delta$ large $)$

| $t \backslash g$ | 3 (lower/upper) | 4 | 5 | $\cdots$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\Delta+1$ | $\Theta(\Delta)$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | $1.25 \Delta^{2}$ | $1.998 \Delta^{2}$ | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{2}\right)$ | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{2} / \log \Delta\right)$ |  |
| 3 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |
| $\vdots$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |

## A distance- $t$ version of the Erdős-Nešetřil problem

Consider the following upper bound:

$$
\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta) \leq 1+\Delta\left((L(G))^{t}\right) \leq 1+2 \sum_{j=1}^{t}(\Delta-1)^{j}<2 \Delta^{t}
$$

## Problem

For each $t \geq 3$, is $\lim \sup _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta) / \Delta^{t}$ less than $2-\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ ?

NB: Molloy and Reed solved the $t=2$ case with $\varepsilon>0.002$.
We next show $\lim \sup _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta) / \Delta^{t}$ is positive for every fixed $t \geq 3$.

## Two constructive lower bounds

## Proposition (K and Manggala)

For arbitrarily large $\Delta$, there exists a bipartite, $\Delta$-regular graph of girth 6 such that $\chi_{3}^{\prime}(G)=\Delta^{3}-\Delta^{2}+\Delta$.
$t=3, \Delta=3$ : point-line incidence graph of the Fano plane.


## Two constructive lower bounds

## Proposition ( K and Manggala)

Fix $t \geq 2$. For arbitrarily large $\Delta$, there exists a $\Delta$-regular graph such that $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G)>\Delta^{t} /\left(2(t-1)^{t-1}\right)$.
$t=4, \Delta=6$.


A table for $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta)$ and $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta, g)(\Delta$ large $)$

| $t \backslash g$ | 3 (lower/upper) |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\Delta+1$ |  | $\Theta(\Delta)$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | $1.25 \Delta^{2}$ | $1.998 \Delta^{2}$ | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{2}\right)$ |  |  | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{2} / \log \Delta\right)$ |
| 3 | $\Delta^{3}$ | $2 \Delta^{3}$ | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{3}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | $0.0185 \Delta^{4}$ | $2 \Delta^{4}$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |
| 5 | $0.00195 \Delta^{5}$ | $2 \Delta^{5}$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |

## Main theorem I

Theorem (Kaiser and K)
For each $t \geq 2,2-\lim \sup _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta) / \Delta^{t} \geq 0.00008$.
I.e. the $t$-E-N problem affirmed with a uniform choice of $\varepsilon$ for all $t$.

## Main theorem I: proof idea

Theorem (Kaiser and K)
For each $t \geq 2,2-\lim \sup _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta) / \Delta^{t} \geq 0.00008$.
This relies on colouring graphs with sparse neighbourhood counts.

## Main theorem I: proof idea

Theorem (Kaiser and K)
For each $t \geq 2,2-\lim \sup _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta) / \Delta^{t} \geq 0.00008$.
This relies on colouring graphs with sparse neighbourhood counts.
Lemma (Molloy and Reed (1997))
Let $\delta, \varepsilon>0$ be such that $\varepsilon<\frac{\delta}{2(1-\varepsilon)} e^{-\frac{3}{1-\varepsilon}}$ and let $\hat{\Delta}_{0}$ be large enough. If $\hat{G}=(\hat{V}, \hat{E})$ is a graph with maximum degree at most $\hat{\Delta} \geq \hat{\Delta}_{0}$ such that at most $(1-\delta)\binom{\hat{\Delta}}{2}$ edges span each $N(\hat{v}), \hat{v} \in \hat{V}$, then $\chi(\hat{G}) \leq(1-\varepsilon) \hat{\Delta}$.

Thus the $t$-E-N problem can be resolved by showing neighbourhood counts in $(L(G))^{t}$ with $\Delta(G) \leq \Delta$ are at most $(1-\delta) \cdot 2 \Delta^{2 t}$.

## Main theorem I: proof idea

Assume $G=(V, E)$ is $\Delta$-regular. Let $e \in E$ be arbitrary. Set $\hat{N}:=N_{L(G)^{t}}(e)$.


Set $\hat{S}:=E\left(L(G)^{t}[\hat{N}]\right)$ and, for contradiction, assume $|\hat{S}|>(1-\delta) \cdot 2 \Delta^{2 t}$.

## Main theorem I: proof idea

Assume $G=(V, E)$ is $\Delta$-regular. Let $e \in E$ be arbitrary. Set $\hat{N}:=N_{L(G)^{t}}(e)$.


Set $\hat{S}:=E\left(L(G)^{t}[\hat{N}]\right)$ and, for contradiction, assume $|\hat{S}|>(1-\delta) \cdot 2 \Delta^{2 t}$.
Consider
$\tau(e, f):=\max \{0,(\# e f$-walks with $\leq t+1$ edges $)-1\}$.
Esc : $=\#$ walks with $\leq t+1$ edges, first edge in $\hat{N}$, last edge in $E-\hat{N}$.
Claim
$\sum_{e, f \in \hat{N}} \tau(e, f)+\mathrm{Esc}<4 \delta \cdot \Delta^{2 t}$.

## Main theorem I: proof idea

Claim
$\sum_{e, f \in \hat{N}} \tau(e, f)+\mathrm{Esc}<4 \delta \cdot \Delta^{2 t}$.


Set

$$
A^{*}:=A_{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{t-1} \cup B_{t}
$$

$$
\sigma_{t}(u, v):=\# u v \text {-walks with } \leq t \text { edges and first edge in } \hat{N} .
$$

Claim
$\sum_{u, v \in A^{*}} \sigma_{t}(u, v)>\alpha \cdot \Delta^{2 t-1}$.

## Main theorem I: $t=3$

For $t=3$, we can extend the argument of Molloy and Reed for $t=2$, which applies Jensen's Inequality twice for a lower bound on the number of $C_{4} \mathrm{~s}$ in $N_{(L(G))^{3}}(e), \forall e \in V$.

Theorem (Kaiser and K)
$2-\lim \sup _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{3}^{\prime}(\Delta) / \Delta^{3} \geq 0.0002$.

## A table for $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta)(\Delta$ large $)$

| $t$ | lower | upper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\Delta+1$ |  |
| 2 | $1.25 \Delta^{2}$ | $1.998 \Delta^{2}$ |
| 3 | $\Delta^{3}$ | $1.9998 \Delta^{3}$ |
| 4 | $0.0185 \Delta^{4}$ | $1.99992 \Delta^{4}$ |
| 5 | $0.00195 \Delta^{5}$ | $1.99992 \Delta^{5}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

Remarks:

- The general proof gives an alternative solution to the E-N problem, albeit with a much weaker constant.



## Main theorem II

Theorem (Kaiser and K)
For $t \geq 2$, all graphs $G$ of girth at least $2 t+1$ and maximum degree at most $\Delta$ have $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G)=O\left(\Delta^{t} / \log \Delta\right)$.

## Main theorem II

Theorem (Kaiser and K)
For $t \geq 2$, all graphs $G$ of girth at least $2 t+1$ and maximum degree at most $\Delta$ have $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G)=O\left(\Delta^{t} / \log \Delta\right)$.

By a probabilistic construction, this bound is tight up to a constant factor dependent upon $t^{1}$.

## Proposition (Kaiser and K)

There is a function $f=f(\Delta, t)=(1+o(1)) \Delta^{t} /(t \log \Delta)($ as $\Delta \rightarrow \infty)$ such that, for every $g \geq 3$ and every $\Delta$, there is a graph $G$ of girth at least $g$ and maximum degree at most $\Delta$ with $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(G) \geq f(\Delta, t)$.
${ }^{1}$ If girth at least $3 t-2$, the upper bound can be strengthened to $O\left(\Delta^{t} /(t \log \Delta)\right)$.

A table for $\chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta, g)(\Delta$ large $)$

| $t \backslash g$ | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\Theta(\Delta)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\theta\left(\Delta^{2}\right)$ |  | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{2} / \log \Delta\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{3}\right)$ |  |  |  | $\theta\left(\Delta^{3} / \log \Delta\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $\theta\left(\Delta^{4}\right)$ |  | ? |  |  |  | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{4} / \log \Delta\right)$ |  |  |
| 5 | $\theta\left(\Delta^{5}\right)$ |  | ? |  |  |  | $\Theta\left(\Delta^{5} / \log \Delta\right)$ |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |

## Open problems

(1) Is there some $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\lim \sup _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta) / \Delta^{t} \geq \varepsilon$ for all $t$ ?
(2) Is it true that $\lim \sup _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{t}^{\prime}(\Delta, 2 t) / \Delta^{t}>0$ for all $t \geq 4$ ?

## Thank you!

