6.4. **Proof of the Fundamental Theorem in GIT.** In order to complete our proof of the Hilbert–Mumford Theorem, it suffices to prove the following slightly weaker version of the Fundamental Theorem in GIT.

**Theorem 6.19.** Let G be a reductive group acting linearly on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and let  $z \in \mathbb{A}^n$  be a k-point. If 0 lies in the orbit closure of z, then there exists a 1-PS  $\lambda$  of G such that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot z = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $0 \in \overline{G \cdot z}$ ; then we will split the proof into 6 steps.

Step 1. We claim there is an irreducible (but not complete and not necessarily smooth) curve  $C_1 \subset G \cdot z$  which contains 0 in its closure. To prove the existence of this curve, we use an argument similar to Bertini's Theorem and obtain the curve by intersecting hyperplanes in a projective completion  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ; the argument is given in Lemma 6.20 below.

Step 2. We claim that there is a smooth projective curve C, a rational map  $p: C \dashrightarrow G$ and a k-point  $c_0 \in C$  such that  $\lim_{c\to c_0} p(c) \cdot z = 0$ . To prove this claim, we consider the action morphism  $\sigma_z: G \to \mathbb{A}^n$  given by  $g \mapsto g \cdot z$  and find a curve  $C_2$  in G which dominates  $C_1$ under  $\sigma_z$  (see Lemma 6.21 below) and then let C be a projective completion of the normalisation  $\tilde{C}_2 \to C_2$ ; then the rational map  $p: C \dashrightarrow G$  is defined by the morphism  $\tilde{C}_2 \to C_2 \to G$ . Finally, as the morphism  $\tilde{C}_2 \to C_1$  is dominant it extends to their smooth projective completions and, as 0 lies in the closure of  $C_1$ , we can take a preimage  $c_0 \in C$  of zero under this extension. Then  $\lim_{c\to c_0} p(c) \cdot z = \lim_{c\to c_0} \sigma_z(p(c)) = 0$ .

**Step 3.** Since C is a smooth proper curve, the completion of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{C,c_0}$  of the curve at  $c_0$  is isomorphic to the formal power series ring k[[t]], whose field of fractions is the field of Laurent series k((t)). As the rational map  $p: C \dashrightarrow G$  is defined in a punctured neighbourhood of  $c_0$ , it induces a morphism

$$q: K := \operatorname{Spec} k((t)) \cong \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{C,c_0} \to \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{C,c_0} \to G$$

such that  $\lim_{t\to 0} [q(t) \cdot z] = 0$ . In Step 5, we will relate this K-valued point of G to a 1-PS.

**Step 4.** Let  $R := \operatorname{Spec} k[[t]]$  and  $K := \operatorname{Spec} k((t))$ ; then there is a natural morphism  $K \to R$ and so the *R*-valued points of *G* form a subgroup of the *K*-valued points (i.e.  $G(R) \subset G(K)$ ) whose limit as  $t \to 0$  exists. More precisely, the natural map  $\operatorname{Spec} k \to R$  induces a morphism  $G(R) \to G(k)$  given by taking the specialisation as  $t \to 0$ .

There is a morphism  $K \to \mathbb{G}_m = \operatorname{Spec} k[s, s^{-1}]$  induced by the homomorphism  $k[s, s^{-1}] \to k((t))$  given by  $s \mapsto t$ . For a 1-PS  $\lambda$ , we define its Laurent series expansion  $\langle \lambda \rangle \in G(K)$  to be the composition of the natural morphism  $K \to \mathbb{G}_m$  with  $\lambda$ .

Step 5. We will use without proof the Cartan-Iwahori decomposition for G which states that every double coset in G(K) for the subgroup G(R) is represented by a Laurent series expansion  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  of 1-PS of G (for example, see [25] §2.1). Therefore, as  $q \in G(K)$ , there exists  $l_i \in G(R)$ for i = 1, 2 and a 1-PS  $\lambda$  of G such that

$$l_1 \cdot q = <\lambda > \cdot l_2$$

and the 1-PS  $\lambda$  is non-trivial, as q is not an R-valued point of G.

**Step 6.** Let  $g_i := l_i(0) \in G$ ; then following the equality in Step 5, we have

$$0 = g_1 \cdot 0 = \lim_{t \to 0} l_1(t) \cdot \lim_{t \to 0} \left( q(t) \cdot z \right) = \lim_{t \to 0} \left[ \left( < \lambda > \cdot l_2 \right)(t) \cdot z \right].$$

We claim that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot g_2 \cdot z = 0$  and so  $\lambda' := g_2^{-1} \lambda g_2$  is a 1-PS of G with  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda'(t) \cdot z = 0$ , which would complete the proof of the theorem. To prove the claim, we use the fact that the action of the 1-PS  $\lambda$  on  $V = \mathbb{A}^n$  decomposes into weight spaces  $V_r$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $l_2 \in G(R)$ and  $g_2 = \lim_{t\to 0} l_2(0)$ , we can write  $l_2(t) \cdot z = g_2 \cdot z + \epsilon(t)$ , where  $\epsilon(t)$  only involves strictly positive powers of t. Then with respect to the weight space decomposition, we have

$$g_2 \cdot z + \epsilon(t) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (g_2 \cdot z)_r + \epsilon(t)_r.$$

Since  $\lim_{t\to 0} [(<\lambda > \cdot l_2)(t) \cdot z] = 0$ , it follows that  $(g_2 \cdot z)_r = 0$  for  $r \le 0$ , which proves the claim and completes our proof.

**Lemma 6.20.** With the notation and assumptions of the previous theorem, there exists an irreducible curve  $C_1 \subset G \cdot z$  which contains the origin in its closure.

Proof. Fix an embedding  $\mathbb{A}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  and let  $p \in \mathbb{P}^n$  denote the image of the origin. Let Y denote the closure of  $G \cdot z$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . We claim there exists a complete curve  $C'_1$  in Y containing the point  $p \in \mathbb{P}^n$  and which is not contained entirely in the boundary  $Z := Y - G \cdot z$ . Assuming this claim, we obtain the desired curve  $C_1 \subset G \cdot z$ , by removing points of  $C'_1$  that lie in Z. To prove the claim, let  $d = \dim Y$ ; then we can assume d > 1 as otherwise Y is already a curve. Then also n > 1. In the following section, we will see that hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are parametrised by  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_1)$  and the space of hyperplanes containing p is a closed codimension 1 subspace  $\mathcal{H}_p \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the non-empty open subset of the product of (d-1)-copies of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  consisting of hypersurfaces  $(H_1, \ldots, H_{d-1})$  such that

- (1)  $\cap_i H_i \cap Y$  is a curve (generically,  $\dim \bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} H_i \cap Y = \dim Y (d-1) = 1$  and so this is a non-empty open condition), and
- (2)  $\cap_i H_i \cap Y$  is not entirely contained in Z (this is also a non-empty open condition, as  $Z \subsetneq Y$  is a closed subscheme).

Hence,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a non-empty open subset of  $(\mathcal{H}_p)^{d-1}$ , which has dimension (n-1)(d-1) > 0, and so the desired curve exists: we take  $C'_1 := \bigcap_i H_i \cap Y$ , for  $(H_1, \ldots, H_{d-1}) \in \mathcal{H}_p \neq \emptyset$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 6.21.** With the notation and assumptions of the previous theorem, there exists a curve  $C_2 \subset G$  that dominates the curve  $C_1 \subset G \cdot z$  under the action morphism  $\sigma_z : G \to G \cdot z$ .

Proof. Let  $\eta$  be the generic point of  $C_1$ . As  $\eta$  is not a geometric point and the above arguments about the existence of curves requires an algebraically closed field, we pick a geometric point  $\overline{\eta}$ over  $\eta$  corresponding to a choice of an algebraically closed finite field extension of  $k(C_1)$ . We let  $\sigma_z^{-1}(C_1)_{\eta}$  and  $\sigma_z^{-1}(C_1)_{\overline{\eta}}$  be the base change of the preimage to  $k(C_1)$  and its fixed algebraic closure. Then by Lemma 6.20, there exists a curve  $C'_2 \subset \sigma_z^{-1}(C_1)_{\overline{\eta}}$ . The curve  $C'_2$  maps to a curve  $C_2 \subset \sigma_z^{-1}(C_1)_{\eta}$  under the finite map  $\sigma_z^{-1}(C_1)_{\overline{\eta}} \to \sigma_z^{-1}(C_1)_{\eta}$ . By construction,  $C_2$  is a curve in  $\sigma_z^{-1}(C_1) \subset G$  which dominates  $C_1$  under  $\sigma_z$ .

## 7. Moduli of projective hypersurfaces

In this section, we will consider the moduli problem of classifying hypersurfaces of a fixed degree d in a projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  up to linear change of coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ; that is, up to the action of the automorphism group  $\operatorname{PGL}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . To avoid some difficulties associated with fields of positive characteristic, we assume that the characteristic of k is coprime to d.

7.1. The moduli problem. A non-zero homogeneous degree d polynomial F in n+1 variables  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  determines a projective degree d hypersurface (F = 0) in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . If F is irreducible then the associated hypersurface is an irreducible closed subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of codimension 1. If F is reducible, then the associated hypersurface is a union of irreducible subvarieties of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of codimension 1 counted with multiplicities. For example, the polynomial  $F(x_0, x_1) = x_0^d$  gives a degree d reducible hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ : the d-fold point.

Hypersurfaces of degree d in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are parametrised by points in the space  $k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_d - \{0\}$  of non-zero degree d homogeneous polynomials in n + 1 variables. This variety has dimension

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} n+d\\ d\end{array}\right).$$

As any non-zero scalar multiple of a homogeneous polynomial F defines the same hypersurface, the projectivisation of this space

$$Y_{d,n} = \mathbb{P}(k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d)$$

is a smaller dimensional parameter space for these hypersurfaces.

The automorphism group  $\operatorname{PGL}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  acts naturally on  $Y_{d,n} = \mathbb{P}(k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_d)$  as follows. The linear representation  $\operatorname{GL}_{n+1} \to \operatorname{GL}(k^{n+1})$  given by acting by left multiplication induces a

$$(g \cdot F)(p) = F(g^{-1} \cdot p)$$

for  $g \in GL_{n+1}$ ,  $F \in k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_d$  and  $p \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$  (we note that the inverse here makes this a left action). This descends to an action

$$\operatorname{PGL}_{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}(k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d) \to \mathbb{P}(k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d)$$

One may expect that a moduli space for degree d hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is given by a categorical quotient of this action and we will soon show that this is the case, by proving that  $Y_{d,n}$ parametrises a family with the local universal property. However, the  $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$ -action on  $Y_{n,d}$ is not linear, but the actions of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$  are both linear. Since we have a surjection  $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$  with finite kernel, the  $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$ -orbits are the same as the  $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$ -orbits, and the only small changes is that for  $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$  there is now a global finite stabiliser group, but from the perspective of GIT finite groups do not matter. Therefore, we will work with the  $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$ -action.

To prove the tautological family over  $Y_{d,n}$  has the local universal property in order to apply Proposition 3.35, we need to introduce a notion of families of hypersurfaces. Let us start formulating a reasonable notion of families of hypersurfaces. One natural idea for a family of hypersurfaces over S is that we have a closed subscheme  $X \subset S \times \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $X_s =$  $X \cap \{s\} \times \mathbb{P}^n$  is a degree d hypersurface. For  $S = \mathbb{A}^r = \operatorname{Spec} k[z_1, \ldots, z_r]$ , this is given by  $H \in k[z_1, \ldots, z_r, x_0, \ldots, x_n]$  which is homogeneous of degree d in the variables  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  and is non-zero at each point  $s \in S$ . In this case, a family of hypersurfaces is given by a degree d homogeneous polynomial in n + 1 variables with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}(S)$ . In fact, we can take this as a local definition for our families and generalise this notion to allow coefficients in an arbitrary line bundle L over S.

**Definition 7.1.** A family of degree d hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  over S is a line bundle L over S and a tuple of sections

$$\sigma := (\sigma_{i_0...i_n} : i_j \ge 0, \sum_{j=0}^n i_j = d)$$

of L such that for each k-point  $s \in S$ , the polynomial

$$F(L,\sigma,s) := \sum_{i_0\dots i_n} \sigma_{i_0\dots i_n}(s) x_0^{i_0}\dots x_n^{i_n}$$

is non-zero.

We note that to make sense of this final sentence, we must trivialise L locally at s. Then the tuple of constants  $\sigma(s)$  are determined up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar. In particular, we can determine whether  $F(L, \sigma, s)$  is non-zero and the associated hypersurface is uniquely determined. We denote the family by  $(L, \sigma)$  and the hypersurface over a k-point s by  $(L, \sigma)_s$ :  $F(L, \sigma, s) = 0$ .

**Definition 7.2.** We say two families  $(L, \sigma)$  and  $(L', \sigma')$  of degree d hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  over S are equivalent over S if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : L \to L'$  of line bundles and  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}$  such that  $\phi \circ \sigma = g \cdot \sigma'$ .

We note that with this definition of equivalence the families  $(L, \sigma)$  and  $(L, \lambda \sigma)$  are equivalent for any non-zero scalar  $\lambda$ .

**Exercise 7.3.** Show that  $Y_{d,n} = \mathbb{P}(k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_d)$  parametrises a tautological family of degree d hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with the local universal property. Deduce that any coarse moduli space for hypersurfaces is a categorical quotient of  $SL_{n+1}$  acting on  $Y_{d,n}$  as above.

Since  $SL_{n+1}$  is reductive, we can take a projective GIT quotient of the action on  $Y_{d,n}$  which is a good (and categorical) quotient of the semistable locus  $Y_{d,n}^{ss}$ . There are now two problems to address:

- (1) determine the (semi)stable points in  $Y_{d,n}$ ;
- (2) geometrically interpret (semi)stability of points in terms of properties of the corresponding hypersurfaces.

For small values of d and n, we shall see that it is possible to give a full solution to the above two problems, although as both values get larger the problem becomes increasingly difficult.