

Moduli of Quiver Representations via GIT

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a quiver and consider the moduli problem of classifying representations of Q (over k) up to isomorphism.

Fix any discrete invariants: dimension vector $\underline{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{|Q_0|}$.

Defⁿ: A family of representations of a quiver Q over a scheme S/k is a collection of locally free sheaves $(\mathcal{E}_v)_{v \in Q_0}$ over S and sheaf homomorphisms $(\Phi_a: \mathcal{E}_{t(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{h(a)})_{a \in Q_1}$,
i.e. a representation of Q in the category of locally free sheaves over S .

The family is of dim. \underline{d} if each sheaf \mathcal{E}_v has rank d_v .

Example: Over the affine space $R = \text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q) = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1} \text{Hom}(k^{d_{t(a)}}, k^{d_{h(a)}})$

there is a tautological family of \underline{d} -dimensional Q -reps

Let $\mathcal{E}_v = \mathcal{O}_R^{\oplus d_v}$ be the trivial sheaf of rank d_v

and $\Phi_a: \mathcal{E}_{t(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{h(a)}$ over $r = (r_a)_{a \in Q_1} \in R$

be the homomorphism r_a .

Proposition

Every \underline{d} -dim^e representation (W_v, ϕ_a) of Q is isomorphic to a representation parametrised by the tautological family over $R = \text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q)$

i.e. $\exists r \in R$ s.t. $(W_v, \phi_a) \cong (\mathcal{E}_v, \Phi_a)_r$.

Furthermore this family has the local universal property

i.e. for any family $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_v, \psi_a)$ over a scheme T and

any $t \in T$, $\exists U \subseteq T$ and $f: U \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q)$

such that $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_v, \psi_a)|_U \cong f^*(\mathcal{E}_v, \Phi_a)$.

Proof: For each vertex v , fix an isomorphism $\varphi_v: W_v \cong k^{d_v}$
then (W_v, ϕ_a) is isomorphic to the representation over
 $r = (r_a := \varphi_{h(a)} \circ \phi_a \circ \varphi_{t(a)}^{-1})_{a \in Q_1}$ in $R = \text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q)$.

For the second statement, we can pick an open neighbourhood
 U of t in T on which each \mathcal{F}_v is trivialisable
and use $\varphi_v: \mathcal{F}_v|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus d_v}$ and ψ_a to construct f . \square

There is a conjugation action of $GL_{\underline{d}} := \prod_{v \in Q_0} GL(d_v, k)$ on R
 $GL_{\underline{d}} \times \text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q)$
 $(g_v)_{v \in Q_0} \cdot (f_a)_{a \in Q_1} = (g_{h(a)} \circ f_a \circ g_{t(a)}^{-1})_{a \in Q_1}$.

Lemma: There is a bijective correspondence

$$\{ GL_{\underline{d}} \text{- orbits in } \text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q) \} \leftrightarrow \{ \underline{d}\text{-dim}^e \text{ reps of } Q \} / \cong$$

In particular, as $\text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q)$ parametrises a family with
the local universal property, any coarse moduli space
for $\underline{d}\text{-dim}^e$ reps of Q is a categorical quotient of $GL_{\underline{d}}(Q)$
acting on $\text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q)$.

Pf: The first statement is immediate.

The second follows from P. Newstead's book "Introduction
to moduli problems and orbit spaces" Prop 2.13 \square

Goal: Use geometric invariant theory (GIT) to construct a
categorical quotient of the $GL_{\underline{d}}$ -action on (an open
subset of) $\text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q)$.

Rmk: $GL_{\underline{d}}$ is a reductive group & this action is linear

$$\text{ie we have } \rho: GL_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow GL(\text{Rep}_{\underline{d}}(Q)),$$

but this representation ρ is not faithful: there is a

$$\text{subgroup } \cong \Delta \hookrightarrow GL_{\underline{d}} \text{ which is contained in every stabiliser subgrp}$$

$$G_m \ni t \longmapsto (t I_{d_v})_{v \in Q_0} \text{ ie } \Delta \subseteq \ker \rho.$$

Affine Geometric Invariant Theory (in a nutshell)

For a reductive group G acting linearly on an affine space V , there is an induced G -action on $\mathcal{O}(V)$ by

$$(g \cdot f)(x) := f(g^{-1} \cdot x).$$

Nagata's Thm: $\mathcal{O}(V)^G$ is a finitely generated k -alg.

Mumford: the inclusion $\mathcal{O}(V)^G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V)$ induces a morphism of affine varieties $V \rightarrow V//G = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(V)^G$ which is a "good quotient" (and also a categorical quotient) of $G \curvearrowright V$.

We call $V \xrightarrow{\pi} V//G$ the affine GIT quotient.

Furthermore, \exists open set

$$V^s = \left\{ v \in V : \begin{array}{l} G \cdot v \text{ is closed} \\ \dim G \cdot v = 0 \end{array} \right\} \subseteq V$$

which admits a geometric quotient $V^s \rightarrow \pi(V^s) = V^s/G$
(in part, its an orbit space)

Bad example $G_m \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}^n = V$ by scalar multiplication

Every orbit contains the origin in its closure \rightarrow any G_m -inv $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is constant

In fact $\mathcal{O}(V)^{G_m} = k$ and $V \rightarrow V//G = *$.

\rightarrow If we can remove the origin by imposing a non-trivial semistability notion, we get \mathbb{P}^{n-1} as a geom. quotient of $G_m \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}^n - \{0\}$

The affine GIT quotient parametrises closed orbits in V .

Question: For $GL_d \curvearrowright \text{Rep}_d(Q)$, which orbits are closed?

What is $\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}_d(Q))^{GL_d}$?

Ex 1: $Q = \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$ $d = (n, m)$ $GL_n \times GL_m \curvearrowright \text{Rep}_d(Q) = \text{Hom}(k^n, k^m)$

The orbits are matrices of a fixed rank $M_{m \times n}$

As the rank is upper semi-continuous, 0 is the only closed orbit

Hence $\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}_d(Q))^{GL_d} = k$.

Ex 2: $Q = \bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet$ $GL_d \curvearrowright \text{Rep}_d(Q) = \text{Hom}(k^d, k^d) = M_{d \times d}$ by conj

We can conjugate $A \in M_{\text{dxd}}$ to its JNF. $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & \\ & \alpha_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$
 (1) A is diagonalisable i.e. JNF of A is $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & \\ & \alpha_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$
 or for α_i (not nec. distinct) $\in \mathbb{R}$.

(2) A is not diagonalisable i.e. \exists Jordan block of size > 1

In the 2nd case, the orbit of A is not closed

eg. $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, then $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \overline{GL_2 \cdot A}$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & t^2 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

But $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ are not conjugate.

In the first case, the orbit is closed.

The coefficients of the characteristic poly

$$\text{char}_A(t) = \det(tI - A) = t^d + c_1(A)t^{d-1} + \dots + c_d(A)$$

give GL_d -invariant functions $c_i(A) = (-1)^i \text{tr}(A^i)$ on M_{dxd} :

$$\mathbb{R}[c_1, \dots, c_d] \subseteq \mathcal{O}(M_{\text{dxd}})^{GL_d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{dd}]^{S_d}$$

\uparrow
 G -inv. function determined by values on closed orbits (i.e. diag matrices) & can permute entries using G -action

\cong
 $\mathbb{R}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d]$
 elementary symmetric polys

Therefore, these are all equalities.

$$\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}_d(\cdot, \cdot))^{GL_d} = \mathbb{R}[c_1, \dots, c_d]$$

where $c_i(A) = (-1)^i \text{Tr}(A^i)$ is (up to sign) the trace of the i -fold loop given by A .

Theorem (Le Bruyn - Procesi)

$\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}_d(Q))^{GL_d}$ is generated by traces of oriented cycles in Q .

In particular, if Q has no oriented cycles, then

$$\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}_d(Q))^{GL_d} = \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \text{Rep}_d(Q) // GL_d = *$$

Affine GIT using a linearisation by a character [King]

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a linear action of a reductive gp G on an affine space V .

Often the origin lies in every orbit closure, so $V//G = *$.

Solution: Throw out bad orbits by introducing a non-trivial notion of semistability on V using a "linearisation".

Defⁿ: For a character $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, define a G -action on the total space of the trivial line bundle \mathcal{O}_V on V by

$$G \times \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_V) \longrightarrow \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_V)$$
$$\parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel$$
$$V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \qquad \qquad \qquad V \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

$$g \cdot (v, z) = (g \cdot v, \chi(g)z)$$

We write \mathcal{O}_V^χ to mean this G -action on \mathcal{O}_V .

This is a "linearisation" of the G -action on V .

(As V is affine, the only line bundle is \mathcal{O}_V & to lift the G -action to \mathcal{O}_V corresponds to picking a character $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$).

Idea of GIT (Mumford): Use invariant sections of powers of the linearisation to construct a GIT quotient.

Lemma 1

$$H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V^\chi)^G = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(V) : f(g \cdot v) = \chi(g)f(v) \quad \forall \begin{matrix} g \in G \\ v \in V \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$\stackrel{!!}{=} \mathcal{O}(V)^{G, \chi} \leftarrow \text{"}\chi\text{-semi-invariant functions"}$$

Proof

On the total space $V \times \mathbb{A}^1$ of \mathcal{O}_V , G acts by

$$g \cdot (v, z) = (g \cdot v, \chi(g)z).$$

We have $H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V^\chi) \cong \mathcal{O}(V)$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \sigma: V \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \\ v \mapsto (v, f(v)) \end{array} \right) \leftarrow f$$

$$(g \cdot \sigma)(v) = (v, \chi(g)f(g^{-1} \cdot v)) = \sigma(v) \quad \text{iff} \quad \chi(g)f(g^{-1} \cdot v) = f(v).$$

The inclusion $\bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V^{\otimes r})^G \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$

induces a rate map

$$V \xrightarrow{\varphi} V //_{\chi} G := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V^{\otimes r})^G \xrightarrow{\text{projective}} V // G = \text{Spec} H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V)^G$$

domain of φ is the

U open \nearrow good quotient

GIT semistable set w.r.t. χ .

$$V^{\chi\text{-ss}} := \left\{ v \in V : \exists r \geq 1 \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{O}(V)^{G, \chi^r} \cong H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V^{\otimes r})^G \text{ such that } f(v) \neq 0 \right\}$$

Rmk: In GIT, we have an open subset of stable points (points whose orbits are closed in the semistable set & have finite stabiliser) which admits a geometric quotient.

For \mathcal{Q} a quiver and $\rho: \text{GL}(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{Q}))$, we have

$\Delta \subseteq$ Stabiliser of every point

\cong

GL_m

\leadsto no stable points with usual defⁿ

Either • work with $\text{GL}(\mathcal{Q})/\Delta$

• take into account Δ .

Let $\Delta := \ker \rho$.

Defⁿ $v \in V$ is χ -stable if $\exists f \in \mathcal{O}(V)^{G, \chi^r}$ for $r \geq 1$ s.t. $f(v) \neq 0$ & $G \cap V_f = \{w \in V : f(w) \neq 0\}$ is closed &

$$\dim G_v / \Delta = 0.$$

Rmk: If $\chi(\Delta) = 1$, then χ descends to a character of G/Δ .

Hilbert - Mumford Criterion

Lemma 2: For $v \in V$, pick a lift $\tilde{v} = (v, \tilde{z}) \in \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_V^{\otimes r})$.

i) v is χ -ss $\Leftrightarrow G \cdot \tilde{v} \subseteq V \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is disjoint from the zero section $V \times \{0\}$.

ii) v is χ -stable $\Leftrightarrow G \cdot \tilde{v} \subseteq V \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is closed and $[\Delta : G \cdot \tilde{v}]$ is finite.

In particular for $V^{\chi\text{-ss}}$ to be non-empty, we need $\chi(\Delta) = 1$.

Pf: G is reductive $\Leftrightarrow G$ is geometrically reductive.

For G geom reductive, any two G -inv closed subsets of an affine space can be separated by a G -invariant function. \square

Rmk: Let $\varphi: V^{X-ss} \rightarrow V//_X G$ be the GIT quotient.

Then as this is a good quotient

- For $v_1, v_2 \in V^{X-ss}$, $\varphi(v_1) = \varphi(v_2) \Leftrightarrow \overline{G \cdot v_1} \cap \overline{G \cdot v_2} \neq \emptyset$
- For $p \in V//_X G$, $\varphi^{-1}(p)$ contains a unique closed orbit.

We want to translate the above result into a statement about 1-PSs $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ using:

Theorem (Kempf): For $G \curvearrowright W = \text{affine space}$, for $w \in W$ & any closed G -inv $Z \subseteq W$ such that $\overline{G \cdot w} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, \exists 1-PS $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ such that $\overline{\lambda(\mathbb{G}_m) \cdot w} \in Z$.

We apply this to $W = \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_V^{X^{-1}}) = V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \supseteq Z = V \times \{0\}$

Lemma 3 Let $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} = (v, z^{\neq 0}) \in \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_V^{X^{-1}})$.

(i) $v \in V^{X-ss} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{v} \notin V \times \{0\} \forall$ 1-PS $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$

(ii) $v \in V^{X-s} \Leftrightarrow$ the only 1-PS $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ for which $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{v}$ exists lie in Δ

Pf: (i) " \Rightarrow " follows by Lemma 2 & " \Leftarrow " by Kempf's Thm & Lemma 2.

(ii) also follows from Kempf's Thm & Lemma 2. \square

Finally, we have a natural pairing

$$X^*(G) \times X_*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle :=$ the unique $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\chi \circ \lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is given by $t \mapsto t^r$.

For $\tilde{v} = (v, z^{\neq 0}) \in \text{Tot} \mathcal{O}_V^{X^{-1}}$, we have

$$\lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{v} = (\lambda(t)v, \chi^{-1}(\lambda(t))z).$$

Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{v}$ exists $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exists & $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \chi^{-1}(\lambda(t)) \cdot z$ exists
(ie. $\langle \chi^{-1}, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$.)

Furthermore, if $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exists, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{v} \in V \times \{0\} \Leftrightarrow 0 < \langle \chi^{-1}, \lambda \rangle = -\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle.$$

Theorem (Hilbert-Mumford criterion; King)

(i) $v \in V$ is χ -ss $\Leftrightarrow \chi(\Delta) = 1$ and \forall 1-PS $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exists, we have $\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$.

(ii) v is χ -stable \Leftrightarrow the only 1-PSs $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ for which $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exist satisfy $\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle = 0$ & lie in Δ .

Proof: This follows from the above calculations and Lemma 3.

Proposition 4

(i) $G \cdot v \subseteq V^{\chi\text{-ss}}$ is closed $\Leftrightarrow \forall$ 1-PS $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ s.t. $\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle = 0$ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exists it belongs to $G \cdot v$

(ii) $v_1, v_2 \in V^{\chi\text{-ss}}$, $\varphi(v_1) = \varphi(v_2) \iff$ [Notation: $v_1 \sim v_2$ "S-equivalence"]

\exists 1-PS $\lambda_i: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ for $i=1, 2$ s.t. $\langle \chi, \lambda_i \rangle = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(t) \cdot v_i$ belong to the same closed orbit.

Pf: (i) follows from Lemma 3 & Kempf's Thm

(ii) Uses the fact that the GIT quotient is a good quotient:
 $\varphi(v_1) = \varphi(v_2) \Leftrightarrow \overline{G \cdot v_1} \cap \overline{G \cdot v_2} \cap V^{\chi\text{-ss}} \neq \emptyset$

Then we apply Kempf's Theorem. ③