CLAUSEN-TYPE IDENTITIES ARISING FROM THE THEORY OF MODULAR FORMS

WADIM ZUDILIN (NEWCASTLE)

Based on joint work with

HENG HUAT CHAN (National University of Singapore, Singapore),
YOSHIO TANIGAWA (Nagoya University, Japan) and
YIFAN YANG (National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan).

53rd Annual Meeting of the Australian Mathematical Society (Adelaide, 28 September – 1 October 2009) The binomial theorem implies the algebraicity of

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {2n \choose n} x^n.$$

For $k \geq 2$ the series

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {2n \choose n}^k x^n$$

are transcendental. Surprisingly enough, we have an algebraic relation between $f_2(x)$ and $f_3(x)$:

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {2n \choose n}^2 x^n\right)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {2n \choose n}^3 (x(1-16x))^n,$$

and no further algebraic relations are known for $f_k(x)$.

The last identity (perhaps already known to Euler) is a special case of a general identity discovered by T. Clausen: the square of a certain hypergeometric $_2F_1$ function can be expressed in terms of a hypergeometric $_3F_2$ function.

To make the things specific, define the (generalized) hypergeometric function:

$$_{m}F_{m-1}\begin{pmatrix} a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{m} \\ b_{2}, \dots, b_{m} \end{pmatrix} z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_{1})_{n}(a_{2})_{n} \cdots (a_{m})_{n}}{(b_{2})_{n} \cdots (b_{m})_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!},$$

where

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1) & \text{if } n \ge 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

The natural domain of convergence is |z| < 1.

It satisfies the hypergeometric differential equation:

$$\left(\theta \prod_{j=2}^{m} (\theta + b_j - 1) - z \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\theta + a_j)\right) y = 0, \qquad \theta = z \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}.$$

Clausen's identity:

$$_{2}F_{1}\begin{pmatrix} 2a, 2b \\ a+b+\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} z^{2} = {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{pmatrix} 2a, 2b, a+b \\ a+b+\frac{1}{2}, 2a+2b \end{pmatrix} 4z(1-z).$$

Clausen's identity:

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}2a,\ 2b\\a+b+\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\middle|z\right)^{2}={}_{3}F_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}2a,\ 2b,\ a+b\\a+b+\frac{1}{2},\ 2a+2b\end{array}\middle|4z(1-z)\right).$$

Note that

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n}{n!} = 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n},$$

so that the particular case $a=b=\frac{1}{4}$ of Clausen's identity leads to the identity

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {\binom{2n}{n}}^2 x^n\right)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {\binom{2n}{n}}^3 (x(1-16x))^n.$$

There are many applications of Clausen's identity. For example, by S. Ramanujan used it in his derivation of the series for $1/\pi$ like

$$\frac{4}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {\binom{2n}{n}}^3 \frac{6n+1}{2^{8n}}.$$

Our interest is in the following form of Clausen's identity $(a = \frac{1}{2}c, b = \frac{1}{2}(1-c))$:

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_n (1-c)_n}{n!^2} z^n\right)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n} \frac{(c)_n (1-c)_n}{n!^2} \left(z(1-x)\right)^n.$$

Are there more examples of sequences $\{u_n\} = \{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ which are not covered by the identity but do satisfy (Clausen-type) identities

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n z^n\right)^2 = s(z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {2n \choose n} u_n r(z)^n,$$

where s(z) and r(z) are rational (or algebraic) functions of z? Do they correspond to (Ramanujan-type) formulae for $1/\pi$? The both questions are answered in affirmative. We have found three sequences:

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^3, \quad b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k},$$

and $c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-8)^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^3.$

Then

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n\right)^2 = \frac{1}{1+8z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{a}_n \left(\frac{z(1+z)(1-8z)}{(1+8z^2)^2}\right)^n,$$

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n\right)^2 = \frac{1}{1-9z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{b}_n \left(\frac{z(1-9z)(1-z)}{(1-9z^2)^2}\right)^n, \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n\right)^2 = \frac{1}{1-72z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_n \left(\frac{z(1+8z)(1+9z)}{(1-72z^2)^2}\right)^n$$

in the notation $\widetilde{u}_n = \binom{2n}{n} u_n$.

And we have found a plenty of Ramanujan-type formulae involving the corresponding sequences $\widetilde{u}_n = \binom{2n}{n} u_n$; the particular entries are

responding sequences
$$\widetilde{u}_n = \binom{2n}{n} u_n$$
; the particular entries are
$$\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{a}_n(5n+1)}{96^n}, \quad \frac{50\sqrt{39}}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{a}_n(918n+99)}{10400^n},$$

 $\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{c}_n(5n+1)}{288^n}, \quad \frac{32\sqrt{51}}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{c}_n(770n+73)}{39168^n},$

 $\widetilde{a}_n = \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^3, \quad \widetilde{b}_n = \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k},$

and $\widetilde{c}_n = \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-8)^{n-k} \sum_{k=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j}^{3}$.

where

$$\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{a}_n(5n+1)}{96^n}, \quad \frac{50\sqrt{39}}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{a}_n(918n+99)}{10400^n},$$

$$\frac{25}{\sqrt{3}\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{b}_n(16n+3)}{100^n}, \quad \frac{75}{16\sqrt{2}\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{b}_n(7n+1)}{900^n},$$

esponding sequences
$$\widetilde{u}_n = \binom{2n}{n} u_n$$
; the particular entries are
$$\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{a}_n(5n+1)}{96^n}, \quad \frac{50\sqrt{39}}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{a}_n(918n+99)}{10400^n},$$

The main reason behind all these mysteriously beautiful identities is the "modular origin" of the generating series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n z^n$$

and their companions

$$\widetilde{f}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {2n \choose n} u_n z^n$$

in the following sense: in each case there are two modular functions $z(\tau)$ and $\tilde{z}(\tau)$ (with respect to certain arithmetic subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$) such that $f(z(\tau))$ and $\tilde{f}(\tilde{z}(\tau))$ are modular forms of weight 1 and 2, respectively.

Skipping details of the proofs, the following modular parametrisations are used in proving the identity

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n\right)^2 = \frac{1}{1 - 9z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{b}_n \left(\frac{z(1 - 9z)(1 - z)}{(1 - 9z^2)^2}\right)^n,$$
where $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k}$ and $\widetilde{b}_n = \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k}$.

We take

$$z(\tau) = \frac{\eta^4(\tau)\eta^8(6\tau)}{\eta^4(3\tau)\eta^8(2\tau)}, \qquad f(\tau) = \frac{\eta^6(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)}{\eta^2(6\tau)\eta^3(\tau)},$$
$$\widetilde{z}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^4(\tau)\eta^4(2\tau)\eta^4(3\tau)\eta^4(6\tau)}{(\eta^4(\tau)\eta^4(2\tau) + 9\eta^4(3\tau)\eta^4(6\tau))^2},$$
$$\widetilde{f}(\tau) = \frac{1}{4} \left(6P(6\tau) + 2P(2\tau) - P(\tau) - 3P(3\tau) \right)$$

in the standard notation

 $\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ and $P(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{nq^n}{1 - q^n}$,

with $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$.

Then

with
$$q = e^{2\pi i t}$$

 $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z(\tau)^n$ and $\widetilde{f}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{b}_n \widetilde{z}(\tau)^n$.

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z(\tau)^n$$
 and $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \widetilde{z}(\tau)^n$

The modular origin gives rise to some further remarkable properties of the sequences. For example, we have the following arithmetic congruences:

$$a_{np} \equiv a_n \pmod{p^3}, \quad b_{np} \equiv b_n \pmod{p^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad c_{np} \equiv c_n \pmod{p^2},$$

where p is an arbitrary prime, and the same ones for \tilde{a}_n , \tilde{b}_n , and \tilde{c}_n . They remind about the congruence

$$u_{np} \equiv u_n \; (\bmod \, p^3)$$

(proved by I. Gessel in 1982) valid for the sequence of Apéry's numbers

$$u_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2$$

used by R. Apéry in his famous proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$.

Another relation with the sequence of Apéry's numbers is the fact that the so-called Legendre transform of the sequences

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^3$$
 and $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k}$

is given by Apéry's sequence:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n+k \choose k}^2 {n \choose k}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n+k \choose k} {n \choose k} a_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n+k \choose k} {n \choose k} (-1)^{n-k} b_k.$$

The generating series for the sequences

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^3, \quad b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k},$$

and $c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-8)^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^3$

satisfy the differential equations

$$(\theta^2 - z(A\theta^2 + A\theta + B) + Cz^2(\theta + 1)^2)y = 0$$
, where $\theta = z\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}$,

with (A, B, C) = (7, 2, -8), (10, 3, 9), and (-17, -6, 72), respectively. (This differential equation is more general than the 2nd order hypergeometric equation.)

This is equivalent to saying that the sequences themselves satisfy the Apérylike recurrence

$$(n+1)^2 u_{n+1} - (An^2 + An + B)u_n + Cn^2 u_{n-1} = 0$$
 for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

It has been pointed to us by G. Almkvist that having the above differential equation

$$(\theta^2 - z(A\theta^2 + A\theta + B) + Cz^2(\theta + 1)^2)y = 0$$
, where $\theta = z\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}$,

for $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n z^n$ in mind it is possible to derive the Clausen-type identity using a routine computation in Maple. The corresponding series $\tilde{f}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_n z^n$ satisfies the 3rd order equation

$$(\theta^3 - 2z(2\theta + 1)(A\theta^2 + A\theta + b) + 4Cz^2(\theta + 1)(2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3))y = 0,$$

and it is a Maple exercise to check that

$$f(z)^2 = \frac{1}{1 - Cz^2} \cdot \widetilde{f}\left(\frac{z(1 - Az + Cz^2)}{(1 - Cz^2)^2}\right).$$

This is a general form of the identities given above, which is meaningless without explicit formulae for the coefficients of the series f(z).

An interesting problem which we attack in a joint project with G. Almkvist and D. van Straten is finding analogues of Clausen's identity for (hypergeometric) differential equations of order 4 and 5. But this is a completely different story because no modularity is available in that cases.