

PROBLEMS FOR HGM

Problem 1 (general Euler–Pochhammer integral). (a) The Euler–Pochhammer integral

$${}_2F_1(\{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\gamma\}; \lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 x^{\beta-1} (1-x)^{\gamma-\beta-1} (1-\lambda x)^{-\alpha} dx$$

for $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > \operatorname{Re} \beta > 0$ and $|\lambda| < 1$, generalises to

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_mF_{m-1}(\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}, \{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}; \lambda) \\ &= \prod_{j=2}^m \frac{\Gamma(\beta_j)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)\Gamma(\beta_j - \alpha_j)} \int_0^1 \frac{\prod_{j=2}^m x_j^{\alpha_j-1} (1-x_j)^{\beta_j-\alpha_j-1}}{(1-\lambda x_2 \cdots x_m)^{\alpha_1}} dx_2 \cdots dx_m \end{aligned}$$

provided $\operatorname{Re} \beta_j > \operatorname{Re} \alpha_j > 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$ and $|\lambda| < 1$.

(b) Under the conditions $\operatorname{Re} \beta_j > \operatorname{Re} \alpha_j > 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$, the integral converges absolutely for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\arg(1-\lambda) < \pi$. In other words, the integral provides analytic continuation of the ${}_mF_{m-1}$ in question to $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$.

Problem 2 (hypergeometric arithmetic data). A way to say that the hypergeometric data $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $\beta = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ is Galois, or defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, is via the requirement that the polynomials $\prod_{j=1}^m (x - e^{2\pi i \alpha_j})$ and $\prod_{j=1}^m (x - e^{2\pi i \beta_j})$ have integral coefficients, so that each happens to be a product of cyclotomic polynomials. In this problem we assume that this condition is met.

(a) Show that

$$\prod_{j=1}^m \frac{x - e^{2\pi i \alpha_j}}{x - e^{2\pi i \beta_j}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^u (x^{a_i} - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^v (x^{b_i} - 1)}$$

for some positive integers $a_1, \dots, a_u$ and $b_1, \dots, b_v$ such that $a_1 + \dots + a_u = b_1 + \dots + b_v$.

(b) Prove that

$$\frac{(\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_m)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_m)_n} = M^{-n} \cdot \frac{(a_1 n)! \cdots (a_u n)!}{(b_1 n)! \cdots (b_v n)!}, \quad \text{where } M = \frac{a_1^{a_1} \cdots a_u^{a_u}}{b_1^{b_1} \cdots b_v^{b_v}}.$$

Problem 3 (algebraic hypergeometric series). Apart from the binomial series

$$(1-\lambda)^{-\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n}{n!} \lambda^n = {}_1F_0\left(\alpha \middle| \lambda\right),$$

there are more examples of hypergeometric series that are algebraic. In fact, the problem of listing all possible configurations of parameters for such ${}_2F_1$ algebraic instances was given by Hermann Schwarz (1873); there are 15 cases. The list of *all* algebraic hypergeometric series (equivalently, of hypergeometric differential equations with finite monodromy) was given by Beukers and Heckman (1990).

(a) Show that

$${}_2F_1\left(\alpha, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \middle| \lambda\right) = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1-\lambda}}{2}\right)^{-2\alpha}.$$

(b) (Villegas's algebraicity criterion). Show that the hypergeometric series

$${}_mF_{m-1}\left(\begin{matrix}\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \\ \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\end{matrix} \middle| \lambda\right)$$

is algebraic if and only if the hypergeometric data α, β is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and for the corresponding arithmetic data (from Problem 2) we have $v = u + 1$ and

$$\frac{(a_1 n)! \cdots (a_u n)!}{(b_1 n)! \cdots (b_v n)!} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

The latter condition can be replaced with Landau's

$$\lfloor a_1 x \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor a_u x \rfloor \geq \lfloor b_1 x \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor b_v x \rfloor \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < 1.$$

(c) The example in this question originates from Chebyshev's deduction of estimates for the prime counting function. Check that

$$\frac{(30n)! n!}{(15n)! (10n)! (6n)!} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0$$

and give a hypergeometric expression for the generating function of this sequence. This is an example of an algebraic hypergeometric series (of degree 483 840).

Problem 4 (algebraic transformations and formulas for $1/\pi$). (a) Prove that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^-} \sqrt{1-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (\frac{1}{2})_n (1-\alpha)_n}{n!^3} n \lambda^n = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi}$$

and, more generally,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^-} \sqrt{1-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\alpha_1)_n (1-\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_m)_n (1-\alpha_m)_n}{n!^{2m+1}} n^m \lambda^n = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\sin \pi \alpha_j}{\pi}.$$

(b) Verify the following identity:

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \\ 1, 1\end{matrix} \middle| \frac{256x}{9(1+3x)^4}\right) = \frac{1+3x}{1+x/3} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \\ 1, 1\end{matrix} \middle| \frac{256x^3}{9(3+x)^4}\right).$$

(c) Show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} (40n+3) \frac{1}{7^{4n}} = \frac{49\sqrt{3}}{9\pi}.$$

This is an example of a Ramanujan-type formula for $1/\pi$.

(d) [Guillera's formula for $1/\pi$; *open*] Show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{8})_n (\frac{3}{8})_n (\frac{5}{8})_n (\frac{7}{8})_n}{n!^5} (1920n^2 + 304n + 15) \frac{1}{7^{4n}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{56\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}.$$

Hints. (a) Use

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (1-\alpha)_n n}{n!^2} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi}$$

and the Stolz–Cesàro theorem.

(b) One way to proceed is by dividing the identity by $1+3x$ and verifying that both sides satisfy the same (linear) differential equation of order 3.

(c) Apply the differential operator $x \frac{d}{dx}$ to both sides of the identity in part (b) and pass to the limit as $x \rightarrow (1/9)^-$ using part (a). $\square$

Problem 5 (RH-likeness of special hypergeometric series). For real $k > 0$ and complex s , consider

$$W(k, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} |k + (z + 1/z)|^s \frac{dz}{z}.$$

(a) Show that

$$\begin{aligned} W(k, s) &= k^s \cdot {}_2F_1\left(-s/2, (1-s)/2 \middle| \frac{4}{k^2}\right) && \text{if } k > 2, \\ W(k, s) &= \frac{2^s \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + s)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2}) \Gamma(1 + \frac{s}{2})} && \text{if } k = 2, \\ W(k, s) &= \frac{2^{2s} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2})^2}{\pi \Gamma(1 + s)} \cdot {}_2F_1\left(-s/2, -s/2 \middle| \frac{k^2}{4}\right) && \text{if } k < 2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For $k > 2$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$W(k, -s-1) = (k^2 - 4)^{-s-1/2} W(k, s).$$

(c) For $0 < k < 2$ and $-1 < \operatorname{Re} s < 0$, we have

$$W(k, -s-1) = \cot\left(-\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) (4 - k^2)^{-s-1/2} W(k, s).$$

(d) For both $k > 2$ and $k < 2$ situations, the line of symmetry of the functional equation is $\operatorname{Re} s = -1/2$. The function $W(k, s)$ possesses some *trivial* zeros $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that non-trivial (i.e., non-real) zeros all lie on the line of symmetry.

Problem 6 (p -adic interpolation and p -adic gamma function). Assume that we want to extend a function $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ (sometimes $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$) given on a dense subset S in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p$, that is, to construct a *continuous* function $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Omega$ such that its restriction $\tilde{f}|_S$ on S coincides with f . Observe that $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a compact (metric space), so also sequentially compact, hence the resulting function $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Omega$ should be also uniformly continuous: given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfy $|x - y|_p \leq p^{-N}$ then $|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(y)|_p < \varepsilon$. (We deliberately replace $|x - y|_p < \delta$ with $|x - y|_p \leq p^{-N}$. The latter can be stated as $x \equiv y \pmod{p^N}$.)

First notice that the extension $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Omega$ of a mapping $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ exists if and only if f is uniformly continuous on S . Indeed, if $\tilde{f}$ exists then it is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{Z}_p$, hence its restriction f to S is. In the other direction, given $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, take a sequence $s_1, s_2, \dots \in S$ such that $s_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(s_n)$ exists and does not depend on the choice of sequence—all as a consequence of the uniform continuity of f . Hence $\tilde{f}(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(s_n)$ is a well-defined quantity, and $\tilde{f}$ is continuous on its definition domain.

An illustration of such interpolation is given in the following example. Let $p > 2$, and an integer $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ be fixed. Consider $S = (p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ and the function $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ given by $(p-1)a \mapsto m^{(p-1)a} = (m^{p-1})^a$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$; in other words, $f: s \mapsto m^s$. (The image is $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, because $m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.) As S is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the extension $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ of the map is given by the formula

$$\tilde{f}: s \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{s}{p-1} \cdot \log_p(m^{p-1})\right).$$

Note that the argument of the exponential function here is within the convergence domain of the function. Indeed, $m^{p-1} \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \subset D_1((p^{-1/(p-1)})^-)$ (the open disk centred at 1 of radius $p^{-1/(p-1)}$) for $p > 2$, so that the image of m^{p-1} under $\log_p$ is inside the disk $D_0((p^{-1/(p-1)})^-)$.

Alternatively, the interpolation of $s \mapsto m^s$ can be done on the set $S' = 1 + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ (or any other shift of $(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$), which is clearly dense in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for $p > 2$. This time it works as $1 + (p-1)a \mapsto m \cdot (m^{p-1})^a$. Though the extension of this mapping again interpolates $s \mapsto m^s$, this extension is different!

We move on to the p -adic analogue of the gamma-function $\Gamma(s)$, namely, a function $\Gamma_p(s)$ which interpolates the factorial function. In the Archimedean situation (for simplicity we can think of Γ to be a real function defined on $s > 0$), we have $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$ which is implied by $\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$ and $\Gamma(1) = 1$. There are some other nice properties it satisfies, like

$$\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} = \frac{2\pi i \cdot e^{\pi i s}}{e^{2\pi i s} - 1}$$

(Euler's reflection formula) and

$$\prod_{h=0}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+h}{m}\right) = (2\pi)^{(m-1)/2} m^{1/2-s} \Gamma(s)$$

(Gauss's multiplication formula). Substituting $s = 1$ in the latter and then dividing it by the result leads to

$$\frac{\prod_{h=0}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+h}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(s) \prod_{h=1}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{h}{m}\right)} = m^{1-s}.$$

In our consideration of Γ_p below, we restrict ourselves to $p > 2$ (for $p = 2$ some slight modifications are required). We would like to construct a function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Omega$ which interpolates $\Gamma(n) = \prod_{k < n} k$ on positive integers. However, the mapping $n \rightarrow \Gamma(n)$ is not uniformly continuous on positive integers. Indeed, the condition $m \equiv n \pmod{p^{-N}}$ does not imply that $\Gamma(m)$ and $\Gamma(n)$ are p -adically close: the smallness of $|\Gamma(m) - \Gamma(n)|_p$ (when $m \neq n$) only depends on $\min\{m, n\}$, not on $|m - n|_p$. A standard way to improve the situation is to eliminate all k divisible by p from the product $\prod_{k < n} k$, in other words, interpolating $\Gamma^*(n) = \prod_{k < n, p \nmid k} k$ instead. Since $\Gamma^*(n)$ are then p -adic units, the closeness of $\Gamma^*(m)$ and $\Gamma^*(n)$ means that $\Gamma^*(m)/\Gamma^*(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^M}$, and the latter is to be implied by $m \equiv n \pmod{p^N}$ for some $N = N(M)$. Unfortunately,

$$\frac{\Gamma^*(n + p^N)}{\Gamma^*(n)} = \prod_{\substack{n \leq k < n + p^N \\ p \nmid k}} k \equiv -1 \pmod{p^N}, \quad (1)$$

so the sign gets wrong already for the particular instance $m = n + p^N$. (This is Wilson's theorem again, corresponding to the $N = 1$ choice. It can be easily extended from $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ to $(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ to establish (1) in its generality.) Finally, we moderate the sign and define

$$\Gamma_p(n) = (-1)^n \prod_{\substack{k < n \\ p \nmid k}} k \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and then

$$\Gamma_p(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow s} \Gamma_p(n) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Since for n positive integers, $\Gamma_p(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and even $\Gamma_p(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, where the latter set is closed, we also have $\Gamma_p(s) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. With the above extension of Wilson's theorem in mind, we get the following statement: If $s_1 \equiv s_2 \pmod{p^N}$ then $\Gamma_p(s_1) \equiv \Gamma_p(s_2) \pmod{p^N}$. In particular, $\Gamma_p: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is a continuous function.

We can now give related properties of the p -adic gamma function which resemble the corresponding properties of Euler's $\Gamma(s)$.

(a) We have $\Gamma_p(0) = 1$ and

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(s+1)}{\Gamma_p(s)} = \begin{cases} -s & \text{if } s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \\ -1 & \text{if } s \in p\mathbb{Z}_p. \end{cases}$$

(b) Write $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ as $s = s_0 + s_1p$, where $s_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. Then

$$\Gamma_p(s)\Gamma_p(1-s) = (-1)^{s_0}.$$

(c) In the recording $s = s_0 + s_1p$, for a positive integer $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ show that

$$\frac{\prod_{h=0}^{m-1} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{s+h}{m}\right)}{\Gamma_p(s) \prod_{h=1}^{m-1} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{h}{m}\right)} = m^{1-s_0} (m^{-(p-1)})^{s_1}.$$

As we have already discussed, the latter factor is well defined for $s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Problem 7 (Integrality of q -expansions). Many combinatorial generating functions

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n x^n$$

arise in the form from which $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ follows easily from the fact that A_n have some counting meaning. But this is not always the case! And proving the integrality may be a difficult task. It happens that sometimes one can (try to) prove $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ for all primes p instead; this, of course, is equivalent to $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. The following criterion of Dwork shows to be particularly useful in such situations.

(a) Assume that $f(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n x^n \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$ (so that $A_0 = 1$). Prove that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ if and only if $f(x^p)/f(x)^p \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$.

The principle behind Dwork's lemma is a commuting property of $f(x)$ under $x \mapsto x^p$: $f(x^p)$ and $f(x)^p$ agree modulo p in the sense $f(x^p)/f(x)^p = 1 + p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p\text{-adic integers}) \cdot x^k$.

(b) Let $f(x) \in x\mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$. Show that $\exp(f(x)) \in 1 + x\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ if and only if $f(x^p) - pf(x) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$.

One application of the latter criterion is related to the integrality of $q(z) = z \exp(g(z)/f(z))$ where

$$f(z) = {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 16z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n}^2 z^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[z]]$$

is the (normalised) period of the Legendre family expressed as a hypergeometric function. Another solution of the very same equation, originating from the Frobenius basis at $z = 0$, is $\hat{f}(z) = g(z) + f(z) \log(16z)$, which defines

$$g(z) = 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n}^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \right) z^n$$

(clearly *not* in $\mathbb{Z}[[z]]$).

(c) Verify that $\hat{f}(z) = g(z) + f(z) \log(16z)$ satisfies the same (second order) hypergeometric differential equation as $f(z)$.

(d) Show that the power series expansion

$$\exp\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)$$

has integral coefficients. In particular, for the quotient $t(z) = \hat{f}(z)/f(z) = \log(16z) + g(z)/f(z)$ we have $q(z) = \frac{1}{16} \exp(t(z)) = z \exp(g(z)/f(z)) \in z\mathbb{Z}[[z]]$.

If we invert the formal power series

$$q(z) = z + 8z^2 + 84z^3 + 992z^4 + 12514z^5 + 164688z^6 + 2232200z^7 + \dots,$$

then the resulting (formal) power series

$$z(q) = q - 8q^2 + 44q^3 - 192q^4 + 718q^5 - 2400q^6 + 7352q^7 - 20992q^8 + \dots$$

clearly has integral coefficients as well. In this particular situation, the inversion can be recognised as modular through very classical formulae due to Jacobi:

$$z(q) = \frac{q(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2+n})^4}{(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2})^4} = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n+1/2)^2}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}} \right)^4;$$

and in fact we further have

$$f(z(q)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n}^2 \left(\frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n+1/2)^2}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}} \right)^{4n} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} \right)^2.$$

These equalities can be approached using the corresponding hypergeometric differential equation.

Problem 8. We define the generalised bilateral hypergeometric sum

$${}_m\mathcal{H}_m \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \end{matrix} \middle| \lambda, \varepsilon \right) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\beta_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\alpha_j)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\alpha_j + \varepsilon + n)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\beta_j + \varepsilon + n)} \lambda^{n+\varepsilon},$$

and in this problem we focus on the choice $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_m = 1$ (corresponding to the maximal unipotent monodromy of the hypergeometric differential equation at $\lambda = 0$).

(a) For $m = 2$ set $\alpha_1 = r$, $\alpha_2 = 1 - r$ with $0 < r < 1$ and $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda; \varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(1-r)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(r + \varepsilon + n) \Gamma(1-r + \varepsilon + n)}{\Gamma(1 + \varepsilon + n)^2} \lambda^{n+\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(1-r)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(r + \varepsilon + n) \Gamma(1-r + \varepsilon + n)}{\Gamma(1 + \varepsilon + n)^2} \lambda^{n+\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= F_0(\lambda) + F_1(\lambda) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_0(\lambda) = {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} r, 1-r \\ 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \lambda \right).$$

Show that

$$F_1(\lambda) = -\Gamma(r) \Gamma(1-r) F_0(1-\lambda) = -\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi r} F_0(1-\lambda)$$

for λ from the cut $\mathbb{C}$ -plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \cup [1, \infty)$. This is also true along the respective banks of the cut; in particular, for the real parts, the identity

$$\operatorname{Re} F_1(\lambda) = -\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi r} \operatorname{Re} F_0(1-\lambda)$$

is true for any complex $\lambda \neq 0, 1$.

(b) Verify that, for $r = 1/2$, we have

$$\left(\frac{-4}{p} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^2}{k!^2} \lambda^k \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^2}{k!^2} (1-\lambda)^k \pmod{p}$$

whenever primes $p > 2$ do not divide the denominator of λ . Similar congruences, but for different choices of the quadratic character, hold when $r = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{6}$.

(c) The corresponding Frobenius traces $a(p)$ are computed via

$$a(p) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^2}{k!^2} \lambda^k \pmod{p}$$

(for almost all primes) and the estimates $|a(p)| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$. If

$$L(\lambda, s) = \prod_p (1 - a(p)p^{-s} + \epsilon_p p^{1-2s})^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$$

is the associated L -function, then show that

$$\frac{L(\lambda, 1)}{\operatorname{Re} F_1(\lambda)} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where $F_1(\lambda)$ originates from the ε -expansion above.

Problem 9. A connection with modular forms (Hecke eigen forms) $f(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ in the cases when corresponding algebraic varieties determined by the hypergeometric data are known to be modular is seen by the hypergeometric series in several ways. Problem 8 discusses such connections for the cases when underlying varieties are families of elliptic curves (parametrised by λ). The instances in this problem are rigid and correspond to $\lambda = 1$ of the series ${}_m\mathcal{H}_m(\{r, 1-r, t, 1-t\}, \{1, 1, 1, 1\}; \lambda)$ where the collection of fourteen $\alpha = \{r, 1-r, t, 1-t\}$ and the related eigen forms $f(q)$ are reproduced in the following table:

(r, t)	$f_{\alpha}(\tau)$	level	LMFDB tag
$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$\eta_2^4 \eta_4^4$	$8 = 2^3$	8.4.a.a
$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$	$\eta_6^{14} / (\eta_2^3 \eta_{18}^3) - 3\eta_2^3 \eta_6^2 \eta_{18}^3$	$36 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2$	36.4.a.a
$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4})$	$\eta_4^{16} / (\eta_2^4 \eta_8^4)$	$16 = 2^4$	16.4.a.a
$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6})$	$\eta_4^3 \eta_6^6 \eta_{18}^2 / (\eta_{12}^2 \eta_{36}^2) - 3\eta_2^2 \eta_6^6 \eta_{36}^3 / (\eta_4 \eta_{12}^2)$ $+ 8\eta_2^3 \eta_{12}^6 \eta_{36}^2 / (\eta_6^2 \eta_{18}^2) - 16\eta_{12}^{12} / \eta_6^4$	$72 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2$	72.4.a.b
$(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$	$\eta_1^3 \eta_3^4 \eta_9 - 27\eta_3 \eta_9^4 \eta_{27}^3$	$27 = 3^3$	27.4.a.a
$(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$	η_3^8	$9 = 3^2$	9.4.a.a
$(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6})$	$\eta_6^{10} / \eta_{18}^2 - 27\eta_{18}^{10} / \eta_6^2 + 9\eta_6^7 \eta_{54}^3 / \eta_{18}^2 - 9\eta_2^3 \eta_{18}^7 / \eta_6^2$	$108 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3$	108.4.a.a
$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$	$\eta_4^{10} / \eta_8^2 - 8\eta_8^{10} / \eta_4^2$	$32 = 2^5$	32.4.a.a
$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6})$	$\eta_{12}^{32} / (\eta_6^{12} \eta_{24}^{12}) + 16\eta_6^4 \eta_{24}^4$	$144 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2$	144.4.a.f
$(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6})$		$216 = 2^3 \cdot 3^3$	216.4.a.c
$(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$	$\eta_5^{10} / (\eta_1 \eta_{25}) + 5\eta_1^2 \eta_5^4 \eta_{25}^2$	$25 = 5^2$	25.4.a.b
$(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8})$	$\eta_1^2 \eta_2 \eta_4^3 \eta_8^3 / \eta_{16} + 2\eta_2^2 \eta_4^3 \eta_8^2 \eta_{16} + 8\eta_4^2 \eta_8^2 \eta_{16}^2 \eta_{32}^2 - 24\eta_4^2 \eta_{16}^2 \eta_{32}^4$ $- 16\eta_2^2 \eta_4 \eta_8^2 \eta_{64}^4 / \eta_{16} - 64\eta_8 \eta_{16}^2 \eta_{32}^3 \eta_{64}^2 + 32\eta_{16}^2 \eta_{32}^5 \eta_{64}^2 / \eta_8$ $- 32\eta_4^4 \eta_8 \eta_{32} \eta_{64} \eta_{128}^2 / \eta_{16} - 64\eta_8 \eta_{16}^3 \eta_{32} \eta_{64} \eta_{128}^2$ $- 256\eta_{16}^3 \eta_{32} \eta_{64} \eta_{128}^2 / \eta_8 + 128\eta_4^2 \eta_{32}^2 \eta_{64}^3 \eta_{128}^2 / \eta_{16}$ $- 256\eta_4^4 \eta_{32} \eta_{128}^4 / \eta_8 - 128\eta_{16}^4 \eta_{32} \eta_{128}^4 / \eta_8 - 512\eta_4^2 \eta_{64}^2 \eta_{128}^4$	$128 = 2^7$	128.4.a.b
$(\frac{1}{10}, \frac{3}{10})$		$200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2$	200.4.a.f
$(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12})$		$864 = 2^5 \cdot 3^3$	864.4.a.a

in the notation $\eta_j = \eta(j\tau) = q^{j/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{jn})$ with $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$.

Note that $|a(p)| \leq 2p^{3/2}$ for primes p , where $a(n)$ are the Fourier coefficients of $f(q)$.

(a) Write

$$\begin{aligned} {}_m\mathcal{H}_m\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \end{matrix} \middle| \lambda, \varepsilon\right) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(1-r) \Gamma(t) \Gamma(1-t)} \\ &\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(r + \varepsilon + n) \Gamma(1-r + \varepsilon + n) \Gamma(t + \varepsilon + n) \Gamma(1-t + \varepsilon + n)}{\Gamma(1 + \varepsilon + n)^4} \lambda^{n+\varepsilon} \\ &= F_0(\lambda) + F_1(\lambda)\varepsilon + F_2(\lambda)\varepsilon^2 + F_3(\lambda)\varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$F_0(\lambda) = {}_4F_3\left(\begin{matrix} r, 1-r, t, 1-t \\ 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \lambda\right).$$

Let $L(s) = L_{r,t}(s)$ denote the L -series corresponding to the modular form $f(q)$ of weight 4. Verify (numerically) that

$$\frac{L(f, 1)}{F_1(1)} \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad \frac{L(f, 2)}{F_2(1)} \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{L(f, 3)}{F_3(1)} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

for the critical L -values $L(f, 1)$, $L(f, 2)$ and $L(f, 3)$.

(b) Show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(r)_k (1-r)_k (t)_k (1-t)_k}{k!^4} \equiv a(p) \pmod{p^3}$$

for primes $p > 3$ not dividing the denominators of r, t .

The last part gives an explicit recipe for reconstructing $a(p)$ (with an exception of $a(2)$, $a(3)$ and possibly $a(5)$).

Problem 10 (a hypergeometric deformation of modular forms; *open*). Many hypergeometric evaluations, like

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{64}\right) = \frac{2}{7\pi} \prod_{j=1}^7 \Gamma\left(\frac{j}{7}\right)^{\left(\frac{j}{7}\right)},$$

where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{N}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol, originate from modular-function parametrisations. For example, the first entry is a CM instance of

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| z(\tau)\right) = f(\tau), \tag{2}$$

where

$$z(\tau) = \frac{64\eta_1^{24}\eta_4^{24}}{\eta_2^{48}} \quad \text{and} \quad f(\tau) = \frac{\eta_2^{20}}{\eta_1^8\eta_4^8}.$$

Some other (simpler!) consequences of identity (2) can be generalised to possess more parameters. For example,

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{2^{1/3}}{3\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})}\right)^3$$

happens to be a special case $t = -\frac{1}{4}$ of

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -2t, 6t+2, 2t+1 \\ 4t+2, 2t+\frac{3}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{2^{8t+4}\Gamma(2t+\frac{3}{2})^2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})^2}{3^{6t+3}\Gamma(2t+\frac{4}{3})^2\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})^2}.$$

One may ask what corresponding deformations of modular forms are, like what

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -2t, 6t+2, 2t+1 \\ 4t+2, 2t+\frac{3}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{64\eta_1^{24}\eta_4^{24}}{\eta_2^{48}}\right)$$

in our example, for $t \neq -\frac{1}{4}$ (but in a ‘neighbourhood’ of the point). This can be re-phrased, by taking $t = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$, to the question about

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + 3\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \\ 1 + 2\varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{64\eta_1^{24}\eta_4^{24}}{\eta_2^{48}}\right);$$

for example, what kind of modularity properties does it have, or what kind of modularity do the coefficients of its ε -expansion possess?

Already for a simpler-looking deformation

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{64\eta_1^{24}\eta_4^{24}}{\eta_2^{48}}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(\tau) \varepsilon^{2k}$$

this is an open question. As indicated before, $f_0(\tau) = f(\tau)$ is a weight 2 modular form. What we found out is that

$$f_1(\tau) = f(\tau) \cdot \delta^{-2} \left(-\frac{32\eta_1^8\eta_4^8}{\eta_2^8} \right),$$

where

$$\delta^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} q^n$$

is the former cuspidal primitive. In other words, the second δ -derivative of $f_1(\tau)/f(\tau)$ is the weight 4 modular form $-32\eta_1^8\eta_4^8/\eta_2^8$. Does this pattern extend to further coefficients $f_2(\tau), f_3(\tau), \dots$? What is a general shape of such deformations?